	_		
18:00 1		IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION	
	3	NETSPHERE, INC., ET AL. Plaintiff,	(Number 3: 09-CV-0988-F
	4		
	5	vs.	
	6		(
	7	JEFFREY BARON, ET AL.	
18:00	8	Defendant.	(June 19, 2009
	9		
	10	Status Conference	
	11	Before the Honorable Royal Furgeson	
	12		
13		APPEARANCES:	
	14	For the Plaintiff:	JOHN W. MACPETE LOCKE LORD BISSELL & LIDDELL LLP
	15		2200 Ross, Suite 2200 Dallas, Texas 75201
	16		Phone: 214/740-8662 Email: jmacpete@lockelord.com
	17	For the Defendant:	Caleb Rawls
	18	ror the belendant.	Godwin Pappas & Ronquillo PC 1201 Elm Street, Suite 1700
	19		Dallas, Texas 75270-2041 Phone: 214/939-8697
	20		James Bell
	21		Bell & Weinstein 6440 N. Central Expwy, Suite 615
	22		Dallas , TX 75206 Phone: 214/293-2263
	23		FIIOIIE: 214/293-2203
	2425	Reported by:	Cassidi L. Casey 1100 Commerce Street, Rm 15D6L Dallas, Texas 75242
			Phone: 214-354-3139

18:00 PROCEEDINGS: 1 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please be 2 3 Welcome. Mr. Frye, will you please call the seated. 4 case. 5 MR. FRYE: Netsphere, Inc., et al. versus 6 Jeffrey Baron, et al., Cause Number 3:09-CV-0988-F. 7 THE COURT: Could I have announcements for the plaintiff. 8 9 MR. MACPETE: Yes, your Honor, John MacPete of 10 Locke Lord on behalf of the plaintiffs, Netsphere. I have 11 with me my client Munish Krishan as well as other 12 representatives of Netsphere here. MR. RAWLS: Caleb Rawls on behalf of Jeffrey 1.3 14 Baron. Mr. Baron is here with me as well as well as Mr. 07:01 15 Bell. 16 THE COURT: Excellent. Glad to have all of you 17 here. Well, Mr. MacPete, tell me how we're doing. Come to 18 the podium, if you would. 19 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, we have a couple of 2.0 preliminary matters we were hoping to take up with the 21 Court. MR. RAWLS: We have three total Mr. Rawls tells 22 23 They have to do with the protective order that the 24 parties have agreed to, counsel have signed off on. 25 if I could approach, I would like to present that to the

07:01 Court and see if the Court is willing to sign that as 1 2 well. 3 THE COURT: Certainly. Agreed? MR. RAWLS: Yes. 5 MR. MACPETE: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Pretty standard. 7 MR. MACPETE: Yes, it's a two-level 8 confidentiality agreement. There is a highly confidential 9 category, your Honor, which would require only outside 10 counsel's eyes to see trade secrets and that kind of 11 business information of the respective parties. 12 THE COURT: Well, I can see the lawyers have been working hard. You even spelled my name right which 13 14 doesn't happen very often. Here you go, Mr. Frye. Go 07:03 15 ahead. 16 MR. MACPETE: The second administrative matter 17 we have is when we filed a TRO, your Honor, we originally 18 filed with Judge Lynn an agreed motion to seal. It was 19 agreed between the parties, and that was because the 2.0 settlement agreement which is the subject of this lawsuit 21 is a confidential agreement, and it contains important 22 trade secrets and other sensitive business information 23 from the parties. In addition, the issues that have come 24 up with respect to the settlement agreement also required

the disclosure of that kind of information.

25

07:03

2

1

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

07:04 15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Lynn granted that agreed motion to seal, and she allowed our TRO papers to be filed under seal. Subsequent to that, she sealed the transcript of that hearing. But her law clerk indicated that your Honor would have to essentially take up the issue of either sealing the whole case or other pieces of this where there was going to be a discussion of the settlement agreement or the sensitive business information.

Yesterday, while we were sort of in deposition and discussing the discovery problems, there was a motion to dismiss that was filed by other counsel on behalf of the defendants, and it had certain exhibits attached to it which included the settlement agreement and some other confidential business information, and apparently that was erroneously filed, not under seal, without a request for a sealing. The counsel that are here in the courtroom and I have conferred, and we have agreed that the case because it surrounds this settlement agreement with that confidential business information -- and the settlement agreement itself being confidential -- that we would move the Court jointly to seal this proceeding, the entire case, because I think there is no way to sort of discuss things that aren't going to be confidential and trade secrets of the business without it being under seal, and that's pretty much what this whole case is about.

07:05 THE COURT: Well, it's a problem to seal court 1 2 proceedings, and you know, to seal court filings. 3 open courts. In other words, you are telling me in this 4 whole case I have to close and lock the courtroom and I 5 have to seal everything that is said in this Court and I 6 have to seal everything that's filed in this Court. And 7 that's not going to work. So you are going to have to 8 figure out something else about that. Now, I don't mind 9 sealing the confidentiality order, and I don't mind 10 sealing certain discreet parts of the pleadings. 11 example, you know, to just seal everything is 12 unacceptable. So you are going to have to figure out a 13 way to do this that it does not put the entire case under 14 seal, including this courtroom under seal. It's not 07:06 15 acceptable. So I am going to leave it to the parties to 16 do that. But if you can't come up with something, the 17 only thing I am going to seal is the settlement agreement. 18 I will open up all pleadings and everything else. 19 You are going to have to figure that out, and 2.0 I'll work with you on it, and it's a balance. But just 21 seal everything about this case -- the pleadings, the 22 courtroom, the transcripts -- that's not going to work. 23 So I am going to tell the lawyers, either you figure it 24 out or the only thing I'm sealing is the settlement 25 agreement.

07:06 MR. MACPETE: Okay, your Honor, we understand, 1 2 and we'll try to work on something that's more narrowly 3 tailored. At this point, your Honor, the most immediate problem we have is that motion to dismiss that was filed 5 yesterday without a request --6 THE COURT: Who find the motion, another party 7 to the case? 8 MR. MACPETE: No, it was other counsel for the 9 defendants. Maybe I ought to let Mr. Rawls speak to that 10 because my information is limited. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Rawls and Mr. Bell, do you not 12 represent all the Defendants here? MR. RAWLS: Your Honor, the defendants' chief 13 14 counsel is Anthony Vitullo, who appears on the signing of 07:07 15 all the pleadings right now. I don't work for him, but I 16 did a lot of contract work for him. It's Mr. Bell's law 17 office. So I guess there is three sets of lawyers 18 representing Mr. Baron. Yesterday, Mr. Bell and I were at 19 Mr. MacPete's office from 8:30 a.m. to 10:30 p.m. trying 2.0 to work something out. During that time the motion to 21 dismiss was modified, finished off I guess and filed by 22 attorneys and Mr. Vitullo at Fee Smith. Just on my 23 Blackberry, I was unable to look it over very well at all, 24 and I was unaware that it was not being filed under seal, 25 and I was unaware at any point until Mr. MacPete told me

07:08 that the settlement agreement was attached. So I 1 2 apologize -- I guess on their behalf -- and on defendant's 3 behalf that happened. It was a mistake, and we are at an agreement that at a minimum the settlement agreement 5 attached should be sealed, and if the Court's agreed, the 6 entire motion. 7 THE COURT: Where is Mr. Vitullo? 8 MR. RAWLS: He's on vacation. Coming back 9 sometime today. I think he would be back in the wee hours 10 Saturday morning. 11 THE COURT: Is the motion to dismiss also -- I 12 quess -- The parties seem to believe that we're going to 13 lock the courtroom door and have this entire matter 14 decided in secret. 07:09 15 MR. RAWLS: That's clearly unacceptable to the 16 Court, and Mr. MacPete and I will confer as soon as we 17 finish today and figure out something more palatable and 18 acceptable to the Court. Both sides are equally concerned 19 about the sensitive nature of the information contained in 2.0 the settlement agreement, and to the extent this case is 21 about enforcing that agreement and so it's very difficult 22 to keep that information out of the pleadings and just 23 seal the MOU, that's our concern right now. 24 THE COURT: Let me ask you and I may have missed 25 this. Was the complaint, the temporary restraining order,

```
07:10
            the preliminary injunction -- Are they all under seal.
       1
       2
                      MR. RAWLS: I believe that's the case.
       3
            was an agreed motion, and then Judge Lynn signed that
       4
            order, and so the TRO and the exhibits were I believe
       5
            filed under seal.
       6
                      THE COURT: And the complaint itself?
       7
                      MR. MACPETE: The complaint itself, your Honor,
       8
            is not filed under seal. We were extremely vague about
       9
            what the terms of the settlement agreement were
      10
            purposefully because it wasn't being filed under seal, and
      11
            it did not have the settlement agreement as an attachment.
      12
            So I wanted to make sure the record was clear.
      13
                      THE COURT: The motion to dismiss, is it vague,
      14
            very specific or just the exhibits that are attached?
07:10 15
                      MR. MACPETE: To be honest with you, your Honor,
      16
            I haven't had time to read it yet. I was told by people
      17
            in my office that it had not been filed under seal and the
      18
            settlement agreement was an attachment, and I don't have
            an assessment of how detailed it was about the terms.
      19
      2.0
            I'm obviously concerned that it is detailed.
      21
                      THE COURT: Does anybody have a copy of the
      22
            motion to dismiss that is here?
      23
                      MR. BELL: No, I don't, your Honor.
      24
                      MR. RAWLS: We worked fourteen hours yesterday,
      25
            I should have brought a copy this morning. That was my
```

07:11 mistake. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, you guys have worked very 3 hard. I'm proud of you, and I'm not here to reproach hard 4 working lawyers. MR. BELL: Your Honor, if we confer with Mr. 5 6 MacPete, we could do a motion to strike the pleading or 7 seal it and file something similar on Monday if he is 8 agreeable. Figure out a way to rectify whatever damage 9 has been caused by an oversight on the part of the law 10 firms on our side. So we're willing to do whatever the 11 Honorable Court would ask us to do. 12 THE COURT: I think that's probably not a bad idea. Why don't we do this. I'll just take a verbal 13 14 motion to strike the pleadings and to remove the pleadings 07:12 15 from the Court. And I think we'll check with the clerk's 16 office after this is over and see if we can get that done 17 and you can file something Monday or Tuesday. Is there 18 any deadline here? 19 MR. BELL: I think we have a deadline, your 2.0 Honor. It was yesterday. 21 THE COURT: To file a motion to dismiss? 22 MR. BELL: Yes, your Honor, the 20th day. But 23 we can get it filed through the Court, through the 24 district -- I don't know how it works in terms of the ECF 25 filing system. But possibly the better thing to do would

07:12 be to bring it down to the courthouse. That way it's not 1 2 out on the internet, and it's part of you all's internal 3 system. 4 THE COURT: Why don't we stop a minute. Can you 5 get on EM/ECF here? Let us see if we can find it on 6 EM/ECF and see what it says. 7 MR. BELL: So your Honor is aware, it is out on 8 PACER right now, and there is -- And I think Mr. MacPete 9 could address some of the concerns, but it's out on PACER 10 right now, and I think he's unopposed to us getting it 11 struck and sealing it and refiling it without prejudice. 12 MR. MACPETE: I am. I will agree on the record to their motion to strike that motion to dismiss, and I 13 14 will agree they can file another one and for it to be 07:13 15 under seal without prejudice. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Do me a favor. We'll have to 17 check with the Clerk's Office to see how this works. 18 THE COURT: While we're doing that, Mr. MacPete had a third matter to take up. 19 2.0 MR. MACPETE: Yesterday at the end of the 21 evening, Mr. Rawls called me and said that his client had 22 raised an issue with respect to the order that had been 23 issued by Judge Lynn. Let me see if I can lay out what 24 they say their problem is. There is a uniform dispute 25 resolution procedure which can be utilized by a trademark

07:16 holder when there is a dispute about whether a domain name 1 2 infringes their trademark. Uniform dispute resolution 3 procedure. And it's something provided for by ICANN which is the US government body that oversees the internet. And 5 so a trademark holder can file a UDRP, and it's decided by 6 an experienced trademark lawyer whether the domain name 7 violates the trademark holder's rights and if the 8 arbitrator, if you will, determines that's the case, the 9 only result that comes out is an order to the registrar to 10 transfer the domain name from the domain holder to the 11 trademark company. So those orders will periodically come 12 out, and the registrar is required by ICANN rules to 13 essentially change the who-is information which is like 14 record title for the domain name, and that's maintained by 07:17 15 the registrar. So one of the orders Judge Lynn entered at 16 our request is the registrar be prohibited from altering in any way the records he has about domain names on his 17 18 registrar, and that's because the vast majority --19 probably 99.5 percent on the registrar are domain names 2.0 owned by my client or were owned by my client and at issue 21 in this case. 22 Mr. Rawls last night raised with me the 23 potential problem of what happens if a UDRP order comes to 24 the registrar which basically directs him to change the 25 recorded title from the domain holder whoever that may be

07:17 to a trademark owner. That would technically be a 1 2 violation of Judge Lynn's order, and so we need some kind 3 of a modification or understanding of what we're supposed to do. What I told him at the time what I believed Judge 5 Lynn would have told him if this issue was raised at the TRO hearing is to talk to Mr. MacPete first and see if you 6 7 can work it out, and if not, we'll do something to modify 8 the order. What I told him last night is I understand the 9 process and that if they got such an order and he came to 10 me, I would be happy to agree that was an appropriate 11 change to the who-is information and not a violation of 12 the Court's order. So that's essentially the issue we 1.3 have. That's my proposal for how we would deal with it. 14 I will let Mr. Rawls tell the Court anything else he wants 07:18 15 about that. 16 THE COURT: Doesn't someone have to trigger this 17 process? It's not done automatically, is it? 18 MR. MACPETE: No, it has to be triggered by the 19 registrar after he has received an order as a result of 2.0 this process. It's a very verifiable thing. In other 21 words, the registrar gets the order, and Mr. Rawls could show me the order. Here is the name on which the recorded 22 23 title needs to be changed. And I see it and that's fine. 24 And everybody would essentially agree that is an 25 appropriate change and not a violation of the Court's

07:19 order on TRO. 1 2 THE COURT: There doesn't seem to be anything in 3 the motion to dismiss that is -- that you know would 4 violate a trade secret. Is everything filed in every 5 court in every jurisdiction under complete seal? 6 MR. BELL: No, your Honor. 7 The underlying state court MR. MACPETE: No. cases have not been filed under seal. But that's also 8 9 probably because the history of that case -- and it kind 10 of ended up being the lead of the three cases that were 11 involved in the underlying lawsuit -- is because no 12 discovery was ever taken in that case. So that case is about as virgin as this case is because essentially what 13 14 happened is the cases got filed, there was a lot of 07:23 15 procedural maneuvering about which case would go first and 16 that sort of thing, and at the end of that we ended up 17 with about four mediations and face-to-face negotiations 18 between the parties. And ultimately the last negotiations 19 resulted after twenty-three hours in the settlement 2.0 agreement that's at issue in this case. So there really 21 wasn't a need for there to be a sealing order because 22 nothing substantive was ever discussed in that court. 2.3 THE COURT: And why -- Apparently there have 24 been lawsuits filed -- I'm reading the motion to 25 dismiss -- all over the place. What's the purpose of so

07:24 much litigation? 1 2 MR. MACPETE: I'll give you a little bit of 3 background on that, your Honor. Back in November of 2006, 4 my client, Manila Industries, Inc., had a portfolio of 5 domain names which had about 7,00 domain and .com names. 6 THE COURT: Your client owned all of those 7 names? 8 MR. MACPETE: Yes, sir. And Mr. Baron and 9 Ondova, the defendants in this case, were the registrar 10 for all of those names. And so of course, they are the 11 party that maintains the record title; that is, the who-is 12 information we have just been talking about. At some 13 point in 2005 14 THE COURT: Can the owner not be the registrar? 07:25 15 MR. MACPETE: The registrar is not supposed to be the owner, by ICANN rules. And I'd say it's not an 16 17 absolute prohibition. The idea that I had was the 18 registrar himself was not supposed to warehouse names. 19 it's probably not an absolute prohibition, and in fact, 2.0 Mr. Baron and Ondova had a small portfolio of their own 21 names, about two or three thousand names that he operated. 22 THE COURT: And Mr. Baron and Ondova have to go 23 through some process where they are certified as a 24 registrar? MR. MACPETE: It's referred to as accredited. 25

```
07:26
            That's true. They go through a process and get
       1
       2
            accredited, and he's allowed to serve as a registrar, and
       3
            the registrar, your Honor, if you will, is essentially a
       4
            middle person between the operator and .com and .net.
       5
            Maybe we will back up. And if I'll telling your Honor
       6
            things you already know --
       7
                      THE COURT: You are not.
       8
                      MR. MACPETE: The way the domain name works is
       9
            say you have JudgeFurgeson.com and you want to register
      10
            the name. Ultimately, you get the name from VeriSign.
      11
            You don't contract with them directly.
      12
                      THE COURT: That's an acronym?
                      MR. MACPETE: V-e-r-i-S-i-q-n.
      1.3
      14
                      THE COURT: What is VeriSign?
07:27 15
                      MR. MACPETE: It's the registry operator of the
      16
            .com and .net registry.
                      THE COURT: For the whole world?
      17
      18
                      MR. MACPETE: Yes, sir. So if you want to buy
      19
            JudgeFurgeson.com you have to go to a registrar and
      2.0
            register the domain name, and it will cost you essentially
      21
            $7.02 plus fee the registrar charges you as their fee.
      22
                      THE COURT: And so VeriSign certifies people
            like Mr. Baron?
      2.3
      24
                      MR. MACPETE: It's actually ICANN that does that
      25
            and that's the government agency that is the regulatory
```

07:27 body for the internet. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. MACPETE: And then he essentially interfaces 4 with the registry operators for the registries he 5 represents. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MACPETE: Let's say that John MacPete wants 8 to go to JudgeFurgeson.com. I will type in that name in 9 my browser window and a query will go out from my computer 10 to VeriSign because it's a .com name. And VeriSign has a 11 database which says, okay, JudgeFurgeson.com is registered 12 at Ondova, and Ondova servers are at this particular 13 location, and it will essentially forward the inquiry on, 14 and then it goes to Ondova's base, and you as the owner of 07:28 15 the domain name will have told him my web page is actually 16 hosted on this server. 17 THE COURT: That's a --18 MR. MACPETE: Example of what the address would 19 look like. And that will route my inquiry on to a server 2.0 which is hosting your web page, and it comes up on the 21 That's essentially how the domain names work. 22 what happened is sometime in 2005, Mr. Baron approached my 23 client and said, Hey, you have a business that makes money 24 from advertising revenues by operating these hundreds of

thousands of domain names, and that makes a lot of money,

25

07:29 an I have been told that there is an economic development 1 2 program in the U.S. Virgin Islands, and if you go down 3 there and site your business there and employ local 4 people, you can get a 90 percent tax credit on your 5 income. That might be a really good thing for you, and 6 maybe we could go in business together, go down to the 7 U.S. Virgin Islands and take advantage of this tax credit. 8 So they hired a joint lawyer and worked on trying to 9 negotiate a joint business. Ultimately they weren't 10 successful in reaching an agreement about who would 11 control that joint business because the two individuals 12 involved have very different views about how to handle the 13 trademark lawsuits which are an inevitable result of 14 having a large portfolio of domain names, and these domain 07:30 15 names were registered by my client with a computer program 16 that registers them automatically. So no human being was 17 involved in deciding which names to register and actually 18 registering them. They have a fairly sophisticated 19 trademark filter today to register domain names, but that 2.0 doesn't catch everything that may be a domain name. 21 That's a trademark problem. 22 Anyway, after the negotiations essentially fell 23 through and the joint order was withdrawn for conflict of 24 interest because the two parties couldn't agree, there was 25 then a dispute about whether they had done enough for the

deal to go through. Mr. Baron took the position that the deal had gone through, and my clients took the position that it had not, and on November 13 of 2006, Mr. Baron decided to engage in self-help.

2.0

07:31 15

THE COURT: There was no lawsuit filed to resolve this dispute?

MR. MACPETE: No, there was no lawsuit filed at that time. And what happened was as the registrar -Remember, I told you that he has that database that has the address for all the domain names would go that have our web pages with our advertising, and then the advertisers send us the money.

On November 13, 2006, Mr. Baron went to his database which he physically has control over, and he changed the addresses from where web traffic would go for our domain names -- from the web pages owned by my clients -- to web pages owned by someone else who then paid representatives of Mr. Baron. So on the space of twenty-four hours on November 13, 2006 he took down our entire business and diverted all the revenues from that business to these other on the theory he was somehow the owner because this Virgin Islands deal had gone through and he had the right to send that stuff down to the U.S. Virgin Islands.

THE COURT: When you sign up with the registrar,

07:32 there is a contract? 1 2 MR. MACPETE: There is, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And so Mr. Baron doesn't file a 4 lawsuit of any kind, breach of contract or whatever? 5 MR. MACPETE: No, he did not. But while he was 6 engaged in the process of taking down all of our web 7 pages, he went to the Dallas state court and filed a 8 declaratory judgment lawsuit, and in that declaratory 9 judgment lawsuit, he initially alleged that he was just 10 the registrar and that he wasn't really sure who he was 11 supposed to take orders from because he had claims from 12 his representatives in the U.S. Virgin Islands that said 13 they were the owner of the domain names as a result of 14 this failed negotiated transaction, and he had my clients 07:32 15 on the other hand saying they were the owners and who he 16 was supposed to take direction from. So he originally 17 asked the state court for a declaratory judgment about who 18 was the owner. My clients figured out very quickly that 19 their domain names were being hijacked, and they hired me, and I filed a lawsuit in California federal court --2.0 21 that's where my clients are sited -- for the hijacking of 22 their domain names, and that's the Central District of 23 California. So after that, we went to the parties working 24 with Mr. Baron and filed their own declaratory lawsuit in 25 the U.S. Virgin Islands. So those are the original three

07:33 cases, and all of those cases really revolved around who 1 2 owned the domain names that were originally registered by 3 my client. That portfolio referred to as the Manilla 4 Portfolio. Then there were various proceedings, removals 5 to federal court here. Other parties were brought into 6 the state court lawsuit that had been monetizing the 7 domains after they were taken from my client. So it's a 8 very complex and factually complex litigation. 9 In the end we had a 23-hour mediation on April 10 26, and we did reach a mediated settlement agreement, and 11 that settlement agreement is essentially what this lawsuit 12 is about. And if you will give me a second, your Honor. 13 May I approach? We have a copy of the settlement 14 agreement for you. 07:34 15 THE COURT: Apparently I have it here. 16 THE COURT: Lots of interlineations, right. 17 MR. MACPETE: Yes. It's not the prettiest 18 document in the world as you might imagine, your Honor, 19 after twenty-three straight hours of mediation. 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. I do have a copy. 21 MR. MACPETE: Thank you, your Honor. Some key 22 points to this, your Honor, are really on Page 4. If you 23 look at the first writing after all the lines that have 24 been crossed out, it says "This settlement agreement is 25 intended to be a full and final settlement agreement."

07:35 THE COURT: Page 4? 1 2 MR. MACPETE: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Okay. The Page 4 I see here is all 4 in handwriting. 5 MR. MACPETE: I'm sorry, your Honor. I think 6 that may be miscopied. I think the original basically has 7 the first page looks like this. The second page is typed in and interlineated. 8 9 THE COURT: I have a second page that looks like 10 this. 11 MR. MACPETE: That's actually the fourth page, 12 your Honor. 1.3 THE COURT: That's the fourth page? I guess it 14 was misfiled. I'm reading on the fourth page. 07:36 15 MR. MACPETE: On the fourth page the first 16 typewritten portion of it is what I was reading from. 17 This settlement agreement is intended to be a full and 18 final settlement agreement containing all material terms, 19 even though the parties may -- which is permissive --2.0 prepare a more formal settlement document, release 21 language and dismissal papers. So on April 26 the 22 underlying litigations were all settled. If your Honor 23 turns to page --THE COURT: That was the case in the Virgin 24 25 Islands, the case in California and the state court case

07:36 1 here in Texas?

2.0

07:37 15

MR. MACPETE: That's correct, your Honor. If you turn to Page 2 which is the typewritten and handwritten page, the key provision here is in Paragraph 3. Paragraph 3 says "Within fourteen days, the Manilla Portfolio," which was the portfolio being fought about in the underlying litigation, "will be split fifty-fifty between the parties," the plaintiffs and the defendants in this lawsuit, and that will be done by basically taking the entire portfolio and alphanumericizing it and dividing it into an even pile. So you get a complete random split of the portfolio. And then there provides a coin flip between the parties to determine which pile each party gets.

After April 26 -- actually Before I say that, your Honor, if you will turn to Paragraph 7. Paragraph 7 says Manila, my clients, defend existing trademark litigation against the Manila Portfolio and indemnifies Jeff -- that's Mr. Baron -- and Ondova from their liability for those cases. At the settlement agreement was entered into, there were about seven existing trademark lawsuits that related to the Manila Portfolio and under the settlement agreements my clients and myself were directed to essentially take over the direction of the defense of those cases and ultimately be responsible

07:38 1 for settling them or otherwise litigating them, as the case may be.

2.0

07:39 15

So after the settlement agreement was entered into, we began to perform that obligation, and there is a trademark lawyer in Florida whose name is Mr. Herrera, and he has been handling the third-party trademark litigation prior to the settlement. So we left Mr. Herrera in the case, but Mr. Herrera has been taking direction from me, and we have actually settled a number of those trademark cases that existed when the settlement agreement was entered into.

About two weeks after the settlement agreement was entered into, Mr. Baron apparently decided he didn't like this deal anymore, and he started to refuse to actually perform.

THE COURT: By refusing to perform, what does he do?

MR. MACPETE: The first thing is April 29 -Three days after this document was entered into, my client
escalated the split, and if you look at Paragraph 3, your
Honor, at the bottom of it there is a handwritten
interlineation that says "names subject to the lawsuit,"
singular, list created by Manila. And we were supposed to
come up with what the Manila was. It was our portfolio.
We registered it. We were to come up with the list and do

alphanumericizing and come up with the split, and we did
that on the 29th. Computer programmers from Manila are
here and alphanumericized the list, and it was split and
escalated to the parties upon April 29.

2.0

07:40 15

In addition on April 29, if your Honor will look at Paragraph 9, it says "All parties will seek an agreed order from the Court directing VeriSign to transfer Manila's half of the portfolio to a registrar picked by Manila within ten days." So the idea here basically was you do the split, you flip the coin to figure out which pile Manila gets, and then an agreed order is going to be submitted to the state court to direct the registrar to transfer our half of the domain names from Mr. Baron's registrar to the registrar our choice.

When Mr. Baron started directing his lawyers not to comply with the settlement agreement, they essentially took the position that we're not going to accept the list that you used to split the domain names. And the ironic thing about that, your Honor, is that in negotiations with Mr. Baron and Ondova last year, he provided a list which he said was his best effort to have a complete list of the Manila Portfolio from his perspective. When he turned it over, he said it may not be entirely accurate, may have some third-party customer names on it and/or one or two names I own. But this is my best effort to come up with a

07:41 list. And as you might imagine, your Honor, there is not 1 2 a great deal of trust between the respective clients. 3 Baron does not trust my clients at all, and my clients don't trust him at all. So what we ultimately did is we 5 said rather than use our own list, which of course Mr. 6 Baron is going to conclude is somehow a trick and 7 inaccurate, we'll use his list because we naively believed 8 if we used his list that would be noncontroversial, and 9 the settlement agreement would be achieved in a timely 10 fashion. And what my clients ultimately want is to have 11 the split occur and the businesses separated and everybody 12 to be able to go on with their lives apart. So even 13 though they didn't agree Mr. Baron's list was entirely 14 accurate and has names belonging to my client which are 07:42 15 not included on it, they ultimately made the business 16 decision that it was better to use the list and not fight 17 about the names missing than to have a big argument about 18 adding to it or using their own list. 19 Surprisingly, he then instructed his lawyers to 2.0 not agree to his list. His lawyers took the position that 21 they had the right under Paragraph 3 to come up with the 22 list of Manila domain names and to perform the split. 23 we waited the fourteen days in the settlement agreement to 24 see what we would actually get and we got nothing. 25 then I think on the 16th day after the settlement

07:43 agreement, he did propose a list. Not a split. Not the 1 2 alphanumericizing. But he sent over intense urging from 3 his counsel who finally sent over a list. And the problem 4 with his list at that point, your Honor, is that at the 5 time he turned over the list, there were 659,000 and 6 change domain names total that were registered on his 7 That would include the small number of registrar. third-party customers he has, his individual domain names 8 9 which belong to him and our names. The list that he sent 10 over through his counsel sixteen days after the settlement 11 agreement was executed had 670,000 domain names on it. 12 the instance we got the list and knew what the numbers 13 were, we knew it was inaccurate because it had more names 14 than he had on his registry. An analysis of his list 07:44 15 ultimately produced the conclusion that there were over 16 13,000 domain names on that list which are not registered 17 at his registry. In fact, most of those domain names are 18 not registered at any registry, meaning they are available 19 currently today for the public to pick them up. 2.0 The other significant thing about Mr. Baron's 21 new list was that it left off 9,928 domain names which had 22 been on the list that he produced in negotiations last 23 year. And all of those names were correctly spelled, and 24 they meant something. And of course, your Honor hasn't 25 seen any kind of a printout of this portfolio, but I

07:44 represent to the Court if we had the stacks of papers that 1 2 would be required to look at all of these names, what your 3 Honor would see is the portfolio is arduously composed of 4 names that are misspelled or names and numbers that don't mean anything and that sort of thing, and one out of every 5 6 25 is a correctly spelled name that might mean something, 7 and as you imagine, your Honor, correctly spelled names 8 that mean something are more valuable than a name like 123 9 So that's 9,900 names clearly represented -- Bless XYZ. 10 you, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So that brought you to this Court. 12 MR. MACPETE: That brought us to this Court. That was clearly a cherry-picked list of names which he 13 14 was trying to avoid being part of the split. 07:45 15 THE COURT: By the way, were all the lawsuits 16 dismissed? 17 MR. MACPETE: No, they weren't dismissed, and 18 the reason they weren't dismissed is because of that 19 VeriSign order. So the way the settlement agreement was 2.0 supposed to work is, first, you have the split, and then 21 you have the coin flip to determine which pile belongs to 22 which company, and then there was to be a submission to 23 the state court on the VeriSign order. So the state court 24 needed to essentially remain open so that the Court was 25 available to issue the order on VeriSign and have those

07:46 domain names transferred. 1 2 THE COURT: Why wasn't this case just taken back 3 to the state court. 4 MR. MACPETE: It wasn't taken back to the state 5 court, your Honor, because these parties are divers. My clients are in California, and Mr. Baron is located here 6 7 in Texas, and we felt more comfortable having this 8 contract enforced in federal court, and we had a right to 9 come in to this Court and ask for relief, and that's what 10 we did. 11 THE COURT: Had the state court judge done much 12 in this case? MR. MACPETE: No. In fact, there hadn't been 13 14 any really substantive hearings prior to the entry of the 07:47 15 settlement agreement. There have been some scheduling 16 order hearings. No discovery had been exchanged. So the 17 state court really didn't have any sort of background that 18 was relevant anymore than this Court would. 19 THE COURT: So they weren't anymore advantaged than this Court will be? 2.0 21 MR. MACPETE: That's correct. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. MACPETE: And I direct you to what's 24 actually Page 3, which is the one with all the handwriting 25 on it. If you look at Paragraph 16, Paragraph 16 says

07:47

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

07:48 15

"dismissal with prejudice of the Texas case, California 1 2 case and U.S. Virgin Islands case once the court order is 3 granted and transfer by VeriSign is complete." So you can 4 see, your Honor, it was contemplated those courts were 5 going to remain open, not because anything was going to be 6 done in the underlying litigation but for the purposes of 7 having those domains transferred, and then those cases would be dismissed. What's happened is those cases 8 9 haven't been dismissed because the defendants have refused 10 to essentially perform the coin flip and otherwise move 11 forward with the predicate before a motion to dismiss 12 those cases with prejudice can be filed.

Where we are currently in the state court, in the underlying proceeding there is an open state court matter with no live causes of action. If your Honor will look back on Page 1, Paragraph 8, it provides for immediate complete releases of all parties with a specific carve out for another piece of litigation which isn't relevant to what we're talking about here today. So we have a state court case with no live causes of action.

And the other things that were happening essentially is the domain names come up for renewal every day. These names were registered on different days over the course of an entire year, the entire portfolio. So every day you have domain names coming up. And the way

07:49 that works is you have to pay the \$7.02 to ICANN to renew 1 2 the domain name. And that bill goes to the registrar. 3 Ondova sends a the amount to VeriSign takes it out to pay for the renewal. After the settlement agreement was 5 entered into, the defendants stopped performing the 6 settlement agreement because within fourteen days there 7 should have been a split and each side would have been 8 paying for the domain names which they ended up being the 9 owner. But prior to that, the registrar was basically 10 tasked with paying for those domain names, and that's 11 essentially in Paragraph 10, your Honor, if you look on 12 the first page. 1.3 THE COURT: Let me stop you a minute. It looks 14 like to me one of the problems we have is we need to 07:50 15 secure these domain names. Is that right? 16 MR. MACPETE: That's correct. 17 THE COURT: The parties disagree about what's 18 going on. Why can't I appoint a receiver to find a 19 registrar and require all the domain names to be given to 2.0 the receiver to be put with another registrar? What would 21 be a problem with that until we get this thing resolved? 22 MR. MACPETE: I think that would be a very 23 cumbersome procedure, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Let me tell you. It may be a 25 cumbersome procedure, but this is crazy. This litigation

07:50 is literally crazy. Mr. Baron is just apparently throwing 1 2 these domain names every which way. You guys don't want 3 him to, but you are at his mercy, so to speak, and yet you don't want to secure these domain names because apparently no order or agreement according to your story will stop 5 6 Mr. Baron. He's going to do what he wants to do 7 regardless of the agreements or orders. If that's the 8 case, you know, looks like to me as long as these domain 9 names are -- According to your story, as long as they are 10 in his possession it doesn't make a difference what a 11 court does or what an agreement says. 12 MR. MACPETE: I wouldn't want to represent to 13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

07:51 15

MR. MACPETE: I wouldn't want to represent to the Court that it's my belief he is going to violate the TRO Judge Lynn issued. I guess I believe in the system, and I think he is going to obey that order, and as Judge Lynn put it, if he doesn't, he would be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

THE COURT: Of course, this is my case now, and of course, judges don't like their orders not followed, and if they are not followed, it's contempt. You can fine people a million dollars a day. You can put people in jail, do all sorts of things. So I understand your view is that Mr. Baron will secure these names and not do anything with them until we get this matter resolved, but I don't know if he has the wherewithal to withstand

07:52 1 contempt orders in the millions of dollars. I don't know
2 what the value of these domain names are, but I imagine
3 they are incredibly valuable.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

07:53 15

MR. MACPETE: They are around -- I guess I would say under the pendancy of the underlying litigation there was a Rule 11 agreement entered into between Mr. Baron and Ondova and the U.S. parties in the underlying litigation, and as a result of that agreement he was paid 5.6 million dollars during the course of the underlying litigation. I don't know what he has done with that money, your Honor, but I think in the end if I were so bold to violate this court's order, I think there is some funds there somewhere to pay that kind of a contempt order. But I don't think we're going to go there, your Honor and I'm hopeful this problem is going to get resolved at our preliminary injunction hearing on July 1st because I think the main problem that we have had is we haven't had the split accomplished. So there has been a split after the performance agreement stopped about who is supposed to be paying for the domain names prior to the split under the settlement agreement. We think that's a responsibility of the registrar. Nothing in the settlement agreement suggests anybody else is supposed to pay it, and if your Honor will look at Paragraph 10, Paragraph 10 says "any monetization money received by any of the parties for

07:54

2.0

07:55 15

monetization of the Manila Portfolio before transfer of Manila's half of the portfolio to Manilla will be split fifty-fifty." That's gross. It doesn't provide for the deduction of any expenses. And there are other agreements, this Rule 11 I told you about, where things will be split fifty-fifty. So they know how to draft an agreement that says those expenses come off the top before any money is split. And essentially, your Honor, that was gross, and the registrar was going to be tasked with paying the legals until the split was two-fold. Number one, it provided incentive for him to get the split done as fast as he could, and it was supposed to be done in fourteen days, and he wouldn't pay very much renewal fees within that time period.

The second reason, as I told your Honor, under Paragraph 7 we took on the much greater financial burden of handling the seven trademark litigations that are out there, including a litigation from the University of Texas in which there is a claim of statutory damages for cyberspying of over four million dollars. So in the relative weighing of what his responsibilities were going to be before the split and our financial responsibilities, we took on a lot more responsibility than he did. But now, subsequent to the deal being entered into, he's saying, no, no, I don't like that and you should pay for

07:56 half of the expenses prior to the split, and he's been 1 2 holding us hostage because, as you figured out, he has his 3 figure on the nuclear button. 4 THE COURT: Well, my goal is to maintain the 5 status quo. In other words, to protect the domain names. 6 That's my first goal. Let me talk to Mr. Rawls or Mr. 7 Bell for a minute. 8 MR. BELL: May I approach, your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Sure. Is Mr. Baron going to protect 10 the domain names pending this litigation? That's my question. 11 12 MR. BELL: Absolutely, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: There is an order in place that 14 needs to be more specific. I will just say those names 07:57 15 are to be maintained in a proper order with payments made 16 to do the proper renewals and so forth until this 17 litigation is complete or another kind of order is 18 entered. And that's the order. And you are telling me 19 that Mr. Baron is committed to maintain the domain names 2.0 in an appropriate way and protect them in an appropriate 21 way until some other order is entered by the Court. 22 that correct. 2.3 MR. BELL: Prior to answering that guestion, Mr. 24 MacPete had about thirty minutes to give you a little 25 bit --

07:58 THE COURT: I understand. I'm trying to make 1 2 sure the status quo is maintained. 3 MR. BELL: I understand and if I can give you 4 some background that will be helpful. 5 THE COURT: It would be. And I'll give you a 6 chance to speak. All I'm saying is the status quo is 7 going to be maintained. MR. BELL: With a qualifier. 8 9 THE COURT: What is that? 10 MR. BELL: You have to make the distinction 11 between Ondova, a registrar, and Jeff Baron who happens to 12 be the president but also beneficial owner through a bunch of complicated trusts. So is Munish Krishan. And Mr. 13 14 MacPete represented to the Court that Mr. Baron had 5.6 07:58 15 million dollars. Munish got 4.3 I believe according to 16 representations made. But having said that, it's the 17 burden of the registrants, not the registrar, to pay for 18 renewal fees, and there is a provision in ICANN that says 19 you cannot as a registrar -- You cannot be paying for 2.0 registrant fees. If you were running for state judge, the 21 registrar can't pay your renewals. You need to pay, like 22 Go Daddy, Ondova, etcetera. So forcing Mr. Baron to pay, 23 -- Essentially what they are trying to do is make Mr. 24 Baron make a capital contribution to Ondova or some kind 25 of a bridge loan to float these renewal fees.

```
07:59
                      THE COURT: How much are the renewal fees?
      1
       2
                      MR. BELL: $7.00 per domain. So I would -- I
       3
            think counsel and I can agree -- It sounds like my client
       4
            is a big thief in the middle of the night when I have
       5
            about 107 pages right now I can show your Honor, including
       6
            some other stuff, that would unequivocally without a
       7
            doubt -- if we had an evidentiary hearing right here and
       8
            now would -- cut Mr. MacPete's argument in half, and if I
       9
            put his client on the stand you are going to hear the
      10
            entire truth, and he has a lot more to hide than Mr.
      11
            Baron. I can show you now. I'm waiting for the
      12
            deposition of Mr. Krishan. I just want to make sure, your
      13
            Honor, before we cast a bad light on my client -- And you
      14
            know, Mr. MacPete, I understand his argument, but there is
08:00 15
            several things, very, very material things, that undercut
      16
            his argument, and I understand this Honorable Court's
      17
            concern -- pay, I need to protect the four corners of this
      18
            MOU which contains these domain names.
      19
                      THE COURT: I just need to protect the property.
      2.0
                      MR. BELL: I agree, your Honor.
      21
                      THE COURT: And so we're going to have a hearing
      22
            on what day?
      23
                      MR. BELL: I believe it's July 1st.
      24
                      THE COURT: So between now and July 1st, I just
      25
            need to protect the property. How many and what
```

08:01 dollars -- How much in dollars are we talking about 1 2 between now and July 1st to pay the renewal fees? 3 MR. BELL: I'm not sure of the exact amount. 4 But let me give you kind of a little background to that 5 That's a really good question, your Honor. 6 During the TRO hearing with Judge Lynn, part of this TRO 7 was Mr. Baron and Ondova are these bad guys and running 8 this enterprise, and they got the nuclear button and this 9 and that and he's the bleeding domain names. They rushed 10 into federal court. Meanwhile, there is a state court 11 proceeding they could file a motion to enforce and in fact 12 has been a motion to enforce. This MOU that you have in 13 front of you has been filed in state court, and there are 14 live pleadings in state court. There was the California 08:02 15 court, Virgin Islands twice. They appealed to a 16 California court and lost. Lost in California court. This is like the fifth, sixth, seventh -- I don't know how 17 18 many times they have run in federal court. We have a 19 state case that's still live and pending that we can get 2.0 this thing resolved. 21 THE COURT: Does the state court judge have a 22 hearing before I do? 2.3 MR. BELL: I believe it's July 10th, your Honor. 24 And it's a motion to enforce. And the case has been 25 pending on the docket for two or three years because they

08:02 have a bunch of these procedural backgrounds. 1 2 THE COURT: There a TRO or preliminary 3 injunction pending in state court? 4 MR. BELL: No, your Honor, but we agree to the 5 same order in state court. With respect to judicial 6 comity, I understand that they think they can bring it in 7 I think all of this can get resolved in the this Court. 8 state court, and we can agree to a restraining order in 9 the state court that's somewhat parallel to the order in 10 this Court. 11 Let me go back to my earlier point. During the 12 TRO when they rushed us -- When Mr. Baron, the thief in 13 the middle of the night, has his finger on the nuclear 14 button and deleting the domain names -- They ran into 08:03 15 Judge Lynn's court and said you got to stop domain names. 16 By the way, we gave them plenty of warning. We need money 17 to keep these registration names, and they didn't do it. 18 And Judge Lynn in a second -- I can give it to her. She 19 picked it up quick, and said, Hey, if Baron and Ondova are 2.0 deleting domain names that are part of this portfolio 21 before the split and coin flip, why don't you give them to 22 the plaintiffs? 23 And I said -- I don't have a problem with that, 24 I don't have a problem with that. And then your Honor. 25 they came back and said No, no, no, your Honor, a lot of

08:04 them are tied to trademarks. He might give us a bunch of 1 2 trademark names and our philosophies are different --3 THE COURT: Your proposal was -- the only reason 4 he was deleting the domain names was because he didn't 5 have money to register them? 6 MR. BELL: Let's back up. We have to make a 7 qualifier. Ondova is the registrar, a limited liability 8 company --9 THE COURT: Okay. Regardless. Somebody didn't 10 have the money. 11 MR. BELL: Ondova cannot pay. It's in the red 12 and doesn't have the money to pay for these registration 13 fees. 14 THE COURT: Gee whiz, fellows, let's pay for 08:05 15 these things, keep these domain names -- Your suggestion 16 is instead of paying for them, Mr. Baron and Ondova just 17 transfer them over to Mr. MacPete's client? 18 MR. BELL: Pending the coin flip and performance 19 and the underlying state court action, in order to keep 2.0 Ondova afloat. They are already in the red with VeriSign 21 who's basically the God of .com and the .net registries. 22 And what he went in and did is, hey, try to figure out 23 what names are making a dollar because there is a business 24 between them, and I got plenty of evidence to show that 25 and e-mails, and you want to see it now or we can do it at

08:06 1 the PI hearing --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

08:06 15

THE COURT: I guess you guys -- I'm sorry. You and Mr. MacPete are both telling me more than I want to know. My question is how do we maintain the status quo. You are saying Ondova doesn't have money. And so he's going to keep releasing these names as they come up for renewal.

MR. BELL: No, based on the past deletions there is enough money in there to keep Judge Lynn's order in place. And basically what Judge Lynn and -- And Mr. MacPete can correct me if I'm wrong. But Judge Lynn said, hey, two parts. One, if you don't want the domain names, Mr. Baron or Ondova, you can't afford to pay for them, give them to the defendant. The defendant didn't want them. They didn't want that liability, and we would have taken them out of the portfolio and given them the coin flip. They would have gotten more. I was okay with it. But did they want that? No. So what they decided to do was -- Judge Lynn asked me how many do you anticipate deleting in order to keep VeriSign from canceling the contract with Ondova who is the registrar? And that puts in question the whole portfolio. That's really the issue before the Court. I said after those deletions there is \$2,500 to \$7,500 that would possibly be deleted in between now and the PI hearing, and I believe that's still the

```
08:07
            case today. And with respect to any domain names we
       1
       2
            delete, we have to give the defendants a right of first
       3
            refusal, a 24 hour advance, right of first refusal on
       4
            whether or not they want to take those domains, but I
       5
            offered to give it to them anyway.
       6
                      THE COURT: That's about $52,000.
       7
                      MR. BELL: Twenty-five --
       8
                      THE COURT: $7,500 maximum at $7 a piece.
       9
                      MR. BELL: Yes. So there is no irreparable --
      10
            That gets back to the whole irreparable harm thing.
      11
                      THE COURT: But if you lose the domain names,
      12
            that's the harm.
      13
                      MR. BELL: I agree, but we were willing to give
            them to them.
      14
08:08 15
                      THE COURT: I'm glad. For them that can't reach
      16
            any agreements, nobody wants to do what the other side
      17
            wants to do. They don't want to take the names. They
      18
            don't want to release the name. You don't want to keep
      19
            the names.
      2.0
                      MR. BELL: We want to keep the names and work
      21
            with the client.
      22
                      THE COURT: You are litigating in three
            different courts.
      23
      24
                      MR. BELL: Four.
      25
                      THE COURT: And you want to work together?
```

08:08 having a hard time. 1 2 MR. BELL: I think we need to be locked in a 3 room over the weekend and nail this out and get it done, 4 and these people need to go on their separate ways. 5 THE COURT: There is no question about that. 6 MR. BELL: Put us in the jail. I will sit in 7 jail over the weekend, your Honor. THE COURT: First of all, my main goal right now 8 9 is to protect the property that's at issue, and if we've 10 got \$52,000 or something -- Say we've got \$50,000 that we 11 need to protect the property between now and July 1st. 12 Somebody is going to have to pay that money, and we'll 13 worry about what happens later. 14 MR. RAWLS: Your Honor, I think maybe I can give 08:09 15 the Court a short answer to answer the Court's question. 16 THE COURT: What is the answer? 17 MR. RAWLS: I don't know why the order that 18 Judge Lynn made would not satisfy everyone between now and 19 July the 1st. I think Mr. MacPete -- the ever maybe it 2.0 wasn't an order he would have drafted or me bullet it will 21 protect the property. The court asked how well protect 22 the property between now and July 1st. 23 THE COURT: How. 24 MR. RAWLS: I represented to Judge Lynn that 25 some of these names that were being deleted because there

08:10 was no money to pay because it's registrants hadn't paid 1 2 They were selected because they were worthless. 3 123 XYZ is not making money. There was a complaint when that was deleted, and that led to the TRO. And Judge Lynn said if they are not worth anything, give them to them. 5 6 And there was a problem and Judge Lynn fixed that and said if you want to delete any names -- And our guess was 7,500 7 8 in that period. She said up to 7,500 names. During 9 business hours on a weekday if you were going to do that, 10 give them notice and then within 24 hours not to end on a 11 weekend or outside of business hours they have that much 12 time to basically step in and say we want those names, and 13 if that was going to happen, they would have to contact 14 the registrar of their choice which would contact Ondova 08:11 15 and arrange for the transfer and they would pay the 16 registration for the renewal fee. We're saying they are 17 not worth anything. They are costing us money. 18 THE COURT: Mr. MacPete, is that working? 19 MR. MACPETE: Yes, your Honor, and we're fine 2.0 with the order. Mr. Bell was re-arguing the order because 21 he doesn't like the notion that we're picking and 22 choosing, but there is a reason for that and that's 23 because there are names which are currently under these 24 UDRP processes or cease and desist letters or actual 25 litigation from a trademark owner, and there is a species

08:11 1 of cyber squatting liability called --

2.0

08:12 15

THE COURT: Please, you guys know so much more than I do. Judge Lynn put an order in place. It will work. Both sides agree.

MR. BELL: Yes, your Honor, absolutely. I don't think your Honor needs to modify that order, and I'm okay with it, and I believe Mr. MacPete is as well.

THE COURT: You realize that order is an order of the Court. So any failure to comply with that order is contempt, punishable by lots of dollars, punishable by possible jail, death.

MR. BELL: And death.

MR. RAWLS: The only part about that that I would ask the Court is to give us a ruling on the earlier issue that Mr. MacPete raised. There is this UDRP issue where my client has no choice if he wants to keep his accreditation with ICANN to change the registrant information, who owns the names. And apparently there is another process that doesn't involve UDRP where a third party asserts a trademark claim to a name, and my client in that situation also has no choice, and basically this arises out of Judge Lynn's order on Friday that Mr.

MacPete's client is concerned that my client would get in there to alter the date to alter the split. They were concerned about alteration of data. Judge Lynn said

08:13 nothing is going to be changed, no documents, nothing. 1 Αt 2 that time that seemed reasonable, but I didn't understand 3 at that time this technical property. So we're asking 4 this Court to enter Judge Lynn's order regarding the 24 5 hour period of time that we have agreed is acceptable with 6 the caveat that would allow my client to keep his 7 accreditation where he hasn't changed a third party. 8 THE COURT: Is Mr. MacPete willing to defend 9 that, defend --10 MR. RAWLS: Mr. MacPete only raised the UDRP 11 issue where there is an order issued by ICANN afterwards. 12 THE COURT: I understand he has a lawyer, Mr. 13 Herrera, if I remember the name, who's defending all 14 trademark issues. Shouldn't you just give those over to 08:14 15 Mr. MacPete to defend, if I'm understanding you correctly? 16 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I think I can provide a 17 little clarification. There are third parties other than 18 what Mr. Baron is a beneficiary and Mr. Krishan. There 19 are other people that say "You charge too much, too less, 2.0 We want our domain name." Maybe, like Judge Furgeson. 21 You say, "I don't want Ondova to be my register anymore. 22 GoDaddy.com is offering them for \$2.99. I want you to 23 transfer them." So somebody like your Honor would get on 24 and say "Ondova you are charging too much, We want these 25 domains transferred to Go Daddy." If we don't comply with 08:15 your order, we're subjecting ourselves to liability, and 1 2 oh, by the way, we're subject to losing this ICANN 3 accreditation and to the extent that we're putting Ondova 4 in a precarious position because there is a potential risk 5 that Ondova is going to lose its ICANN accreditation which 6 would result, by the way, in putting the domain names at 7 risk. So we need to act. 8 THE COURT: So you are talking about names that 9 are not owned? 10 THE COURT: Nothing seems simple in this case, 11 but couldn't somebody say this name is not on the list and 12 do what you need do? 1.3 MR. BELL: Absolutely. We will provide a copy, 14 and they can verify it and triple verify. Whatever. 08:16 15 need to be able to act in due course, save our ICANN 16 accreditation and say what is consistent with the four 17 corners of that memorandum of understanding. 18 THE COURT: What's the problem with that, Mr. 19 MacPete? 2.0 MR. MACPETE: I'm not exactly sure what he's 21 proposing, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Apparently he is saying you don't 23 own it. I come in and I own my domain name and he has 24 registered it, and I say I want to take this to a new 25 registrar. You wouldn't have a problem with that, would

08:16 1 you?

2.0

08:17 15

MR. MACPETE: I wouldn't, and I think if they showed us a copy of the instruction from the customer to me, there would be no issue. That's fine. Same thing with the UDRP. I don't think the TRO needs to be modified. I think counsel can work on this cooperatively and show me the thing, and if there is an issue because they show me something that I think there is a problem -- something untoward going on -- we can approach the Court.

THE COURT: But if you don't own it, it can't be under the restraining order.

MR. MACPETE: The restraining order is with respect to his entire registrar and the reason for that is, your Honor, the vast majority of the names of the registrar are ours, but there is a dispute between the parties because Mr. Baron has been asserting he doesn't agree to the list he produced last year. And remember, your Honor, he is the one that maintains this who-is database, which is the record title information for these domain names. The reason I asked Judge Lynn for the order she gave me is because if he changes a name which is currently listed as Manila as the owner and he changes the registrar information to be Tom Jones and he registers Tom Jones at Email.com and sends an e-mail saying transfer this to Go Daddy he can cheat and take names which should

08:18 be split off the registrar. 1 2 THE COURT: But he is going to give you notice 3 and evidence of the request by the third-party owner. MR. MACPETE: I'm fine with that, your Honor. 4 5 MR. BELL: I want some clarification. Is the 6 burden on them to run down to the courthouse and say no, 7 no, no? Or is the burden on me to come --THE COURT: The burden is on them. 8 9 MR. BELL: Okav. 10 THE COURT: You give them the notice. I will be 11 here next week, and so I guess, you know, I may see you 12 twenty times next week. MR. MACPETE: You probably won't see us at all. 1.3 14 I imagine most of this is not going to be controversial, 08:19 15 and the number is about 500 out of 650,000 names. 16 happy to have this procedure, and I think we understand he 17 is going to give me evidence before they do anything, and 18 if I'm okay, I will tell them that. And if I have a 19 problem, I will see your Honor. 2.0 THE COURT: Come to me. 21 MR. BELL: There is a couple of things I didn't 22 agree with, but for the most part -- I would ask the Court 23 right now based on it sounds like a total quagmire -- We 24 have been in California court. Mr. MacPete is licensed in 25 California, and so am I. Don't hold that against us.

08:19 1 THE COURT: I like California. Wish they had a better system of governance, but I like California.

2.0

08:20 15

MR. BELL: We're in a little bit of a quagmire, and I think the best thing to do would be to order us right now -- It sounded like I was quasi-joking, but we need to get into a room and get this knocked out, and we're ready, willing and able to perform in contravention of Mr. MacPete's representation, and I'm not saying he misrepresented. We're ready willing and able to perform. We want the case off the docket. There is a state court motion pending. A motion to enforce in that court and I don't believe, with all due respect to the Court, the state court has jurisdiction on this.

THE COURT: They do and I have jurisdiction, too. So I'll tell you what. I am going to stay in this case through the preliminary injunction, and there is an order entered. Nobody can violate it. Anybody violates it, you are all paying big dollars. Not only corporately but personally also. You want to challenge the court order, I have the marshals behind me. I can come to your house, pick you up, put you in jail. I can seize your property, do anything I need to do to enforce my orders. I'm telling you don't screw with me. You are a fool, a fool, a fool, a fool to screw with a federal judge, and if you don't understand that, I can make you understand it.

08:21 I have the force of the Navy, Army, Marines and Navy 1 2 behind me. There is a lot of playing games. Both sides 3 are probably completely complicit. But it's time to 4 resolve this. If you don't want to resolve it, I can put 5 you in jail. I can hold you six months, twelve months, 6 eighteen months, and I can do that, and if you want me to 7 do it, I will be glad to do it, but you need to be serious 8 about this. There is a problem here that I do not 9 understand. It's really beyond my comprehension, and I 10 actually am not a completely dumb person. So you need to 11 get this resolved. 12 MR. BELL: I have been on the case eight days. 13 So I'm not entirely complicit. 14 THE COURT: Everybody is to blame. When you get 08:22 15 up in the morning look in the mirror. Everybody is to 16 blame here. I'm going to hear you on the 1st, if I have 17 to, but in the meantime, there needs to be two adults, one 18 on each side, that figures this out. 19 MR. BELL: Do you think, your Honor -- I mean I 2.0 would make an oral motion before the honorable court maybe 21 to order a mediation and get this thing out and off your 22 docket. 23 THE COURT: There is no question that's what 24 needs to be done. Apparently, there is a lot of money to 25 be had here. Let's not be greedy. Let's get this done

08:22 and figure it out. I'm not going to order you to do 1 2 anything. You can do absolutely nothing until you show up 3 on the first. But on the 1st, the door is shut, and 4 everything ends, and I am going to enter orders that 5 nobody may like. It may not be good for anybody. I may 6 actually appoint a receiver and ask the receiver at the 7 expense of all the parties to find a new registrar. 8 order Ondova and Mr. Baron to put every domain he's got in 9 with the new registrar. I'll have the new registrar 10 protect these names, and then we'll just wait for a trial 11 in five or six years and go from there. So you know, 12 there is things I can do. I'm sure the receiver won't 13 cost more than two or three hundred thousand dollars, 14 maybe half a million. But I know you have the money 08:23 15 because these things are valuable. 16 MR. BELL: I think that's the low end. 17 THE COURT: A million dollars. I'm sure there 18 is a good receiver out there that would love to have this. 19 So at any rate, you know -- You know, don't give us what 2.0 you think is your rightful interests. But I'm telling 21 you, the Court's are going to resolve this. You are not

22

23

24

25

going to resolve ex parte or at a whim. The courts are

going to resolve it, and if you don't like what the courts

do, we can pick you up on the street and put you in jail.

That's the way it works. So it's time to get serious here

```
08:24
            and time to understand that once the Court steps in,
       1
       2
            that's it, and I've got this case, and I'm keeping it.
       3
            you want to screw with me, have at it. But I can put you
       4
            in jail, and I will do it, and I can also take all of your
           money away from you. I can look at all of your financial
       5
       6
            statements. I can take every penny you've got if I think
       7
            you are doing stuff that's unlawful, illegal, fraudulent
            and whatever. So let's don't test me here. And at the
       8
       9
            same time if you think you are right, litigate it.
      10
            Litigate it to the cows come in, but don't screw with the
      11
            courts.
      12
                      That's where we are, Mr. Bell. You don't have
      13
            to do anything this weekend. You can play all next week,
      14
           but on the 1st something is going to happen.
08:25 15
                      MR. BELL: If I may.
      16
                      THE COURT: Sure.
      17
                      MR. BELL: How much time do we have for the
      18
            preliminary injunction hearing?
      19
                      THE COURT: A day.
      2.0
                      MR. BELL: Right now, unless we can get this
      21
            thing resolved which is my intention, I think Mr. MacPete
      22
            would agree we can bang it out over the weekend. I have
      23
            just gotten on the case. My client is going to appear. I
      24
            would ask that you order the plaintiff, especially Mr.
      25
            Munish, to appear as well.
```

08:26 THE COURT: It would be a mistake not to appear. 1 2 People don't want to appear, that is fine. But I don't 3 hear their testimony, I don't hear their side of the 4 story, their chance of winning gets diminished greatly. 5 MR. BELL: I just want to make sure that Mr. 6 Krishan is going to be here, and I'm worried my subpoena 7 is going to be ineffective. 8 THE COURT: If you have a subpoena that you have 9 served for people to be here on the 1st, I'll send the 10 Army out. 11 You guys are spending lots of money that you 12 might be able to use in a more profitable way. 13 MR. BELL: I agree. I'm trying to bang 14 everybody over the head. I think Mr. MacPete is, too. We 08:26 15 want to bang this out. We really do. In good faith, 16 trying to work it out and get this case done without 17 judicial intervention. THE COURT: Just remember this is not a 18 19 self-help problem. This is a court problem, a lawsuit 2.0 problem. So anybody decides they can go and help 21 themselves to some remedy, you have a problem, come to 22 court. No self-help. Somebody doing something because 23 there is a problem, I'm here. I'll be here all next week 24 if there is a problem. If somebody needs money to pay for 25 these things, whatever, whatever, let's work it out.

08:27 MR. BELL: I agree, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: You know for grown people -- I guess 3 this is what happens when money is at stake. People 4 completely lose their understanding of how things are to 5 operate, but you can't do that, and just so everybody 6 understands where we are, understands what my authority 7 is, my authority is to make sure we have the rule of law in effect, and that means people just can't go start doing 8 9 things they want to do regardless of contracts or 10 agreements or court orders or whatever. That's for both 11 sides. Okay, Mr. Bell, sounds like you are ready to do 12 something constructive. 13 14 MR. BELL: I'm going to do my best. 08:28 15 THE COURT: Now, I am going to enter an order or 16 you guys can prepare me a order placing -- not the 17 defendant's motion to dismiss. That will not be put under 18 seal. But all attachments to the motion to dismiss will 19 be put under seal. 2.0 MR. MACPETE: Thank you, your Honor. 21 MR. BELL: Your Honor, did you say the 22 defendants were responsible for that order? 23 THE COURT: Work it out. Get Mr. MacPete to 24 prepare it and approve it to you and send it to me. 25 can e-mail it to Mr. Frye, and he'll copy it off, and I'll 08:29 sign it. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. MacPete. 3 MR. MACPETE: As I told you yesterday on the 4 phone, these lawyers are not the problem, and I appreciate 5 Mr. Bell's representations to the Court that he wants to 6 work with counsel and he wants to get something resolved 7 without the necessity of the Court intervening. With all 8 due respect to Mr. Bell, this is the seventh set of 9 attorneys in this case for Mr. Baron. 10 THE COURT: That's fine. But I'm the judge now, 11 and you are under my jurisdiction, and it's just a fool 12 that decides they are going to ignore a federal judge. 13 There are about 650 of us around the country, and you 14 can't hide. 08:29 15 So let's work this out. Make sure the property 16 is protected, and nobody has to resolve anything. I'll be 17 glad to do it. 18 MR. MACPETE: We appreciate that, your Honor. 19 With respect to the state court because I want the court 2.0 to have the full picture of sort of what's been going on 21 post-settlement, there have been three TRO proceedings 22 which were brought by Mr. Baron and Ondova in the 23 underlying state court case. All three of those TRO's 24 were denied. In fact, at the temporary injunction hearing

which was held about two Fridays ago, his Honor Judge

25

08:30 Hoffman in the state court indicated that he thought the 1 2 TRO's being brought by Mr. Baron were inappropriate 3 procedures, and it was his view that probably the proper 4 thing to happen is for his case to be dismissed and 5 everybody to come here in the one court that had a 6 pleading seeking to enforce the settlement agreement and 7 get it done here. That was what Judge Hoffman said in the 8 state court. They are correct that the U.S. Virgin Island 9 parties have subsequently filed a motion to enforce the 10 settlement agreement in state court. With all due respect 11 to those parties, that is an in appropriate procedure 12 under Texas law. It's clear you cannot file a motion to 13 enforce and have the court decide that in some kind of a 14 summary fashion. You have to file a new lawsuit for 08:31 15 breach of the settlement agreement. They are the 16 plaintiffs in the state court, and Mr. Baron and Ondova 17 have not filed a new complaint, even asserting -- an 18 amended complaint even asserting a breach of the 19 settlement agreement or asking for a declaratory judgment 2.0 with respect to the settlement agreement or anything like 21 that. 22 THE COURT: Do I not have all the parties at stake in this case? 23 24 MR. MACPETE: There are the U.S. Virgin Island 25 parties who are parties to the settlement agreement, and

```
08:31
            it's my understanding that they are currently
       1
       2
            contemplating whether they are going to intervene in this
       3
            lawsuit.
       4
                      THE COURT: Why didn't you bring them in
       5
            initially?
       6
                      MR. MACPETE: To be honest with you, your Honor,
       7
            I didn't know how to do that. They haven't breached the
       8
            settlement agreement. They have been performing, and so I
       9
            didn't know how procedurally to get them in because we're
      10
            obviously the plaintiff because we're being aggrieved by
      11
            the breach that we allege the defendants have engaged in,
      12
            and they are not a defendant because they are not in
            breach, and I don't represent them. So I didn't really
      13
      14
            know what to do.
08:32 15
                      THE COURT: Well, you are in touch with their
      16
            lawyers, right?
      17
                      MR. MACPETE: I am, and that's how I know that
      18
            they are currently contemplating intervening in this
      19
            particular matter to essentially protect their interests.
      2.0
                      THE COURT: Well, they should be encouraged to
      21
            do so.
      22
                      MR. MACPETE: I have. Because obviously they
      23
            have the same interest we do in having the settlement
      24
            agreement enforced, and I know their client would like for
      25
            the underlying litigation to be dismissed, and it hasn't
```

```
08:32
            happened yet because the state court under the settlement
       1
       2
            agreement has to be able to sign that order against
       3
            VeriSign to transfer the domain names, but I know the U.S.
       4
            Virgin Island client is very interested in seeing that
       5
            litigation dismissed.
       6
                      THE COURT: That's fine. Anything else from
       7
            you?
                      MR. MACPETE: Actually we have given you a lot
       8
       9
            of background, and I know you are pressed for time. You
      10
            said you had an engagement at 9:30. But we haven't talked
      11
            about the discovery problems. Can we come back a little
      12
            later today?
      1.3
                      THE COURT: No, let's go straight through.
      14
                      MR. MACPETE: I appreciate that.
08:33 15
                      MR. BELL: I have a hearing in another court by
      16
            eleven.
      17
                      THE COURT: I think I can knock out the
      18
            discovery problems very quickly.
      19
                      MR. BELL: Your Honor, may I approach for one
      2.0
            housecleaning issue?
      21
                      THE COURT: Why don't you and Mr. MacPete both
      22
            approach, and we can with talk about discovery.
      2.3
                      MR. BELL: I have one housekeeping issue I
      24
            wanted to discuss before we get into the merits of the
      25
            issue.
```

08:39 THE COURT: That would be fine. 1 2 MR. BELL: With respect to the plaintiff's TRO, 3 I don't have an issue with it. The application or 4 anything. But under the Federal Rules, there are three 5 plaintiffs, and there is a verification that I think is in 6 Manilla's file, and the plaintiff who brought the TRO is 7 Munish Krishan individually, and I don't have a verification from him, and I'd like the Court to order him 8 9 -- The TRO is brought on his behalf -- order him to 10 verify his pleadings under oath in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to the extent the Court 11 12 would accommodate my question. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, I don't think that's 08:39 15 necessary. We submitted sufficient evidence with our 16 motion, and obviously if that evidence is insufficient, 17 the court is going to rule against our motion on July 1st, 18 but I don't think Mr. Bell gets to dictate who my 19 witnesses are going to be or how I present my evidence. 2.0 THE COURT: He's talking about a verified 21 complaint? 22 MR. MACPETE: We don't have a verified 23 complaint. I'm not even sure what he's talking about in 24 terms of verification. 25 THE COURT: Cite me the federal rule that says

08:40 an application for TRO --1 2 MR. BELL: I think --3 THE COURT: I'm surprised we're having this 4 problem. 5 MR. BELL: I have it here. Number 7 in O'Connor 6 on I think it's Page 80. 7 THE COURT: "TRO must be accompanied by verified 8 affidavit or complaint." I take it Mr. MacPete, you say 9 that you have filed a declaration under penalty of 10 perjury? 11 MR. MACPETE: Yes. And what Mr. Bell's problem 12 is he wants to dictate who my witness is. If my witness 13 was Mr. Munish Krishan and he provided the affidavit and 14 complaint that shows -- What he wants to do is say Mr. 08:42 15 Krishan has to be the declarant, and there is no 16 requirement, and I think it's inappropriate for him to try 17 to dictate who my witnesses are. 18 THE COURT: It doesn't say that it must be by an 19 affidavit or verified complaint as to all parties. 2.0 MR. BELL: That's true. But there is different 21 parties. I think Munish is the corporate rep for Manila 22 and Netsphere. He can't possibly testify in a TRO 23 personal knowledge of what Munish Krishan is alleging and 24 Munish Krishan is one of the movant's in this TRO who has 25 personal knowledge of what's been the four corners of this

08:43 1 TRO.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

08:44 15

THE COURT: Well, let me do this. You file by Monday -- You file a motion to strike or whatever motion you want and show me in the complaint what must be verified by the other party, and I'll look at it on the pleadings. Here you go, Kevin. Give me a written motion with authority with what you think is not appropriately covered in the TRO. Then I will take it from there.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. MacPete.

MR. MACPETE: One thing Mr. Bell said which I think we needed to clear up with the Court had to do with Ondova, and you remember that he was suggesting to the Court that Ondova was just the registrar and Mr. Baron is the beneficial owner of these domain names and Mr. Krishan is the beneficial owner of these domain names. And with all due respect to Mr. Bell, the issue of who the actual owner is prior to the settlement agreement actually being performed is a highly contested issue or it was in the underlying cases. For instance, your Honor, in the fifth amended petition which was filed in the underlying state case, Ondova, not Mr. Baron, took the position that Ondova was the owner of the entire portfolio. So with all due respect to Mr. Bell, if you listen to the plaintiffs in this case, your Honor, they will tell you that prior to the settlement agreement they owned the whole portfolio.

08:45 If you listen to Mr. Baron, he would tell you that Munish 1 2 owns half of it, and I own half of it, and if you talk to 3 Mr. Baron at other times will he would say Ondova owns the 4 whole thing. It's a hotly contested here. So the issue 5 of the registrar being a third party is not a complete 6 picture, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Of course, I don't understand this 8 process. You know, when I talk about ownership of 9 property it means that somebody has their name on the 10 property. Apparently it's not that simple. 11 MR. MACPETE: Well, it's not that simple here, 12 your Honor, because of the self-help that occurred in the 13 underlying case. If you looked at what the recorded title 14 to the domain names was on November 12, 2006 record title 08:46 15 to the domain names at issue was in Manila Industries, 16 Inc. and during the underlying litigation, Mr. Baron on 17 his own, went into his database records and changed the record titleholder on all of our domain names or most of 18 them to set up the company he set up with somebody, called 19 2.0 TIPA, Texas Internationl Property Associates. 21 THE COURT: I guess this is a paper trail, and I 22 can see all of it, and I can hear Mr. Baron's explanation 23 for what authority he had to do what he did. 24 MR. MACPETE: Well, the difficulty with that, 25 your Honor, is unfortunately there is not a good audit

08:46 trail because the registrar is the only one that has the 1 2 records, and there is not sort of an historical 3 independent database that has this, and that's part of the 4 reason why we asked Judge Lynn not to change this who-is 5 information because he can disquise what happened, because 6 he's the only one who has these records. I don't want to 7 delve too much. THE COURT: I take it that you all believe that 8 9 he won't violate a court order. 10 MR. MACPETE: I believe your Honor has made it 11 clear what the consequence would be if he were to violate 12 this Court's order. 13 MR. MACPETE: And I'm comfortable that we're 14 protected at the moment. That being said, let's get to 08:47 15 the discovery problem we're having. At the TRO hearing --The final one in front of Judge Lynn because we did three 16 17 telephone conferences at the end of day. At the final 18 one, Judge Lynn granted the TRO, and she was asked by Mr. 19 Bell to permit -- Mr. Bell asked the Court to order that 2.0 he get depositions of my three clients on three days' 21 notice and that he get document requests responded to on a 22 three-day notice for those three depositions. And Judge 23 Lynn granted that request, and she made it mutual and 24 indicated I would have the right to take the deposition of

Mr. Baron individually and Ondova's corporate

25

08:48 representative on three days' notice and to have document 1 2 requests responded to on three days' notice. And the 3 discovery period was due to start Monday of this week at 4 8:00 a.m. 5 THE COURT: But the problem I understand is the 6 document request. 7 MR. MACPETE: That is the problem. So on Monday 8 at 8:00 a.m., I got deposition notices at the same time I 9 served deposition notices and document requests. And the 10 document requests I got from the defendant were 267 11 requests long, and as I think your Honor characterized on 12 the telephone yesterday that was more of a blunderbuss 13 than a rifle shot. The document requests I served were 14 14. And I understood when we were talking about expedited 08:49 15 discovery that we needed a rifle shot, that there is a 16 limit of what people were to turn around in three days. 17 So I asked very specifically for the documents which I 18 thought I would need to prepare for the preliminary 19 injunction, and then I got on the phone with Mr. Rawls, 2.0 and we had a very frank discussion, very cooperative 21 discussion, on Monday about what specific documents I 22 thought I needed because I was aware that they are 23 relatively new to the case and he may not have been 24 familiar with the various sources of documents that fell 25 within the categories I asked for.

08:49 At that time I also invited him to tell me 1 2 specifically what documents he thought he needed, and I 3 was very clear. I said the Court was clear. You are 4 going to get discovery. You are entitled to discovery, 5 and you were not going to get jammed in preparing for the 6 preliminary injunction. So I will give you the documents 7 that you need, but you need to tell me what they are. 8 Because with 267 requests, most of them were outside the 9 scope of the discovery that Judge Lynn ordered. I said I 10 need some guidance from you. He said okay. I'm not sure 11 what I am going to need yet and could I have an idea of 12 what you think is going to be relevant, and I said yes, I 13 do. And he asked me at that time if I would send him an 14 e-mail the next day which listed the documents I was going 08:50 15 to voluntarily produce which I thought were relevant to 16 their defense of the preliminary injunction. I did that 17 and had 14 categories of documents which I said I was 18 going to be producing which I thought were relevant and I 19 invited him in that e-mail to send me a response that says 2.0 if there were any other documents which I hadn't 21 identified -- and I certainly wasn't going to represent 22 that I had got everything that he might think was 23 relevant -- that I was willing to produce those things 24 within the scope of discovery if he would just identify. 25 On Tuesday, we had several conversations, but he 08:51 was not able to respond to that request for anything 1 2 further he needed, and then on Wednesday we probably spent 3 most of the day together trying to work out various 4 agreements on the order of discovery and that sort of 5 thing, but he was still unable to tell me what documents 6 he needed besides the ones I identified I was going to 7 produce. 8 THE COURT: And so you still haven't resolved 9 the issue, and they still want 267 documents. 10 MR. MACPETE: That's not even really the 11 documents. We get to the deposition at ten o'clock. 12 client is supposed to sit for a deposition and my client 13 is supposed to sit for a deposition. We agreed that we 14 would sit down prior to those depositions starting and 08:51 15 talk about where we are in terms of document production, 16 and at that time he was still not able to tell me that he 17 had a need for anything I had already produced. 18 THE COURT: So there has been some limited 19 production by both sides? 2.0 MR. MACPETE: We haven't exchanged, but we have 21 told each other what we have and are ready to produce. 22 he told me what he wasn't going to produce. And I'll get 2.3 to that in a minute because that's what I need your help 24 on. 25 After that meeting which probably took an hour,

08:52

2.0

08:53 15

hour and a half, the defendants and their client went away and stayed in the room for about two or three hours working on any other documents that they thought they were going to need. Essentially, what I had been asking them to do for the past three days, and they came up with a list, your Honor, between them and their client after spending hours of our deposition time doing what should have been done days earlier and said these are the things we think we need that weren't on your list Tuesday, Mr. MacPete.

And after we got together and talked about it, there are ten items on this list and counsel amongst ourselves agreed that four of them weren't relevant and that one of them didn't have any documents that would be responsive. One of them I had already agreed I was actually going to produce. And then the other two basically were things which I was willing to produce but were back in California because my people have flown here to comply with the Court's order to give depositions on Thursday. And so I would get it to them as quickly as I could, but I was hamstrung, given they hadn't responded and asked for this earlier in the week.

So that's where we are I think with respect to the documents they need from me. I think we have pretty much agreed that I am providing the documents I said I was

going to provide them, and I will give them the other
documents they asked for as soon as I can get somebody in
California to prepare it.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

08:54 15

So turning to the document request that I sent to Mr. Baron, the first problem that we have is we had document requests that specifically asked for the financial information related to Mr. Baron individually, and as we just got done talking about, your Honor, it's all over the map about who in the underlying case was the owner of these domain names. So when Mr. Bell says it should be the registrant that pays this instead of the registrar. Well it's not clear who the registrant is. It's not been performed. Then we will know. But before that, all you have is different allegations in the underlying litigation and no clarity on who's actually, quote, the owner. So ultimately the registrar -- who's one of the underlying claimants saying they own the whole thing -- is the person that gets the bill from VeriSign and ICANN, and the settlement doesn't say anything other than the registrar paying the expenses, but they have alleged it's supposed to be Mr. Baron and Mr. Krishan. And as I read to the Court yesterday on the phone the portion of the transcript in front of Judge Lynn in which Mr. Bell represented in his view the registrant, the people paying the fees are Mr. Baron and Mr. Krishan.

08:55 That being said, it made sense for me obviously to send 1 2 the document requests I did saying give me the personal 3 financial information of Mr. Baron because Mr. Baron has 4 claimed in the underlying litigation that he is the owner of the domain names, and his counsel has represented to 5 6 Judge Lynn that he is one of the people who's supposed to 7 be paying for them. 8 And in fact, as I told your Honor, Mr. Baron and 9 Ondova were paid over 5.6 million dollars during the 10 pendancy of the underlying litigation. So when he comes 11 to this Court and says Ondova cannot pay for these domain 12 names and it's going bankrupt and domain names are going 13 to be lost, the veracity of that statement needs to be 14 tested. And in our complaint, your Honor --08:56 15 THE COURT: Mr. Bell is taking the position that 16 Mr. Krishan is the owner and Mr. Baron is the owner. 17 MR. MACPETE: That's correct, your Honor. 18 That's what he told Judge Lynn. It's on Page 17 of the 19 transcript from the TRO hearing. And he indicated that --2.0 He said it's on the registrant's side which is Mr. 21 MacPete's clients and Mr. Baron, the beneficial owners, to 22 pay for the registration fees. That's what he represented 23 to Judge Lynn. That's what his client was telling him 24 last Friday. I understand that his client is now telling 25 him something different and we're going to hear some kind

08:57 of a retreat from what was represented to Judge Lynn. 1 2 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I need to fall on my 3 Last Friday I was almost as confused as you are. 4 Just to clarify, Baron individually -- Jeff Baron 5 individually has never claimed ownership of the domains. Ondova has because it is like the noteholder -- If I own a 6 7 house and I have a mortgage on it and I don't pay it, the 8 noteholder has what right to foreclose on the home. 9 deal here. The registrants weren't paying for the renewal 10 fees, and so one of Ondova's contentions in the underlying 11 state litigation was if you don't pay the registration 12 fees we get to foreclose on your domain names, and that's 13 part of the contract, and I can put that before the Court. 14 Did I misstate something to Judge Lynn? Yes, your Honor, 08:58 15 I did and I was just getting all the facts last week. 16 did I say he was a beneficial owner? The answer is, yes, 17 but I made a mistake. For that I'm sorry. It was not 18 intentional, registrant, registrars, everything was 19 confusing to me. And I probably misspoke, and I think 2.0 additionally Mr. MacPete can attest that we sounded alike 21 and talked over each other in that hearing, and we had a 22 little bit of an issue with respect to the court reporter. 23 But Mr. Baron has never personally or individually taken 24 the position that he owns the domains personally. 25 understand that he's about to make the argument alter ego.

08:59 It's in his complaint. He's talking about corporate 1 2 minutes and corporate books. I'm a speaker at one of the 3 Advance CLE Seminars on corporations, and nowhere in the Code does it talk about an LLC having to maintain 5 corporate books and records, and in fact, that's one of 6 the precise reasons why the legislature adopted the 7 Uniform Limited Liability Company Act. So their blanket 8 allegation to try and pierce a corporate veil, alter ego, 9 whatever the case may be, is a little bit disingenuous. 10 You need to lay the proper predicate and prove that up, 11 but at this point in time Jeff Baron has never claimed 12 interest in the domain names. The analogy would be a 13 lender did take an interest in the domain names, just for clarification. 14 09:00 15 THE COURT: Okay. Let me look at the prayer 16 here. You've asked that we proceed -- that I proceed with 17 the division of the domain names using the methodology set 18 forth in the settlement agreement, execute and submit to 19 the Court an agreed order where the Court will instruct 2.0 VeriSign to effect the transfer of the shared Manila 21 domain names to a registrar designated by Manila. 22 Otherwise, comply with the terms of the settlement 23 agreement, impose a constructive trust for the benefit of

the Netsphere parties over all revenue generated by the

defendant through their unlawful conversion, granting

24

25

09:01 Netsphere parties all relief. So you are here to enforce 1 2 the settlement agreement, correct? 3 MR. MACPETE: That's correct, your Honor. The 4 reason the financial issue is relevant, remember the TRO and preliminary injunction related to deleting domain 5 6 names, and there was an absolute representation to the 7 Court -- represented to Judge Lynn and your Honor here --8 that Ondova doesn't have money and Ondova can't pay for 9 these domain names, and that's why Ondova should not be 10 allowed to delete domain names and that's an issue they 11 put in issue. It's not true, your Honor. He got 5.6 12 million dollars during the underlying litigation, and he 13 has the money to pay for the renewals. They are not 14 shooting straight with the court when they say Ondova is 09:01 15 bankrupt and can't pay. 16 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Bell 17 says that under the agreement between Netsphere and Ondova 18 you have to pay for the renewals of these domain names, 19 your client. 2.0 MR. MACPETE: We don't have an agreement with 21 Ondova, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Who do you have an agreement with? 2.3 MR. MACPETE: We don't. 24 THE COURT: When you sign up with the registrar 25 there is no agreement?

09:02 MR. MACPETE: There was originally a 1 2 registration agreement with Mr. Baron pre-underlying 3 litigation. 4 MR. BELL: I'm sorry. You can go right now on 5 Budgetnames.com. The agreement is actually on line if you 6 would like to look at it. 7 MR. MACPETE: That's actually not the agreement we had because we had a specially --8 9 THE COURT: When you signed up with somebody to 10 register your domain names, there must have been a 11 contract then, correct? 12 MR. MACPETE: There was a contract then, 13 correct. 14 THE COURT: And that was between Netsphere and 09:03 15 Ondova? 16 MR. MACPETE: No, actually it was between Manila 17 and Ondova. And that was pre-underlying litigation. So 18 pre the last two and a half years in which we haven't had 19 control or record title to our domain names. 2.0 THE COURT: At some point that agreement was 21 vitiated. 22 MR. MACPETE: I think essentially by Mr. Baron when he took the domains in self-help back in November of 23 2006. 24 25 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if there was an

underlying contract and assuming for the moment that you are correct that Ondova Limited Company breached that contract, that doesn't vitiate the contract. It means he's liable for damages. The problem is the settlement agreement hasn't been complied with.

MR. MACPETE: That's true, your Honor. What we're talking about is whether or not Ondova as the registrar who gets the bill from VeriSign and ICANN actually has the resources to pay for those domain names, and Mr. Bell preempted me because I was going to direct your Honor to Paragraph 12 of our complaint.

MR. MACPETE: As he told you, we allege in

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

09:04 15

Paragraph 12 of the complaint that Mr. Baron is the alter ego of Ondova and liable for the acts of Ondova.

"Recognition of privilege of separate existence would promote an injustice and gravitate against the plaintiff because Mr. Baron has dominated and controlled Ondova as follows:" And then we go into a number of different acts, only one of which is he hasn't adhered to the proper corporate formalities for Ondova. He has used the funds of Ondova for personal things and a number of other things. The one thing I do agree with what Mr. Bell says is I have properly alleged it, and I am entitled to get

discovery about this particular issue, and Mr. Baron has

09:05 decided on his own -- And so this is not counsel's 1 2 problem. Mr. Baron has decided on his own that he doesn't 3 have to produce the financial documents which I asked for under expedited discovery order from Judge Lynn because in 5 his personal opinion they are not relevant because he 6 doesn't think he's personally liable for paying those 7 domain name expenses. That's a hotly contested issue, and 8 I'm entitled to discovery on it, and Mr. Baron's judgment 9 about what is relevant is, with all due respect, not 10 relevant here. That's the first issue we're having is his 11 refusal to turn over to counsel and ultimately to me the 12 personal financial documents which I have requested, and obviously that's going to include the wire transfer 13 14 receipts and everything else on this 5.6 million, plus his 09:06 15 other assets, which are going to demonstrate to the Court 16 that the representation he asked his counsel to make that 17 he and Ondova are unable to pay the renewal fees are 18 false. 19 MR. BELL: Your Honor, if I may respond. In the 2.0 complaint it says Baron is the President and Chief 21 Executive Officer of Ondova. Mr. MacPete made the 22 representation this is only one issue with respect to 23 referring to corporations versus LLC's. First of all, 24 there are no officers and no directors of an LLC. 25 are members and managers.

09:07 Now, with respect to B, he also represented to 1 2 the Court in all the three years of litigation or four 3 years and millions of dollars spent with Locke Lidell and 4 Sapp that no discovery was taken. Now, how does he know 5 that Baron has commingled funds and other assets as a 6 convenience to assist in evading obligations of Ondova? 7 Ondova is a separate entity and Texas law recognizes that. 8 And C, he says Baron has failed to adhere to 9 corporate formalities for Ondova. Last I checked State of 10 Texas created this hybrid of LLC precisely for the reason 11 that LLC does not have to comply with corporate 12 formalities. That's one of the main reasons to get around 13 from the piercing the corporate veil standard that Mr. 14 MacPete is alleging. That's one of the issues. He made a 09:08 15 representation to the Court there was only one. 16 Here is Number 3. Maintain minutes and/or 17 adequate records. That's not part of the Texas Business 18 and Organizations Code. 19 D, Baron diverted funds or other assets to 2.0 Well, if there is no discovery taken despite the 21 millions of dollars in legal fees, how can you make that 22 blanket allegation? 2.3 MR. MACPETE: I'll be happy to tell you that, 24 your Honor. Mr. Baron has domain names of his own. 25 licensed those domain names to my client Netsphere in the

09:08 underlying litigation. For a long time he refused to 1 actually take delivery, if you will, of the money he was 2 3 owed under the licensing agreement by Netsphere, and what 4 he does is used Netsphere CFO as his personal paymaster, 5 and he asked her to pay personal expenses on his behalf 6 out of the money that was supposed to be paid to Ondova on 7 its domain name. So on that, I am going to have to find 8 out what other things he has done in terms of using the 9 company money for his personal expenses, but I already 10 have evidence under my control that that behavior was 11 going on. 12 MR. BELL: I made the representation that Ondova 13 is in the red. And basically what they are trying to do, 14 it's a red herring and straw man argument put together, 09:09 15 and what they are essentially trying to do is -- It would 16 be like your Honor having a corporation, and your wife and you individually. You having a corporation or LLC. 17 18 Basically what he's trying to do is force you and your 19 wife to make a capital contribution to the entity to float 2.0 expenses or get a bridge loan which Ondova has done at 21 usurious interest rates to keep this thing afloat, and the 22 evidence will show that. But to go beyond -- It would be 23 like asking for your financial records to force you to 24 make a capital contribution to you and your wife's

entities, and that's not appropriate, your Honor. I don't

25

09:10 have an affirmative pleading other than the motion to 1 2 The representation I made to the Court is Ondova 3 is in the red and on the verge of bankruptcy. And it's completely different from that of the plaintiffs, and Mr. 5 MacPete will talk about this in a second. He's going to 6 refuse to produce Mr. Krishan's personal financial 7 records. Is that still your position? 8 MR. MACPETE: Of course, it's still my position. 9 MR. BELL: Your Honor, may I approach? 10 THE COURT: Let me talk to you for a minute. 11 For the purposes of this enforcement of the settlement 12 agreement, just for the purposes of enforcement, explain to me why we need this information, Mr. MacPete, for the 13 14 purposes of the preliminary injunction. I realize that he 09:11 15 said he didn't have the money. But as I understand it --16 And Mr. Bell may be wrong here. But I remember Mr. Bell 17 saying any renewal fees have to be paid not by the 18 registrar but by the owner. 19 MR. MACPETE: That's right and in the underlying 2.0 litigation, your Honor, Mr. Baron personally claimed that 21 he was the owner, and he also claimed on behalf of the 22 corporation Ondova, whatever we're calling it, that Ondova 23 was the owner. So he had two essentially inconsistent 24 positions in the underlying litigation. But one of those 25 positions was that he was the owner of 50 per cent of the

09:12 portfolio. He, Mr. Baron, not Ondova. And what he's been 1 2 doing here is hiding behind the corporate entity while he 3 essentially has been running everything. As Mr. Bell 4 represented -- And I guess this was wrong when he said it. 5 Mr. Baron is the President of Ondova and I quess there are 6 no presidents of LLC's. So I guess that was wrong. But 7 I'm going off of what he has represented to the Court and what's been represented in the underlying litigation. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm almost to saying there is no 10 exchange of documents, zip, zero. So we're not going to 11 do the financial statements right now. You can ask him 12 all of those questions. Neither side gets the financial 13 statements. What else? 14 MR. MACPETE: Then, your Honor, there is another 09:12 15 category of documents, and this has to do with the 16 database which is maintained by the registrar of the 17 record title, and that's information which he is required 18 to maintain. It's actually public record. So by ICANN 19 Rules you have to be able to go in and put in the domain 2.0 name and pull up that information. And he's required 21 every week to electronically send a file to a third-party 22 escrow company. So if there was an earthquake or fire or 23 something happened to his computers, that information is

backed up somewhere else. It's publicly available

information, and it's information which he has to

24

25

09:13 specifically ask for. Mr. Rawls represented to me that he 1 2 was not able to produce that information in time for his 3 deposition. And there has been different representations 4 by different counsel about whether he actually had the 5 information. So counsel prior to Mr. Rawls represented he 6 didn't have that information and was unable to produce it. 7 That story has now changed, but nevertheless I didn't have 8 the information to be able to take his deposition 9 yesterday, and that information is critical in figuring 10 out the problems with the list that had been alleged by 11 Mr. Baron in actually coming up with an appropriate list, 12 if you will. If we go back to kind of the fundamental 13 problem of we've got a pile of domain names and under the 14 settlement agreement they need to be divided, you heard, 09:14 15 your Honor, earlier in this hearing there are three basic 16 categories of names on his registrar. There are a small 17 number -- probably 300 or less -- people unaffiliated with 18 the parties here who happened to register a domain name at 19 his registrar. Then about 3,00 he registered before he 2.0 ever met us. 21 THE COURT: Excuse me. It seems the great 22 problem we have here is getting some concurrence on what 23 is in the portfolio. 24 MR. MACPETE: That's right, your Honor. 25 MR. MACPETE: And to figure that out, we have to

09:15 have this who-is information. That's basically where I 1 2 was heading. We needed to have this who-is information 3 because that will then allow the counsel at least to weed out the small number of clients who are third-party 5 clients and not part of this dispute at all. Because 6 obviously those names should not be split or transferred 7 anywhere because they don't belong to any of the parties. THE COURT: Well, my view is anything that 8 9 relates to identifying the correct portfolio is subject to 10 discovery. Why wouldn't that be the case, Mr. Rawls? 11 MR. RAWLS: My client has some amount of his own 12 customers which he doesn't want his opponents to get their 13 hands on. 14 The lawyers have a confidentiality THE COURT: 09:15 15 agreement. That would be between the lawyers. 16 MR. BELL: As long as it's mutual. They've got 17 14 employees; we've got one. I think they've got better 18 access to this information, a lot of this information than 19 we do. Oh, by the way, they made the representation to 2.0 the Court, your Honor, that they were the original 21 registrant. So they should have this information. 22 THE COURT: So you want me to enter an order 23 saying we're going with their list and they will put it in 24 numerical order, and that's fine with you guys. 25 MR. BELL: No, I don't think --

09:16 MR. MACPETE: We would be happy with that. 1 2 That's a matter of the relief we asked for on preliminary 3 injunction, but if we could have it by agreement that 4 would be great. 5 THE COURT: Give them anything that relates to 6 what is in the portfolio is discoverable. 7 MR. BELL: I agree. As long as it's subject 8 to -- There is an issue with respect to violating federal 9 laws and state federal criminal laws and state criminal 10 laws. 11 THE COURT: You have a confidentiality order signed by the Court. You ought to be safe. And you 12 13 shouldn't be violating any laws, and that would be 14 entitled to highly confidential designation, eyes only, 09:17 15 for the lawyers. 16 MR. BELL: Very good. 17 MR. RAWLS: Your Honor, the who-is information 18 that Mr. MacPete is asking about, I want to make sure --19 And I think the Court is on the perfect right track as far 2.0 as helping us figure out what the portfolio is. That has 21 been the deal breaker for this deal. This memorandum 22 doesn't define it. So everything has broken down from there. But I do want to have some better direction about 23 24 exactly what my client is going to have to produce in this

expedited manner. My client has represented to me that

25

09:17 the business Ondova which we will represent he is not the 1 2 alter ego of used to have employees, programmers, 3 administrators, office space. The litigation has put so 4 much pressure on the business it has one employee, and 5 that's Mr. Baron, and apparently the document situation is 6 very unwieldy. And "chaos" might be the better term. 7 least, that's what's represented to me. 8 THE COURT: How is Mr. Baron making these 9 decisions about what is and what is not in the portfolio? 10 MR. RAWLS: I know he has had some assistance 11 from a potential business partner who was in Texas for a 12 while and no longer around. THE COURT: Well, surely the registrar has some 13 14 obligation here. Declare bankruptcy. You know, I'm 09:18 15 looking at this like a trustee. A trustee is taken under certain obligations to maintain and protect property. 16 17 would think the registrar is something like a trustee. 18 has to maintain and protect property. If it can't do 19 that, unless it fails to do so, it needs to find somebody 2.0 else to do this. You know these are important things. So 21 I mean it's kind of alarming that you have a registrar 22 whose obligation is to register and protect and renew this 23 property. They don't even know what the property is. 24 MR. BELL: Your Honor, real quick. If Ondova 25 was getting paid, we would be able to do it. Ondova is

09:19 not getting paid to do the registrar thing. 1 2 MR. MACPETE: 5.6 million dollars during the 3 underlying --4 THE COURT: If we have money problems, I can 5 solve those. I can have Mr. Baron and Mr. Krishan put in 6 \$25,000 a piece into the registry. I don't know what the 7 money problems are. \$50,000 a piece. They are parties 8 here so I can have them put in all the money I need to, 9 \$100,000 a piece into your --10 MR. BELL: The registry of the Court? 11 THE COURT: No, into your funds. 12 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, there is an easy way 13 to solve the money problem, and it's provided in the 14 settlement agreement. These names are out there now 09:20 15 making money, maybe not much under Mr. Baron's control. 16 But under the settlement agreement, that money is supposed 17 to be divided between the parties fifty-fifty and that 18 hasn't been done. 19 THE COURT: Who is getting it? 2.0 MR. MACPETE: A third-party monetization 21 company, and that money has not been able to be dispersed 22 because the defendants are refusing to issue the 23 instruction to Hit Farm to give half to them and a half to 24 us, and then there is other companies making money off 25 these names by Mr. Baron. He is -- We don't know what has

```
09:20
      1
            happened to that money.
       2
                      THE COURT: How much money do I need right now
       3
            to get this done? How much money?
       4
                      MR. MACPETE: The answer is, your Honor, nobody
       5
            knows.
       6
                      THE COURT: $100,000, $200,000?
       7
                      MR. MACPETE: We don't know.
                      THE COURT: Half a million dollars?
       8
       9
                      MR. MACPETE: What we suggest is you issue an
      10
            order that the defendants direct the monetization company
      11
            who are monetizing or who are monetizing the names to
      12
            interplead the funds into this Court, and the Court will
      13
            have the money that's supposed to be divided under the
      14
            settlement agreement, and the parties can come in and talk
09:21 15
            about what ought to be done with that money.
      16
                      THE COURT: It's a cumbersome process to put
      17
           money in the registry of the Court. You have a trust
      18
            account?
      19
                      MR. MACPETE: I do, your Honor.
      2.0
                      THE COURT: Why don't we do that? If we can't
      21
            do that, Mr. Baron and Mr. Krishan are going to put
      22
            $200,000 in your trust funds. If we got money problems, I
      23
            can solve the money problems. We will put money in the
      24
            trust funds and pay out what needs to be paid out.
      25
                      MR. MACPETE: And $325,000 at least being held
```

```
09:22
            by Hit Farm, and if the defendants essentially issue a
      1
       2
            directive along with me, they will wire that money in my
       3
            trust account. That's a perfect solution.
       4
                      MR. RAWLS: The money problem is that we need to
       5
            renew the names. It's that simple. And right now my
       6
            understanding is the money that's being made off them from
       7
            the advertising clicks and all of that is not enough to do
            that. Mr. MacPete's clients want to take --
       8
       9
                      THE COURT: You told me $7,500. That's $50,000.
      10
            That's not correct --
      11
                      MR. RAWLS: If we're just talking about the time
      12
            period between now and the hearing, we have taken care of
      13
            the money problem for that.
      14
                      THE COURT: Why can't you give the information
09:22 15
            on the portfolio?
      16
                      MR. BELL: That's like 650,000 domain names.
      17
            It's a big difference.
      18
                      MR. RAWLS: We can give them information, Judge.
      19
            I just want to limit the scope of it to tell me client
      2.0
            exactly what he has to do. I'm being told it's going to
      21
            take time. First of all, Judge, yesterday I got just as
      22
            one chunk of documents those twelve hundred documents that
      23
            represented only a small portion of names deleted before
      24
            Judge Lynn's order. I don't know exactly where these
      25
            documents are. And I don't know generally where they are.
```

09:23 This is a document-intensive case, data-intensive case 1 2 printed out in some places and electronically in other 3 places. And without staff and programmers, my client is telling me he's going to have a hard time coming up with 5 the broad categories they are asking for. They want to --6 Believe me, I want to know what it is. 7 THE COURT: Your client can't tell us that? 8 is the registrar and can't tell us what is in this 9 portfolio. 10 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, Judge Lynn had the 11 same incredulity that you had, and she said, Mr. Bell, 12 Either your client can produce it or I will issue an order 13 that lets the plaintiff with all of their programmers and 14 experts figure it out. And if that's the answer, we'll be 09:24 15 happy to go over there and figure it out. 16 MR. BELL: For the most part, I will have to 17 confer with my client. But as a general rule, I don't 18 have a problem with the concept. They also own a 19 registrar, and we've got some trade secret stuff like I'm 2.0 sure he would disagree for us to go over to theirs. 21 think my client would be agreeable to a neutral, and they 22 would be agreeable to pull that information. 2.3 THE COURT: This doesn't make any sense. For 24 the registrar not to have a list of the portfolio. 25 doesn't make any sense. If he doesn't have the list and

09:25 he can't do the list, I'm sending them over. I'll do what 1 2 Judge Lynn suggests. So you either give him a list of 3 their portfolio, and if you don't have a list, I'm sending 4 people over to your computer. 5 MR. RAWLS: Would the Court be amenable to 6 allowing us a reasonable amount of time, say, by the end 7 of today to make a decision about which of those things is 8 going to happen? If they are going to get to do it, there 9 is not going to be a risk of client information. If his 10 clients do it the, highly confidential part doesn't apply. 11 THE COURT: That is true it doesn't apply. But it's not sensible for the registrar not to know what the 12 portfolio is. As I say, he has to protect the property. 13 14 If he can't even know what the property is, that's a 09:26 15 problem. Let me tell you, I don't mind sending a third 16 party over. It would be at your expense, and Mr. Baron 17 will put the money into Mr. MacPete's account, whatever 18 that is, and I'll send the third party over, and we'll put 19 the third party under a highly confidential agreement, and 2.0 Mr. Baron will put the money in Mr. MacPete's account and 21 that's it. 22 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, this is a stall 23 I'm not accusing these lawyers. They were not tactic. 24 getting the story from their client. These files are 25 electronic. Every week he has to send out an electronic

09:26 file. The idea that he doesn't have it, as your Honor 1 2 said, is ludicrous. He has it in an electronic form, and 3 he doesn't want to produce it. So he's telling his 4 lawyers he doesn't have it or not able to produce it, and 5 that's not true. When we were in state court and dealing 6 with the prior lawyers, we all agreed this who-is 7 information needs to be produced. That's how we get to 8 the bottom of what was a third-party customer name and 9 what needed to be split. But they weren't able to get 10 their client to turn over the information, and so we 11 subpoenaed it from Iron Mountain, and he ordered the prior 12 lawyers to quash the subpoena so we couldn't get the 13 information. 14 THE COURT: Do you have the capacity within your 09:27 15 law firm to do this? 16 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, to be honest I have no 17 idea whether anybody at my law firm can do it, and I know 18 my clients can do it. If your Honor orders that he would 19 produce it or my clients get access, I feel confident he 2.0 is going to turn it over. 21 MR. RAWLS: And I just want to know what we're 22 talking about, the who-is information. I don't know all 23 the information necessary to pry the parties with the 24 basis of what the list is or isn't. But whatever I want 25 to do is do it in a reasonable way. Especially under the

09:28 time constraints. So if we're talking about the who-is 1 2 information and the last file he had to send to Iron 3 Mountain that has the information in it, we can do that. 4 MR. MACPETE: Well, your Honor, I have three 5 other things that are related to that. He asked a good 6 question. Number one, we need the who-is information. 7 That's essentially the record title stuff on all the 8 domain names on his registrar so that we can sort out 9 which are third-party customers and which are the names at 10 issue. 11 Second, I need a list of the domain names that 12 he deleted, and Judge Lynn specifically said in the 13 transcript that was something he was going to produce or 14 she was going to give us access to his computers to figure 09:28 15 out. 16 MR. RAWLS: That's printed out. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MACPETE: Third, I need the financial 19 information about what the domain names have been doing. 2.0 He's been operating this stuff and not giving the money 21 over under the settlement agreement, and we don't have any 22 access to the data he's been getting about what money is 23 earned and where it's going, and we need that. 24 THE COURT: And that's collected where? 25 MR. MACPETE: It's collected at the monetization

```
09:29
            company, and in most circumstances he would have a log in
       1
       2
            at the monetization company that will allow him to log
       3
            in --
       4
                      THE COURT: So that would be ordering him to
       5
            give authority to the monetization company to produce the
       6
            information?
       7
                      MR. MACPETE: Actually the easy way would be for
       8
            him to give us the passwords for the various monetization
       9
            companies that he's currently using, and we can get in and
      10
            download the information.
      11
                      MR. BELL: That's assuming he's using a
      12
            monetization, and that's not the case.
      13
                      THE COURT: Well, he can give them the codes,
      14
            and if he is not using it, there will be nothing there.
09:30 15
            Can you do that, Mr. MacPete?
      16
                      MR. RAWLS: So it would be confidential?
      17
                      MR. MACPETE: It's about their names.
      18
                      MR. RAWLS: That would assume we have a list
      19
            that we have already pared down.
      2.0
                      THE COURT: I tell you, the problem is these are
      21
            all things in the defendant's possession. The defendant
      22
            should have these in readily identifiable form. If the
      23
            defendant doesn't, I have to take extraordinary steps.
      24
            All of this would be under the confidentially order, not
      25
            under the highly confidential order, and your clients
```

09:30 cannot use this information for improper purposes at all. 1 MR. MACPETE: Understood. We would want it to 2 3 be confident. It shouldn't be highly confidential. 4 THE COURT: You can prepare the order, but I am 5 going to give you the who-is information, and I am going 6 to give you -- You already have the deleted names 7 information. I am going to give you the information from 8 the monetization companies by giving you the passwords 9 codes and so forth. I am going to give you that. 10 MR. MACPETE: In addition to that, your Honor, 11 they represented to Judge Lynn that the names they deleted 12 were names that were worthless, not making much money. That obviously means they had some kind of records or 13 14 reports or something from which he made the decision that 09:31 15 these are the bad names that I want to get rid of. 16 clients don't agree with that representation to the Court, 17 but we need to get his document on which he based the 18 decision to delete these domain names and see what he was 19 relying on when he told the Court these were bad names. That's not the information we have. So we need those 2.0 21 reports as well. 22 THE COURT: You'll get those. By three o'clock 23 this afternoon. If Mr. Baron says he doesn't have the 24 ability to produce that information, then I'll send your 25 people in.

09:32 MR. RAWLS: Your Honor, that question by my 1 2 client may depend on the date it is to be done by. By 3 next Monday as opposed to Wednesday, depending on the 4 deposition going forward, that could affect his answer. MR. MACPETE: That was part of the reason why we 5 6 were here. I was supposed to have his deposition 7 yesterday. I had a court reporter waiting for hours and 8 videographer waiting for hours. Some of them they said 9 we'll give you some of these documents, but you can't have 10 them until next Wednesday. And I think they are still 11 going to ask the Court that their depositions are not 12 going until next Wednesday. 13 THE COURT: Well, I will order all of that 14 information produced Tuesday by three o'clock in the 09:32 15 afternoon. If it's not -- And by the way, you have to 16 make the decision by today at three, and if you make the 17 decision I can't do it by Tuesday at four, then we're 18 sending in Mr. MacPete's clients. 19 MR. MACPETE: And I guess to the extent that 2.0 means we're going to start up with the deposition 21 schedules with their depositions next Wednesday, I come to 22 the last problem of we obeyed the court order. 23 listened to Judge Lynn. We did what she asked us to do. 24 I produced my clients on three days' notice, and my 25 clients all live in California. So I have four people

09:33 that had to fly out here to give corporate or individual 1 2 depositions pursuant to their notice at the last minute, 3 and that cost thousands of dollars in plane tickets. yesterday when we should have been taking depositions with 5 documents, instead we were sitting around talking about 6 whether Mr. Baron felt like his personal financial 7 documents were relevant. And I have court reporter time 8 and videographer time that got wasted. Yesterday on the 9 phone you said what I am going to do is assess cost or 10 maybe order the depositions be taken in California. I'm 11 not sure which way your Honor wants to go with that. But 12 I would ask either as a result of the defendants having to have this stuff moved on when we complied with the order 13 14 at great expense, that we be compensated for our 09:34 15 attorneys' fees and court reporter and videographer fees 16 and the travel costs or in the alternative that you order 17 these depositions to take place at my offices in 18 California so my clients don't have to incur the travel 19 expenses again. But I would still be asking the Court to 2.0 order the time wasted yesterday reimbursed. 21 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to make a 22 decision about assessing cost. 23 MR. BELL: Just so it's fair, may I say 24 something? I had a deposition of Munish Krishan, and I 25 was ready, willing and able to perform on that, and I

09:35 haven't seen any documents. I'm out money on 1 2 videographers, and I could have taken a certificate of 3 nonappearance, and I didn't because they were working it 4 out. So we're out plenty of money, too. I have had a 5 case, your Honor, with Judge Ramirez, and they are the 6 plaintiffs in this case, and because they are the 7 plaintiffs, they filed in the Northern District of Texas. 8 There is plenty of case law that the plaintiff even though 9 they are out-of-state residents, because they chose 10 this -- and I think it's Emkey versus Compana. 11 THE COURT: You know, guys, you are arguing 12 about the shape of the table. We're going to do the depositions here, but could be somebody is going to pay a 13 14 lot of money before this is over about the cost. We'll 09:35 15 take all the depositions. Been a lot of expense. People 16 are just completely spending treasurer in this case beyond 17 words. This is why we're probably going to kill 18 litigation in this nation. This is a great example of 19 why, because we can't agree to the shape of the table. 2.0 MR. BELL: I say you hold us in contempt and 21 force us to the jail and beat us until --22 THE COURT: You do what you want to do. I never 23 require people to do what they don't want to do. If you 24 want to sit down and resolve the case, do. If not, that's 25 fine.

09:36 MR. RAWLS: I understand the Court is not going 1 2 to make a ruling on cost, but while I have the 3 opportunity, I think it's important that the Court 4 understand that I as an officer -- I have never been 5 before you. I became involved in this lawsuit Friday 6 morning just in time for the first of three telephonic 7 hearings with Judge Lynn in which all of this was granted 8 and ordered. And since then I have done nothing else but 9 try to figure this out. And as soon as this Court moved 10 the hearing, I immediately tried to get the depositions 11 moved, and by that time it was already too late by a 12 matter of hours on the plaintiff's plane time. I think I 13 made it clear that we needed more time to get the 14 documents done. I don't want the Court to think I have 09:37 15 been stalling on behalf of anyone because I have not. 16 THE COURT: You sound like you are good lawyers 17 an good guys an working hard but we have to break through 18 this. Somewhere or another we have to figure out how to 19 resolve this case or get it in a position that a judge can 2.0 resolve this case. If you don't want to do anything 21 between now and the 1st, except argue with each, that's 22 fine. But on the 1st somebody is going to make a 23 decision, and you can do whatever you want. My view is 24 we're going to take the depositions here. I don't want 25 anybody to be calling me about this portfolio issue.

09:38 MacPete gets what he wants, and if he doesn't get it on 1 2 the portfolio issue, which is the crucial issue here, then 3 there will be hell to pay. And if you guys say we can't 4 do it, then I'm sending his people in there. That's fine. 5 We can do it either way. Judge Lynn made a good decision 6 on that. 7 MR. RAWLS: With regard to giving them access or 8 directing the monetization company to tell them how much 9 money has been made on these names, my understanding is --10 And I don't know what all information they have. I think 11 there are only certain accounts that Ondova is a party to, and I don't know that they can instruct --12 13 THE COURT: You are not going to instruct. You 14 are going to give the passwords so they can go directly. 09:39 15 MR. BELL: I'd ask, your Honor, that they not 16 interfere in any way with any money getting directed and 17 the Court freeze whatever monies, if any, in any accounts. 18 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you, that money is 19 not going out to inappropriate parties or anything. 2.0 take it the monetization companies are just holding that 21 money. 22 MR. MACPETE: We don't know, your Honor. He's 23 been moving these things around. So your Honor is fully 24 clear on what the situation is. 25 THE COURT: It's final. You got the access

09:39 codes to all monetization companies that have anything to 1 2 do with your portfolio. So you get all the access codes, 3 period, exclamation point. And if Mr. Baron needs to do 4 something personally to call the companies, he's ordered 5 to do so. He's ordered to make sure that you have access 6 to all the monetization companies. 7 MR. MACPETE: Thank you, your Honor. With 8 respect to the money that's currently being held, is the 9 Court ordering that the parties are going to --10 THE COURT: If they are just holding the 11 monies -- They are reputable, legitimate people -- we 12 don't have to worry about them doing something stupid. 13 MR. RAWLS: I agree. 14 MR. MACPETE: With respect to Hit Farm, your 09:40 15 Honor, I agree with that, and Mr. Cantner (phonetic) is 16 representing Hit Farm, and he's been working with all the 17 counsel. So I'm comfortable with that. There are two 18 other parking companies who have essentially refused to 19 agree that money is not going to be distributed to him 2.0 without our consent, and we have had to sue both of those 21 companies. We have a state court lawsuit in California 22 against one and a federal court lawsuit in California 23 against the second. 24 That is not an injunction issue. THE COURT: 25 That's just a damage issue. If the money is being sent to 09:41 the wrong places, then, you know, that's a damage issue. 1 2 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I'd like to get their 3 pass codes. This is according to my client. They've got 4 a million dollars at Google. Google is holding a million dollars in monetization funds, and I'd like to be able to 5 6 get whatever information there is to that that relates to 7 the portfolio. 8 If that's true, we would like that 9 because the settlement agreement requires a true-up of all 10 monetary funds during the litigation. 11 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, please go back to look at the settlement agreement because the settlement says in 12 13 Paragraph 56 fifty-fifty true up of all monies paid during 14 the litigation. It's not all monetization monies at any 09:42 15 time in the past. This Google money that they are talking 16 about is something that's pre-litigation, pre-underlying 17 litigation and not dealt with in the settlement agreement 18 at all. So we're trying to get a free fishing expedition 19 of, hey, let's see what their clients may have at Google. 2.0 It's got to be mutual. 21 THE COURT: No, no, it doesn't have to be mutual 22 always. As I understand Ondova is the registrar and 23 directs the monetization companies to hold certain monies 24 that come com from the portfolio. 25 Now, what is the Google money? Explain to me

09:42 how Ondova has some interest in the Google money? 1 2 MR. BELL: Because pursuant to the MOU, Google 3 was one of the monetization companies -- When the 4 parties -- When Baron and Krishan were partners and Ondova 5 was serving as the registrant. 6 THE COURT: I understand there is --7 MR. BELL: Basically during the life of the 8 underlying litigation, there is a million dollars being 9 held at Google that was made off of my clients' money and 10 their client. And they are holding a million bucks and 11 trying to hide the ball from us. So we want to make sure 12 that we get that information as well, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Show me in the MOU what we're 14 talking about. It says here, after all monies held by 09:44 15 USVI entities -- And the US entities are HCB LLC; RIM LLC; 16 Simple Solutions LLC; Search Guide LLC; Blue Horizons LLC; 17 Four Points LLC; Novapoint, Inc.; and Iguana, Inc. 18 MR. BELL: Paragraph 10. It says "Any 19 monetization money" -- that would include Google --2.0 "received by any of the parties," and they've got an 21 exclusive contract with Google with part of our money as 22 well of the Manila Portfolio which we're talking about 23 will be split fifty-fifty. That means Google money, your 24 Honor. 25 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, with all due respect,

09:45 Mr. Bell is confused. If you remember the underlying 1 2 litigation started with Mr. Baron engaging in self-help 3 and taking down our domain names, and he has operated our 4 domain names from November 13, 2006 to today. So there is 5 no Google monetization revenues for him to get any 6 discovery about. I have nothing to produce because --7 THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. MacPete, tell me 8 what is the Google money. 9 MR. MACPETE: I'm not sure what his client is 10 talking about, your Honor. Like I said, we haven't had 11 our domain names to monetize since the date he hijacked 12 them. MR. BELL: Your Honor, I want to be clear about 13 14 this hijacking issue. 09:45 15 THE COURT: What are the Google funds that you 16 are talking about? They come from the portfolio? 17 MR. BELL: They have been holding many -- Google 18 has been holding money that the portfolio generated for 19 the last couple of years. That's number one. 2.0 THE COURT: Google has been holding money that 21 the portfolio -- the Manila portfolio generated for the 22 last couple of years. 23 MR. BELL: I need to clarify something real 24 quick. I think this might help you understand. These 25 guys were partners despite what Mr. MacPete said.

```
09:46
                      THE COURT: They had a partnership agreement.
      1
       2
                      MR. MACPETE: No.
       3
                      MR. BELL: The Search Guide Agreement. I got
       4
            plenty of evidence on that. But Mr. Krishan and Netsphere
       5
            and Manila sold their whole Manila portfolio for 4.2
       6
           million dollars to the USSI parties, and Mr. MacPete can
       7
            tell you -- How much did you get? 3.7 million dollars for
       8
            selling the portfolio.
       9
                      MR. MACPETE: He's arguing the underlying
      10
            litigation about whether the deal in the Virgin Islands
      11
            occurred or not.
      12
                      THE COURT: Give me an account of the Google
      13
           money under seal, and I'll take a look at it.
      14
                      MR. MACPETE: I don't have any to give you.
09:47 15
            He's not making sense. We haven't had the names since
      16
           November 13, 2006.
      17
                      THE COURT: Is there any money generated from
      18
            the domain names into some Google account?
      19
                      MR. MACPETE: And I don't have any of that
      2.0
            information. If he wants to get discovery from Google,
      21
            that's fine.
      22
                      THE COURT:
                                  I'm asking you. They are making the
      23
            representations. You don't know whether there is at any
      24
            time during this time that the Manila Portfolio generated
      25
            money that is held in some way by Google?
```

09:48 MR. MACPETE: I think what he's talking about, 1 2 your Honor -- And it's nothing we had anything to do with. 3 Is when the portfolio was originally hijacked the first 4 monetization company that Mr. Baron sent the domains to 5 was a company called Oversea.com, and that's a 6 monetization company that uses a Google feed to make money 7 on domain names. After the domain names were hijacked 8 because we were at Manila and Netsphere, those names were 9 licensed to Google. So when Mr. Baron engaged in 10 self-help, he breached those agreements. Google was 11 understandably pissed about the fact those names were 12 taken away. So Google had a contract with Oversea, and 13 when Google found out that Oversea was now monetizing 14 names which Google already had under a license from my 09:49 15 clients -- I know this from second or third hand -- Google 16 told Oversea you don't have the right to monetize these 17 names. So you have this in breach of our agreement with 18 Netsphere. So we're not paying you. And I understand 19 there is a lawsuit between the Virgin Island parties with 2.0 the Oversea Company about the payment of the money. We 21 don't have an account at Google. We didn't have anything 22 to do with Google telling them they weren't going to pay. 23 If he wants discovery about whether Oversea or Google has 24 the money, I'm cool with that, but I don't have the 25 documents.

09:50 MR. BELL: Can I get the contract with Google 1 2 and whatever passwords they have, if any? 3 THE COURT: Address it to me. Address all 4 comments to me. 5 THE COURT: What about Oversea? What do you have with Oversea? 6 7 MR. MACPETE: We weren't the ones who did that. 8 He was the -- He has whatever pass codes or whatever that 9 was with Oversea. That was being done in derogation of 10 our license rights. 11 THE COURT: What is your contract with Google? 12 MR. MACPETE: We have a contract with Google 13 that allows Netsphere to monetize third-party domain names 14 through Google's absence program. And it's nothing to do 09:50 15 with this here. All this is the defendant trying to 16 harass my clients with getting their confidential contract 17 with Google which has a penalty of death if you turn it 18 over to anybody. 19 THE COURT: I'm entering an order that you let 2.0 me see Netsphere's contract with Google under seal in 21 camera, and I'll see what this has to do with this. 22 Otherwise, I wouldn't require anything to be done about 23 that. So give me that by next Tuesday at ten o'clock. 24 MR. MACPETE: Key point to remember. After 25 November 13, 2006 we didn't have control of the portfolio,

09:51 and we didn't get any of the money. 1 2 THE COURT: You can attach an advisory with your 3 in camera submission to me giving me whatever explanation 4 you want. 5 MR. RAWLS: Your Honor, if we're finished 6 talking with Mr. MacPete, the documents he wants from my 7 client I am going to defer to Mr. Bell on any additional 8 documents that he may want from the plaintiffs. 9 Okav. What else, Mr. MacPete? THE COURT: 10 MR. MACPETE: I think that's it for the most 11 part. Let's hear what Mr. Bell has to say. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Bell. MR. BELL: I'll talk to Mr. MacPete. I have to 13 14 commend him. That 279 RFP is probably too much, but I 09:52 15 have to give it to him. 211 of them were done from Locke 16 Lord. They were so good I had to use them, but fifty of 17 them were basically contention RFP's, and there have been 18 allegations in this TRO talking about -- and it's brought 19 up on behalf of every single plaintiff individually, and I 2.0 understand you don't want to give out financial records, 21 and we're okay with that. But I need the corporations's 22 financial records, and I think Mr. MacPete is agreeable to 23 that because they are claiming the result is Netsphere 24 parties are on the verge of bankruptcy, and anything 25 having to do with the revenues, damages, anything like

```
09:53
            that, irreparable harm. Other than Munish's personal
       1
       2
            records.
       3
                      THE COURT: I'm here to talk about what you
       4
            haven't agreed upon.
       5
                      MR. BELL: Yes, we're good.
       6
                      THE COURT: So if you have agreed on it, I don't
       7
            need to talk to you about it.
                      MR. BELL: I wanted to make sure that was still
       8
       9
            in play, your Honor.
      10
                      THE COURT: Anything else?
      11
                      MR. BELL: No, permission to withdraw, your
      12
            Honor.
                      THE COURT: Permission to leave the battlefield.
      1.3
      14
                      MR. BELL: May we be excused, your Honor?
09:53 15
                      THE COURT: I want to see where we are in regard
      16
            to the depositions now.
      17
                      MR. MACPETE: Two things, your Honor, with
            respect to that who-is information, a lot of that
      18
      19
            information is kept electronically, and if it's kept
      2.0
            electronically, then I want it in electronic form. If he
      21
            prints out 50,000 pages, I can't do anything with it.
      22
                      THE COURT: Electronic form is fine.
      23
                      MR. MACPETE: And your Honor indicated now under
      24
            West Texas Rules.
      25
                      THE COURT: If you can't agree, plaintiff gets
```

```
09:54
            to take Mr. Baron's deposition first, and defendants get
      1
       2
            to take Mr. Krishan's deposition and whoever else is next.
       3
            So you will do it in a series.
       4
                      MR. MACPETE: Appreciate it.
                      MR. BELL: Your Honor, are we saying the
       5
       6
            depositions are going to start probably on Wednesday, is
       7
            what I'm assuming?
       8
                      THE COURT: That's what I'm assuming.
       9
                      MR. BELL: That's the 25th. I think we've got
      10
            probably two, three, five -- I think we can get it in done
      11
            in time.
      12
                      THE COURT: Nobody is going to be resting on any
      13
            weekends I don't think.
      14
                      MR. BELL: Just real quick. A couple of things
09:55 15
            I need to go over my client wants produced. If what
      16
           Mr. -- I think I will be two more minutes, your Honor, if
      17
            you don't mind. It just got brought to my attention.
      18
            they were the alleged owners and we hijacked it, I would
      19
            like to get any registration or renewal information from
      2.0
            them that's possible in an electronic format as well.
      21
                      THE COURT: Well, in regard to portfolio
      22
            information, both of you ought to be -- For example, you
      23
           have a portfolio you think you own, Mr. MacPete. That
      24
           portfolio information and the domain names on that should
      25
            be given to the other side.
```

09:55 MR. MACPETE: I have told them that we were 1 2 giving them that, and it has to be produced in electronic 3 form? 4 THE COURT: Yes, everything in electronic form. Anything involving the portfolio of the Manila needs to be 5 6 produced going both ways. 7 MR. BELL: With respect to money received from 8 the disputed domain names from them, all revenues and 9 checks, I would like those produced. They are saying 10 there are none, but I'd like to make sure --11 THE COURT: Well, you are talking about since 12 November of 2008 or --MR. BELL: No, since 2004 is when these parties 13 14 allegedly went into business together. They are going to 09:56 15 have a lot of the Google information. At one point, I 16 think the portfolio was making 22, 24 million dollars a 17 year when everybody was happy. 18 MR. MACPETE: This is a complete fishing 19 expedition from the underlying litigation. Their party 2.0 has been directing the lawyers to try to reopen the 21 litigation in the state court, and he asked for this 22 discovery in the state court, and the judge told them no. 2.3 This is an attempt --24 THE COURT: Right now as far as I'm concerned, 25 financial information is not going to be discovered by

09:57 either side. I'm talking about -- I'm here being asked to 1 2 look at the settlement and MOU, and that's all I'm looking 3 at. 4 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I think right now I'll 5 pass. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MACPETE: Thank you, your Honor. 8 appreciate your time today. I'm sorry we went past your 9 9:30. 10 THE COURT: I know you are working hard, but at 11 some point we have to do this and get the discovery done 12 and get the depositions taken and then show up here on the 1st. It's not going to be an easy thing for me to try to 13 14 resolve this, but I am going to do the best I can. 09:58 15 main view is I take it this portfolio is valuable. And so 16 if nothing else can be done, as I say, I can put all the 17 names in a receivership and put them under some other 18 registration, and then, you know, we can wait and see what 19 happens. I don't know how much that will cost or 2.0 whatever. But I want you to know my goal is protect this 21 stuff and not let it get lost in cyberspace. 22 MR. MACPETE: Your Honor, if we're not able to 23 get the settlement agreement moving under the relief we 24 requested at the preliminary injunction, we will support 25 your idea that all the names should be given to a receiver

09:58 and have them operated by the receiver so they can be 1 2 protected. But I'm hoping we can get the settlement 3 agreement moving with the lawyers -- and they are working 4 hard -- or by a preliminary injunction. But if not, a 5 receiver is a good idea. 6 THE COURT: It may be at some point I could be 7 challenged about deciding the injunction. Then I can use 8 my inherent powers, you know, to preserve the status quo 9 and preserve the property and just wait for a damage suit. 10 I have some alternatives here that I can use. But I don't 11 want everybody to think that if, for example, I don't 12 enter the injunction -- maybe it's not clear to me -- but 13 I am going to protect this property. It's under my 14 jurisdiction. I'm going to protect it and it seems 09:59 15 valuable to me, and as I say, we don't want it lost. 16 Don't think I am without any bullets in my gun because I'm So it seems to me in everybody's's best interest --17 not. 18 less expensive and everything else -- to figure out a way 19 to resolve the case. If you can't, you'll come here. 2.0 self-help by anybody. I'll keep this case under my 21 jurisdiction for ten years, and we'll let a receiver skim 22 everything off the top that the receiver needs to skim and 23 the registrar needs to take, and whatever is left, I will 24 put that in the registry of the Court, and you guys, may 25 be by 2050 I'll distribute it. So at any rate, if there

10:00 is any value here, let's preserve it, and there sure ought 1 2 to be enough value to go around, and if there is not, a 3 receiver can tell me so five years from now. 4 MR. MACPETE: That's exactly what we want. We 5 thank you very much. 6 MR. BELL: We're going to do our best to bang it 7 out. 8 THE COURT: I saw three good lawyers today. 9 know that three good lawyers will do their best. You 10 acquitted yourself well and I know you have been working 11 hard, but I wanted the clients here to let them know what 12 the deal is. And I'm not going to let this stuff 13 disappear in cyberspace. I am going to take charge of it 14 and save the value for whomever needs it, and if we have 10:01 15 to have a receiver every four or five years, if you agree 16 to a fifty-fifty split, I can dole the money out every 17 five years or something. I'll get the receiver to kind of 18 do a numbers split, and I'll see which money goes with 19 which numbers and take it from there. At any rate, the 2.0 maintain thing is the rule of law needs to prevail in this 21 It's very important. We're all bound by the rule 22 of law, and if not, life is chaos and that's bad, and I 23 don't have the feeling with so many lawsuits and arguments 24 that we're making progress with the rule of law, and 25 that's my goal, and I think I can do it. One way or the

```
10:02
            other, I think I can do it. Just remember, I do have
       1
       2
            access to the Army, Navy, Marines and Air Force.
       3
                      MR. MACPETE: One last thing, your Honor. My
       4
            clients reminded me that the deleted domain list that Mr.
       5
            Rawls said is 1,200 pages and that is something I think
       6
            electronically --
       7
                      THE COURT: Everything is to be exchanged
       8
            electronically.
       9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      2.0
      21
      22
      23
      24
      25
```

CERTIFICATION 1 2 3 I, Cassidi L. Casey, certify that during the 4 proceedings of the foregoing-styled and -numbered cause, I 5 was the official reporter and took in stenotypy such 6 proceedings and have transcribed the same as shown by the 7 above and foregoing Pages 1 through 113 and that said 8 transcript is true and correct. 9 10 I further certify that the transcript fees and format 11 comply with those prescribed by the court and the Judicial 12 Conference of the United States. 13 14 15 s/Cassidi L. Casey 16 CASSIDI L. CASEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT REPORTER 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION 18 CSR NUMBER 1703 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25

< Dates > **14** 65:17, 81:17 **4.3** 35:15 **April 26** 20:9, 21:21, 22:15 **14**. 64:14 **April 29** 23:18, 24:4, 24:5 **15D6L** 1:45 July 1st 32:16, 36:23, 36:24, **16** 28:25 < 5 > 37:2, 42:11, 42:22, 59:17 **16th** 25:25 **5.6** 32:8, 35:14, 69:9, 72:11, June 19, 2009 1:15 **17** 69:18 75:14, 84:2 November 12, 2006 62:14 **1700** 1:33 **50** 78:25 **November 13** 18:3 **1703** 113:21 **50,000** 106:21 November 13, 2006 18:13, **1st** 42:19, 50:16, 51:3, 52:14, **500** 48:15 18:19, 101:4, 102:16, 104:25 53:9, 96:21, 96:22, 109:13 **56** 99:13 **\$100,000** 84:9, 85:6 **\$2,500** 40:24 **\$2.99.** 45:22 < 2 > < 6 > **2** 22:3 **615** 1:39 **\$200,000** 85:6, 85:22 **\$25,000** 84:6 **2004** 108:13 **6440** 1:39 **\$325,000** 85:25 **2005** 14:13, 16:22 **650** 55:13 **\$50,000** 42:10, 84:7 **2006** 14:3, 18:3 **650,000** 48:15, 86:16 **\$50,000.** 86:9 **2006.** 73:24 **659,000** 26:5 **\$52,000** 42:10 **2008** 108:12 **670,000** 26:11 **\$52,000.** 41:6 **2050** 110:25 **\$7** 41:8 **20th** 9:22 **\$7,500** 40:24, 41:8 **211** 105:15 < 7 > **7** 22:16, 33:16, 60:5 **\$7,500.** 86:9 **214-354-3139** 1:47 **\$7.00** 36:2 **214/293-2263** 1:41 **7.00** 14:5 **\$7.02** 15:21. 30:1 **214/740-8662** 1:28 **7,500** 43:7, 43:8 -numbered 113:4 **7.** 22:16 **214/939-8697** 1:35 .com 14:5, 15:4, 15:16, **75201** 1:27 **22** 108:16 16:10, 39:21 **2200** 1:26 **75206** 1:40 .net 15:16, 39:21 **23-hour** 20:9 **75242** 1:46 **24** 41:3, 43:10, 45:4, 108:16 **75270-2041** 1:34 **25** 27:6 25th 107:9 < 0 > **09-CV-0988-F** 1:6, 2:6 **267** 64:10, 65:8, 66:9 < 8 > **8** 29:16 **279** 105:14 **29th** 24:2 **80.** 60:6 **8:00** 64:4, 64:8 <1> **1** 29:16, 113:7 **8:30** 6:19 1,200 112:5 < 3 > **10** 30:11, 32:24 **3** 1:6, 2:6, 22:5, 23:20, 25:21, **10.** 100:18 < 9 > 28:24 **107** 36:5 **3,00** 80:19 **9** 24:6 **9.900** 27:9 **10:30** 6:19 **3.** 22:5, 76:16 **10th** 37:23 **3.7** 102:7 **9,928** 26:21 **11** 32:6, 33:5 **300** 80:17 **90** 17:4 **1100** 1:45 **99.5** 11:19 **113** 113:7 **9:30.** 58:10, 109:9 **12** 74:11, 74:14 < 4 > **1201** 1:33 **4** 21:1, 21:3 **123** 27:8, 43:3 **4.** 20:22 < A >

4.2 102:5

a.m. 6:19, 64:4, 64:8

13,000 26:16

ability 92:24 able 25:12, 46:15, 49:7, 49:9, 53:12, 58:2, 66:1, 66:16, 79:19, 80:2, 80:8, 83:25, 84:21, 89:4, 89:9, 94:25, 99:5, 109:22 above 113:7 absence 104:14 absolute 14:17, 14:19, 72:6 **Absolutely** 34:12, 44:5, 46:13, 51:2 accept 24:17 acceptable 5:15, 7:18, 45:5 access 81:18, 89:19, 90:14, 90:22, 97:7, 97:25, 98:2, 98:5, 112:2 accommodate 59:12 accompanied 60:7 accomplished 32:18 accordance 59:10 **According** 31:5, 31:9, 35:15, account 85:18, 86:3, 88:17, 88:20, 102:12, 102:18, 103:21 accounts 97:11, 97:17 accreditation 44:17, 45:7, 46:3, 46:5, 46:16 accredited 14:25, 15:2 accurate 24:23, 25:14 accusing 88:23 achieved 25:9 acquitted 111:10 acronym 15:12 **Act** 46:7, 46:15, 71:7 action 29:15, 29:20, 39:19 acts 74:15, 74:19 actual 43:24, 61:16 **Actually** 15:24, 16:15, 17:17, 21:11, 22:15, 23:9, 23:15, 25:24, 28:24, 50:10, 51:6, 58:8, 61:17, 67:16, 68:15, 73:5, 73:7, 73:16, 74:9, 77:2, 79:18, 80:4, 80:11, 91:7 adding 25:18 addition 3:23, 24:5, 92:10 additional 105:7 additionally 70:20 **Address** 10:9, 16:18, 18:10, 104:3

addresses 18:15 adequate 76:17 adhere 76:8 adhered 74:20 administrative 3:16 administrators 83:3 adopted 71:6 **adults** 50:17 **Advance** 41:3, 71:3 advantage 17:7 advantaged 28:19 advertisers 18:12 advertising 16:24, 18:11, advisory 105:2 affect 93:4 affidavit 60:8, 60:13, 60:19 affirmative 78:1 **afford** 40:13 afloat 39:20, 77:21 afternoon 92:23, 93:15 afterwards 45:11 agency 15:25 aggrieved 57:10 ago 55:25 agree 10:12, 10:14, 12:10, 12:24, 17:24, 25:13, 25:20, 36:3, 36:20, 38:4, 38:8, 41:13, 44:4, 47:17, 48:22, 52:22, 53:13, 54:1, 74:23, 82:7, 92:16, 95:19, 98:13, 98:15, 98:19, 106:25, 111:15 agreeable 9:8, 87:21, 87:22, 105:22 **Agreed** 2:24, 3:3, 3:18, 3:19, 4:1, 4:17, 7:5, 8:3, 24:6, 24:11, 45:5, 66:13, 67:13, 67:15, 67:25, 71:19, 89:6, 106:4, 106:6 agreement. 20:25 agreements 22:23, 31:7, 33:5, 41:16, 54:10, 66:4, 103:10 **ahead** 3:15 Air 112:2 **AL** 1:6, 1:13, 2:5, 2:6 alarming 83:21 alike 70:20 allegation 71:8, 76:22

allegations 68:14, 105:18

allege 57:11, 74:13 alleged 19:9, 68:21, 74:24, 80:10, 107:18 allegedly 108:14 alleging 60:23, 76:14 allow 45:6, 81:3, 91:2 allowed 4:2, 15:2, 72:10 allowing 88:6 allows 104:13 almost 70:3, 79:9 alphanumericized 24:3 alphanumericizing 22:10, 24:1, 26:2 already 15:6, 39:20, 66:17, 67:15, 77:9, 91:19, 92:6, 96:11, 103:14 alter 44:24, 70:25, 71:8, 74:14, 83:2 alteration 44:25 altering 11:16 alternative 94:16 alternatives 110:10 amenable 88:5 amended 56:18, 61:20 amongst 67:12 amount 30:3, 37:3, 81:11, 88:6 analogy 71:12 analysis 26:14 and/or 24:24, 76:16 announcements 2:7 answer 42:15, 42:16, 70:16, 85:4, 87:14, 93:4 answering 34:23 Anthony 6:14 anticipate 40:19 **Anybody** 8:21, 32:23, 49:17, 51:5, 53:20, 89:17, 96:25, 104:18, 110:20 **Anyway** 17:22, 41:5 apart 25:12 apologize 7:2 **Apparently** 4:14, 13:23, 20:15, 23:13, 31:1, 31:4, 44:18, 46:22, 50:24, 62:10, 83:5 appealed 37:15 appear 52:23, 52:25, 53:1, 53:2 appears 6:14

application 59:3, 60:1 apply 88:10, 88:11 appoint 30:18, 51:6 **Appreciate** 55:4, 55:18, 58:14, 107:4, 109:8 approach 2:25, 20:13, 34:8, 47:9, 58:19, 58:22, 78:9 approached 16:22 appropriate 12:10, 12:25, 34:20, 56:11, 77:25, 80:11 appropriately 61:7 approve 54:24 arbitrator 11:8 arduously 27:3 argue 96:21 arguing 95:11, 102:9 argument 25:17, 36:8, 36:14, 36:16, 70:25, 77:14 arguments 111:23 arises 44:22 **Army** 50:1, 53:10, 112:2 around 20:1, 32:4, 55:13, 64:16, 76:12, 83:12, 94:5, 97:23, 111:2 arrange 43:15 asserting 47:16, 56:17, 56:18 asserts 44:20 **assess** 94:9 assessing 94:22 assessment 8:19 **assets** 75:15, 76:5, 76:19 assist 76:6 assistance 83:10 Associates 62:20 **assume** 91:18 assuming 74:1, 91:11, 107:7, 107:8 attach 105:2 attached 4:12, 7:1, 7:5, 8:14 attachment 8:11, 8:18 attachments 54:18 **attempt** 108:23 attention 107:17 attest 70:20 attorneys 6:22, 55:9, 94:15 audit 62:25 authority 54:6, 54:7, 61:7, 62:23, 91:5 automatically 12:17, 17:16

available 26:18, 27:25, 79:24 avoid 27:14 aware 10:7, 64:22 away 52:5, 67:1, 103:12

Back 7:8, 7:9, 14:3, 15:5, 28:2, 28:4, 29:16, 38:11, 38:25, 39:6, 41:10, 58:11, 67:18, 73:23, 80:12, 99:11 backed 79:24 background 14:3, 28:17, 35:4, 37:4, 58:9 backgrounds 38:1 bad 9:12, 36:13, 37:7, 92:15, 92:19, 111:22 balance 5:20

ball 100:11 bang 52:22, 53:13, 53:15,

111:6 bankrupt 69:12, 72:15 bankruptcy 78:3, 83:14, 105:24

base 16:14

based 40:8, 48:23, 92:17

basic 80:15 Basically 11:24, 21:6, 22:9,

24:9, 30:9, 39:21, 40:10, 43:12, 44:21, 67:17, 77:13, 77:18, 81:1, 100:7, 105:17

77:18, 81:1, 100:7, 105:17

basis 89:24

battlefield 106:13

beat 95:21

became 96:5

began 23:4

behalf 2:10, 2:13, 4:11, 7:2, 7:3, 59:9, 77:5, 78:21, 96:15,

105:19

behavior 77:10

behind 49:20, 50:2, 79:2

belief 31:13

Believe 7:12, 8:2, 8:4, 31:14, 35:15, 36:23, 37:23, 40:25,

44:7, 49:12, 63:8, 63:10, 87:6

believed 12:4, 25:7

Bell 1:37, 1:38, 2:15, 6:11, 6:16, 6:18, 34:7, 43:20, 52:12, 54:1, 54:12, 55:5,

55:8, 59:18, 60:11, 61:10,

61:16, 61:23, 63:19, 68:10, 68:24, 69:15, 72:16, 74:10, 74:23, 78:16, 79:3, 87:11, 101:1, 105:7, 105:11, 105:12 belong 26:9, 81:7 belonging 25:14 belongs 27:21 beneficial 35:12, 61:14, 61:15, 60:21, 70:16

61:15, 69:21, 70:16 beneficiary 45:18 benefit 71:23

besides 66:6

best 24:21, 24:25, 49:4, 54:14, 109:14, 110:17, 111:6, 111:9

better 9:25, 25:16, 49:2, 81:17, 82:23, 83:6

beyond 50:9, 77:22, 95:16 **big** 25:17, 36:4, 49:18, 86:17

bill 30:2, 68:18, 74:8 **BISSELL** 1:25

bit 14:2, 34:25, 49:3, 70:22, 71:9

Blackberry 6:23

blame 50:14, 50:16

blanket 71:7, 76:22

bleeding 37:9 Bless 27:9

Blue 100:16

blunderbuss 64:12

body 11:4, 16:1

bold 32:11

books 71:2, 71:5

bottom 23:21, 89:8

bound 111:21

breach 19:4, 56:15, 56:18, 57:11, 57:13, 103:17

breached 57:7, 74:2, 103:10

break 96:17 breaker 82:21

bridge 35:25, 77:20

bring 10:1, 38:6, 57:4

broad 87:5

broken 82:22

brought 8:25, 20:5, 27:11, 27:12, 55:22, 56:2, 59:6,

59:9, 105:18, 107:17

browser 16:9 **bucks** 100:10

Budgetnames 73:5

bullet 42:20 bullets 110:16 bunch 35:12, 38:1, 39:1 burden 33:16, 35:17, 48:6, 48:7, 48:8 Business 3:11, 3:22, 4:8, 4:14, 4:19, 4:24, 16:23, 17:3, 17:6, 17:9, 17:11, 18:20, 18:21, 25:15, 39:23, 43:9, 43:11, 76:17, 83:1, 83:4, 83:11, 108:14 businesses 25:11 button 34:3, 37:8, 38:14 buy 15:18

< C > Caleb 1:31, 2:13 California 19:20, 19:23, 21:25, 28:6, 29:1, 37:14, 37:16, 48:24, 48:25, 49:1, 49:2, 67:18, 68:3, 93:25, 94:10, 94:18, 98:21, 98:22 call 2:3, 98:4 called 10:21, 44:1, 62:19, 103:5 calling 78:22, 96:25 camera 104:21, 105:3 canceling 40:20 **Cantner** 98:15 capacity 89:14 capital 35:24, 77:19, 77:24 care 86:12 carve 29:18 cases 13:8, 13:10, 13:14, 20:1, 22:20, 22:25, 23:10, 29:7, 29:8, 29:12, 61:19 **CASEY** 1:44, 113:3, 113:15, 113:17 **CASSIDI** 1:44, 113:3, 113:17 cast 36:13 catch 17:20 categories 64:25, 65:17, 80:16, 87:5 category 3:9, 79:15 Cause 2:6, 113:4 caused 9:9 causes 29:15, 29:20 caveat 45:6 **cease** 43:24

cent 78:25 Central 1:39, 19:22 certain 4:12, 5:10, 83:16, 97:11, 99:23 **Certainly** 3:3, 65:21 certificate 95:2 certified 14:23 certifies 15:22 certify 113:3, 113:10 **CFO** 77:4 challenge 49:19 challenged 110:7 **chance** 35:6, 53:4 change 11:13, 11:24, 12:11, 12:25, 26:6, 44:17, 63:4 changed 12:23, 18:15, 45:1, 45:7, 62:17, 80:7 changes 47:21, 47:22 chaos 83:6, 111:22 characterized 64:11 **charge** 45:19, 111:13 charges 15:21 charging 45:24 **cheat** 47:25 **check** 9:15, 10:17 checked 76:9 **checks** 108:9 cherry-picked 27:13 Chief 6:13, 75:20 **choice** 24:14, 43:14, 44:16, 44:21 choosing 43:22 **chose** 95:9 **chunk** 86:22 circumstances 91:1 **Cite** 59:25 **Civil** 59:11 claim 33:19, 44:20 claimants 68:17 claimed 69:4, 70:5, 71:11, 78:20, 78:21 claiming 105:23 **claims** 19:11 clarification 45:17, 48:5, 71:14 **clarify** 70:4, 101:23 clarity 68:15 **CLE** 71:3 clear 8:12, 56:12, 61:11, 63:11, 65:3, 68:12, 96:13,

97:24, 101:13, 110:12 clearly 7:15, 27:9, 27:13 Clerk 4:4, 9:15, 10:17 clicks 86:7 clients 18:2, 18:17, 19:14, 19:18, 19:21, 22:17, 22:23, 25:2, 25:3, 25:10, 28:6, 63:20, 69:21, 81:4, 81:5, 86:8, 88:10, 89:18, 89:19, 91:25, 92:16, 93:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:18, 99:19, 100:9, 103:15, 104:16, 111:11, 112:4 close 5:4 **Code** 71:4, 76:18 codes 91:13, 92:9, 98:1, 98:2, 99:3, 104:8 coin 22:12, 24:10, 27:21, 29:10, 38:21, 39:18, 40:16 **collected** 90:24, 90:25 **com** 16:8, 73:5, 99:24 comes 11:9, 11:23, 16:20, 69:10 comfortable 28:7, 63:13, 98:17 Coming 7:8, 29:25, 80:11, 87:4 comity 38:6 **commend** 105:14 comments 104:4 Commerce 1:45 commingled 76:5 committed 34:19 **Compana** 95:10 companies 84:24, 91:9, 92:8, 97:20, 98:1, 98:4, 98:6, 98:18, 98:21, 99:23, 100:3 Company 11:11, 27:22, 39:8, 62:19, 71:7, 74:2, 77:9, 79:22, 84:21, 85:10, 91:1, 91:2, 91:5, 97:8, 103:4, 103:5, 103:6, 103:20 compensated 94:14 complaint 7:25, 8:6, 8:7, 43:3, 56:17, 56:18, 59:21, 59:23, 60:14, 60:19, 61:4, 69:14, 71:1, 74:11, 74:14, 75:20 complaint. 60:8 complete 13:5, 22:11, 24:21,

29:17, 34:17, 62:5, 108:18 complete, 29:3 completely 50:3, 50:10, 54:4, 78:4, 95:16 complex 20:8 complicated 35:13 complicit 50:3, 50:13 complied 74:5, 94:13 comply 24:16, 44:9, 45:25, 67:19, 71:22, 76:11, 113:11 composed 27:3 comprehension 50:9 Computer 16:9, 17:15, 24:2, computers 79:23, 90:14 **concept** 87:18 concern 7:23, 36:17 concerned 7:18, 8:20, 44:23, 44:25, 108:24 concerns 10:9 conclude 25:6 conclusion 26:15 concurrence 80:22 confer 7:16, 9:5, 87:17 **Conference** 1:20, 113:12 conferences 63:17 conferred 4:17 confident 89:19, 92:3 confidential 3:8, 3:21, 4:14, 4:19, 4:20, 4:23, 82:14, 88:10, 88:19, 91:16, 91:25, 92:3, 104:16 confidentiality 3:8, 5:9, 81:14, 82:11 confidentially 91:24 conflict 17:23 confused 70:3, 101:1 confusing 70:19 consent 98:20 consequence 63:11 consistent 46:16 constraints 90:1 **constructive** 54:13, 71:23 contact 43:13, 43:14 contained 7:19 containing 21:18 contains 3:21, 36:18 contemplated 29:4 contemplating 57:2, 57:18 contempt 31:20, 32:1, 32:13,

44:10, 95:20 contention 105:17 contentions 70:10 contested 61:18, 62:4, 75:7 contract 6:16, 15:11, 19:1, 19:4, 28:8, 40:21, 70:13, 73:11, 73:12, 74:1, 74:3, 100:21, 103:12, 104:1, 104:11, 104:12, 104:16, 104:20 contracts 54:9 contravention 49:7 contribution 35:24, 77:19, control 17:11, 18:14, 73:19, 77:10, 84:15, 104:25 controlled 74:18 controversial 48:14 convenience 76:6 conversations 65:25 conversion 71:25 cool 103:24 cooperative 64:20 cooperatively 47:6 copy 8:21, 8:25, 20:13, 20:20, 46:13, 47:3, 54:25 corners 36:17, 46:17, 60:25 corporate 60:21, 63:25, 71:1, 71:2, 71:5, 71:8, 74:21, 76:9, 76:11, 76:13, 79:2, 94:1 corporately 49:18 **corporation** 77:16, 77:17, 78:22 **corporations** 71:3, 75:23, 105:21 correct 22:2, 28:21, 30:16, 34:22, 40:11, 56:8, 69:17, 72:2, 72:3, 73:11, 73:13, 74:2, 81:9, 86:10, 113:8 correctly 26:23, 27:6, 27:7, 45:15 cost 15:20, 51:13, 94:3, 94:9, 94:22, 95:14, 96:2, 109:19 costing 43:17 costs 94:16 counsel 2:24, 3:10, 4:11, 4:16, 6:8, 6:14, 26:3, 26:10, 36:3, 47:6, 55:6, 67:12, 69:5, 75:1, 75:11, 75:16, 80:4,

80:5, 81:3, 98:17 **country** 55:13 couple 2:19, 48:21, 101:19, 101:22, 107:14 course 14:10, 25:5, 26:24, 29:24, 31:18, 31:19, 32:9, 46:15, 62:7, 78:8 courthouse 10:1, 48:6 courtroom 4:16, 5:4, 5:14, 5:22, 7:13 courts 5:3, 29:4, 41:23, 51:22, 51:23, 52:11 covered 61:8 cows 52:10 crazy 30:25, 31:1 created 23:23, 76:10 credit 17:4, 17:7 criminal 82:9 critical 80:9 crossed 20:24 crucial 97:2 **CSR** 113:21 cumbersome 30:23, 30:25, 85:16 currently 26:19, 29:13, 43:23, 47:22, 57:1, 57:18, 91:9, 98:8 customer 24:24, 47:3, 89:8 customers 26:8, 81:12, 90:9 **cut** 36:8 **cyber** 44:1 **cyberspace** 109:21, 111:13 cyberspying 33:20

<D>
Daddy 35:22, 47:25

Daddy. 45:25

DALLAS 1:3, 1:27, 1:34, 1:40, 1:46, 19:7, 113:20

damage 9:8, 98:25, 99:1, 110:9

damages 33:19, 74:4, 105:25

data 44:25, 90:22

data-intensive 87:1

database 16:11, 18:9, 18:14, 47:19, 62:17, 63:3, 79:16

date 44:24, 93:2, 101:11

day 9:22, 25:25, 29:23,

29:25, 31:21, 36:22, 52:19, 63:17, 65:14, 66:3 days 22:5, 23:19, 25:23, 26:10, 29:23, 30:6, 33:13, 50:12, 63:20, 64:1, 64:2, 64:16, 67:5, 67:8, 93:24 days. 24:9 deadline 9:18, 9:19 deal 12:13, 18:1, 18:2, 18:22, 23:14, 25:2, 33:24, 70:9, 82:21, 102:10, 111:12 dealing 89:5 **dealt** 99:17 death 44:11, 44:12, 104:17 **decide** 56:13 decided 7:14, 11:5, 18:4, 23:13, 40:18, 75:1, 75:2 decides 53:20, 55:12 deciding 17:17, 110:7 decision 25:16, 88:7, 92:14, 92:18, 93:16, 93:17, 94:22, 96:23, 97:5 decisions 83:9 declarant 60:15 declaration 60:9 declaratory 19:8, 19:17, 19:24, 56:19 Declare 83:14 deduction 33:4 defend 22:17, 45:8, 45:9, 45:15 **Defendant** 1:15, 1:31, 7:2, 40:14, 54:17, 57:12, 64:10, 71:25, 91:21, 91:23, 104:15 **Defendants** 4:12, 6:9, 6:12, 6:13. 14:9. 22:8. 29:9. 30:5. 41:2, 54:22, 57:11, 67:1, 84:22, 85:10, 86:1, 94:12, 107:1 defending 45:13 defense 22:25, 65:16 **defer** 105:7 **define** 82:22 delete 41:2, 43:7, 72:10, deleted 40:24, 42:25, 43:4, 86:23, 90:12, 92:6, 92:11, 112:4 deleting 38:14, 38:20, 39:4, 40:20, 72:5

deletions 40:8, 40:23 delivery 77:2 **delve** 63:7 demonstrate 75:15 **denied** 55:24 depend 93:2 depending 93:3 deposition 4:9, 36:12, 63:24, 64:8, 64:9, 66:11, 66:12, 66:13, 67:7, 80:3, 80:8, 93:4, 93:6, 93:20, 94:24, 107:1, 107:2 depositions 63:20, 63:22, 66:14, 67:19, 93:11, 93:21, 94:2, 94:4, 94:10, 94:17, 95:13, 95:15, 96:10, 96:24, 106:16, 107:6, 109:12 derogation 104:9 designated 71:21 designation 82:14 **desist** 43:24 despite 76:20, 101:25 detailed 8:19, 8:20 determine 22:13, 27:21 determines 11:8 development 17:1 dictate 59:18, 60:12, 60:17 difference 31:10, 86:17 different 17:12, 29:23, 39:2, 41:23, 60:20, 68:14, 69:25, 74:19, 78:4, 80:3, 80:4 difficult 7:21 difficulty 62:24 diminished 53:4 direct 24:12, 28:23, 74:10, 85:10 directed 22:24, 97:16 directing 24:7, 24:15, 97:8, 108:20 direction 19:16, 22:24, 23:8, 82:23 directive 86:2 directly 15:11, 97:14 directors 75:24 directs 11:24, 99:23 disagree 30:17, 87:20 disappear 111:13 disclosure 3:25 discoverable 82:6 discovered 108:25

discovery 4:10, 13:12, 28:16, 58:11, 58:18, 58:22, 63:15, 64:3, 64:15, 65:4, 65:9, 65:24, 66:4, 74:25, 75:4, 75:8, 76:4, 76:20, 81:10, 101:6, 102:20, 103:23, 108:22, 109:11 discreet 5:10 discuss 4:22, 58:24 discussed 13:22 discussing 4:10 discussion 4:7, 64:20, 64:21 disquise 63:5 disingenuous 71:9 dismiss 4:11, 6:4, 6:21, 7:11, 8:13, 8:22, 9:21, 10:13, 13:3, 13:25, 29:11, 54:17, 54:18, 78:2 dismissal 21:21, 29:1 dismissed 27:16, 27:17, 27:18, 29:8, 29:9, 56:4, 57:25, 58:5 dispersed 84:21 dispute 10:24, 11:1, 11:2, 17:25, 18:6, 47:15, 81:5 disputed 108:8 distinction 35:10 distribute 110:25 distributed 98:19 **DISTRICT** 1:1, 1:2, 9:24, 19:22, 95:7, 113:18, 113:19 divers 28:5 diverted 18:20, 76:19 divided 80:14, 84:17, 85:13 dividing 22:10 **DIVISION** 1:3, 71:17, 113:20 docket 37:25, 49:10, 50:22 document 20:18, 21:20, 23:19, 63:21, 64:1, 64:6, 64:9, 64:10, 64:13, 66:15, 68:4, 68:6, 69:2, 83:5, 92:17 document-intensive 87:1 documents 45:1, 64:17, 64:21, 64:24, 65:2, 65:6, 65:14, 65:17, 65:20, 66:5, 66:9, 66:11, 67:3, 67:14, 67:24, 67:25, 68:2, 75:3, 75:12, 79:10, 79:15, 86:22 86:25, 93:9, 94:5, 94:7, 95:1, 96:14, 103:25, 105:6, 105:8

doing 2:17, 10:18, 52:7, 53:22, 54:8, 67:7, 79:2, 90:19, 98:12 dole 111:16 dollar 39:23 dollars 31:21, 32:9, 33:20, 35:15, 37:1, 44:10, 51:13, 51:17, 69:9, 72:12, 76:3, 76:21, 84:2, 85:8, 94:3, 99:4, 99:5, 100:8, 102:6, 102:7, 108:16 dollars. 32:1, 49:18 domains 20:7, 29:7, 41:4, 45:25, 70:5, 70:24, 73:23, 103:4 dominated 74:18 done 9:16, 12:17, 17:25, 22:9, 28:11, 29:6, 32:10, 33:11, 33:12, 42:3, 50:24, 50:25, 53:16, 56:7, 67:8, 68:8, 77:8, 77:20, 84:18, 85:3, 85:15, 93:2, 96:8, 96:14, 104:9, 104:22, 105:15, 107:10, 109:11, 109:16 door 7:13, 51:3 doubt 36:7 down 10:1, 17:2, 17:6, 18:19, 18:23, 19:6, 48:6, 66:14, 82:22, 91:19, 95:24, 101:3 download 91:10 draft 33:6 drafted 42:20 due 46:15, 49:12, 55:8, 56:10, 61:16, 61:22, 64:3, 75:9. 100:25 **dumb** 50:10 During 6:20, 32:9, 37:6, 38:11, 43:8, 62:16, 69:9, 72:12, 84:2, 99:10, 99:13, 100:7, 102:24, 113:3

< E >
e-mail 47:24, 54:25, 65:14,
65:19
e-mails 39:25
earlier 38:11, 44:14, 67:8,
67:22, 80:15
earned 90:23

earthquake 79:22 easy 84:12, 91:7, 109:13 **ECF** 9:24 economic 17:1 effect 54:8, 71:20 effort 24:21, 24:25 ego 70:25, 71:8, 74:15, 83:2 eight 50:12 eighteen 50:6 Either 4:5, 5:23, 87:12, 88:2, 94:12, 97:5, 109:1 **Electronic** 88:25, 89:2, 106:20, 106:22, 107:20, 108:2. 108:4 electronically 79:21, 87:2, 106:19, 106:20, 112:6, 112:8 **eleven.** 58:16 **Elm** 1:33 **EM/ECF** 10:5, 10:6 **Email** 1:29 Email.com 47:24 **Emkey** 95:10 **employ** 17:3 employee 83:4 **employees** 81:17, 83:2 encouraged 57:20 end 10:20, 13:16, 20:9, 32:11, 43:10, 51:16, 63:17, 88:6 ended 13:10, 13:16, 30:8 **ends** 51:4 enforce 37:11, 37:12, 37:24, 49:11, 49:22, 56:6, 56:9, 56:13, 72:1 enforced 28:8, 57:24 **enforcement** 78:11, 78:12 enforcing 7:21 engage 18:4 engaged 19:6, 57:11, 103:9 engagement 58:10 engaging 101:2 enough 17:25, 40:9, 86:7, 111:2 enter 45:4, 51:4, 54:15, 81:22, 110:12 entered 11:15, 22:21, 23:3, 23:11, 23:13, 23:19, 30:5, 32:6, 33:24, 34:18, 34:21, 49:17 entering 104:19

enterprise 37:8 entire 4:21, 5:13, 7:6, 7:13, 18:20, 22:10, 29:24, 36:10, 47:13, 61:22 entirely 24:23, 25:13, 50:13 entities 77:25, 100:15 entitled 65:4, 74:24, 75:8, 82:14 entity 76:7, 77:19, 79:2 entry 28:14 equally 7:18 erroneously 4:15 escalated 23:20, 24:4 **escrow** 79:22 **Especially** 52:24, 89:25 **Essentially** 4:5, 11:13, 12:12, 12:24, 13:13, 15:3, 15:20, 16:3, 16:13, 16:21, 17:22, 20:11, 22:24, 24:16, 27:24, 29:10, 29:22, 30:11, 33:8, 35:23, 57:19, 67:4, 73:22, 77:15, 78:23, 79:3, 86:1, 90:7, 98:18 **ET** 1:6, 1:13, 2:5, 2:6 etcetera 35:22 evading 76:6 evening 10:21 Everybody 12:24, 25:11, 50:14, 50:15, 53:14, 54:5, 56:5, 108:17, 110:11 everybody's 110:17 everyone 42:18 **Everything** 5:5, 5:6, 5:11, 5:18, 5:21, 13:4, 17:20, 51:4, 65:22, 70:18, 75:14, 79:3, 82:22, 108:4, 110:18, 110:22, 112:7 evidence 39:24, 48:3, 48:17, 59:15, 59:16, 59:19, 77:10, 77:22, 102:4 evidentiary 36:7 ex 51:22 **exact** 37:3 exactly 46:20, 82:24, 86:20, 86:24, 111:4 **Example** 5:11, 16:18, 95:18, 107:22, 110:11 Excellent 2:16 **except** 96:21 exchange 79:10

exchanged 28:16, 66:20, 112:7 exclamation 98:3 exclusive 100:21 **Excuse** 80:21, 101:7 **excused** 106:14 execute 71:18 executed 26:11 Executive 75:21 **exhibits** 4:12, 8:4, 8:14 existed 23:10 existence 74:16 existing 22:17, 22:21 expedited 64:14, 75:4, 82:25 **expedition** 99:18, 108:19 expense 51:7, 88:16, 94:14, 95:15 **expenses** 33:4, 33:7, 34:1, 68:20, 75:7, 77:5, 77:9, 77:20, 94:19 expensive 110:18 experienced 11:6 experts 87:14 Explain 78:12, 99:25 **explanation** 62:22, 105:3 **Expwy** 1:39 **extent** 7:20, 31:17, 46:3, 59:11, 93:19 extraordinary 91:23 extremely 8:8 eyes 3:10, 82:14

< F > face-to-face 13:17 fact 14:19, 26:17, 28:13, 37:11, 55:24, 69:8, 71:5, 103:11 **facts** 70:15 factually 20:8 failed 19:14, 76:8 **fails** 83:19 failure 44:9 fair 94:23 **fairly** 17:18 **faith** 53:15 fall 70:2 **false** 75:18 familiar 64:24 far 82:19, 108:24

Farm 84:23, 86:1, 98:14, 98:16 fashion 25:10, 56:14 fast 33:12 **favor** 10:16 Federal 19:20, 20:5, 28:8, 37:10, 37:18, 49:24, 55:12, 59:4, 59:11, 59:25, 82:8, 82:9, 98:22 Fee 6:22, 15:21, 43:16 feed 103:6 **feel** 89:19 **feeling** 111:23 fees 33:13, 35:18, 35:20, 35:25, 36:1, 37:2, 39:13, 68:25, 69:22, 70:10, 70:12, 75:17, 76:21, 78:17, 94:15, 113:10 **fell** 17:22, 64:24 **fellows** 39:14 **felt** 28:7, 94:6 fifth 37:17, 61:19 **fifty** 105:16 fifty-fifty 22:7, 84:17, 99:13, 111:16 fifty-fifty. 33:3, 33:6, 100:23 fight 25:16 Figure 5:8, 5:12, 5:19, 5:23, 7:17, 9:8, 24:10, 34:3, 39:22, 51:1, 80:25, 82:20, 87:14, 87:15, 90:14, 96:9, 96:18, 110:18 figured 19:18, 34:2 **figures** 50:18 figuring 80:9 file 9:7, 9:17, 9:21, 10:14, 11:5, 19:3, 37:11, 56:12, 56:14, 59:6, 61:2, 61:3, 79:21, 89:1, 90:2 filed 3:17, 3:18, 4:2, 4:11, 4:15, 5:6, 6:4, 6:21, 6:24, 8:5, 8:8, 8:10, 8:17, 9:23, 13:4, 13:8, 13:14, 13:24, 18:5, 18:7, 19:7, 19:20, 19:24, 29:12, 37:13, 56:9, 56:17, 60:9, 61:20, 95:7 files 88:24 filing 9:25 filings 5:2 **filter** 17:19

final 20:25, 21:18, 63:16, 63:17, 97:25 finally 26:3 financial 33:16, 33:22, 52:5, 68:7, 69:3, 72:4, 75:3, 75:12, 77:23, 78:6, 79:11, 79:12, 90:18, 94:6, 105:20, 105:22, 108:25 find 6:6, 10:5, 30:18, 51:7, 77:7. 83:19 fine 12:23, 31:20, 43:19, 47:4, 48:4, 53:2, 55:10, 58:6, 59:1, 81:24, 95:25, 96:22, 97:4, 102:21, 106:22 **finger** 38:13 finish 7:17 finished 6:21, 105:5 fire 79:22 firm 89:15, 89:17 **firms** 9:10 First 12:6, 13:15, 20:23, 21:7, 21:15, 23:18, 27:20, 30:12, 34:6, 41:2, 41:3, 42:8, 51:3, 68:5, 75:10, 75:23, 86:21, 96:6, 103:3, 107:1 fishing 99:18, 108:18 five 51:11, 107:10, 111:3, 111:15, 111:17 **fixed** 43:6 flip 22:12, 24:10, 27:21, 29:10, 38:21, 39:18, 40:17 float 35:25, 77:19 Florida 23:5 **flown** 67:18 fly 94:1 followed 31:19, 31:20 **follows** 74:19 fool 49:23, 49:24, 55:11 **Force** 50:1, 77:18, 77:23, 95:21, 112:2 **forcing** 35:22 foreclose 70:8, 70:12 foregoing 113:7 foregoing-styled 113:4 form 89:2, 91:22, 106:20, 106:22, 108:3, 108:4 **formal** 21:20 formalities 74:21, 76:9, 76:12 format 107:20, 113:10

forth 34:16, 71:18, 92:9 forward 16:13, 29:11, 93:4 fought 22:6 **found** 103:13 Four 13:17, 33:20, 36:17, 46:16, 60:25, 67:13, 76:2, 93:17, 93:25, 100:17, 111:15 Four. 41:24 fourteen 8:24, 22:5, 25:23, 30:6, 33:13 fourth 21:11, 21:13, 21:14, 21:15 frank 64:20 fraudulent 52:7 free 99:18 **freeze** 97:17 Friday 44:22, 69:24, 70:3, 96:5 **Fridays** 55:25 front 37:13, 63:16, 68:23 **Frye** 2:3, 2:5, 3:14, 54:25 full 20:25, 21:17, 55:20 **fullest** 31:17 fully 97:23 fundamental 80:12 funds 32:12, 74:21, 76:5, 76:19, 84:11, 85:12, 85:22, 85:24, 99:5, 99:10, 101:15 Furgeson 1:21, 45:20

< G > **games** 50:2 gave 38:16, 47:21 **Gee** 39:14 general 87:17 generally 86:25 generated 71:24, 101:18, 101:21, 102:17, 102:24 gets 12:21, 22:14, 24:11, 41:10, 53:4, 59:18, 68:18, 74:8, 79:12, 97:1, 106:25 getting 10:10, 70:15, 80:22, 83:25, 84:1, 84:19, 88:24, 90:22, 97:16, 104:16 given 30:19, 40:16, 58:8, 67:21, 107:25, 109:25 giving 90:20, 92:8, 97:7, 105:3, 108:2 **Glad** 2:16, 41:15, 50:7, 55:17 goal 34:4, 34:6, 42:8, 109:20, 111:25 **God** 39:21 Godaddy.com 45:22 Godwin 1:32 Google 99:4, 99:15, 99:19, 99:25, 100:1, 100:2, 100:9, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 101:5, 101:8, 101:15, 101:17, 101:20, 102:12, 102:18, 102:20, 102:25, 103:6, 103:9, 103:10, 103:12, 103:13, 103:14, 103:15, 103:21, 103:22, 103:23, 104:1, 104:11, 104:12, 104:14, 104:17, 104:20, 108:15 gotten 40:17, 52:23 governance 49:2 government 11:4, 15:25 granted 4:1, 29:3, 63:18, 63:23, 96:7 granting 71:25 gravitate 74:17 great 25:2, 80:21, 82:4, 94:14, 95:18 greater 33:16 greatly 53:4 greedy 50:25 gross 33:3, 33:9 grown 54:2 guess 6:17, 6:21, 7:2, 7:12, 21:13, 31:14, 32:4, 40:2, 43:7, 48:11, 54:2, 62:21, 79:4, 79:5, 79:6, 93:19 guidance 65:10 **Guide** 100:16, 102:3 gun 110:16 guys 9:2, 31:2, 37:7, 40:2, 44:2, 53:11, 54:16, 81:24, 95:11, 96:17, 97:3, 101:25, 110:24

< H >
Half 24:8, 24:13, 33:2, 34:1, 36:8, 51:14, 62:2, 67:1, 73:18, 84:23, 85:8
hamstrung 67:21
hand 19:15, 103:15
handle 17:12

handling 23:6, 33:17 **hands** 81:13 handwriting 21:4, 28:24 handwritten 22:4, 23:21 happen 3:14, 43:13, 52:14, 56:4, 88:8 happened 7:3, 13:14, 16:22, 18:8, 29:8, 58:1, 63:5, 79:23, 80:18, 85:1 happening 29:21 happens 11:23, 35:11, 42:13, 54:3, 109:19 happy 12:10, 48:16, 76:23, 82:1, 87:15, 108:17 harass 104:16 hard 3:13, 9:3, 42:1, 87:4, 96:17, 109:10, 110:4, 111:11 harm 41:10, 41:12, 106:1 **HCB** 100:15 he'll 54:25 head 53:14 heading 81:2 hear 36:9, 50:16, 53:3, 62:22, 69:25, 105:11 heard 80:14 hearing 4:4, 12:6, 32:16, 36:7, 36:21, 37:6, 37:22, 40:1, 40:25, 52:18, 55:24, 58:15, 63:15, 69:19, 70:21, 80:15, 86:12, 96:10 hearings 28:14, 28:16, 96:7 held 55:25, 85:25, 98:8, 100:9, 100:14, 102:25 **hell** 97:3 help 53:20, 66:23, 101:24 helpful 35:4 helping 82:20 Herrera 23:5, 23:7, 23:8, 45:13 herring 77:14 hide 36:10, 55:14, 100:11 hiding 79:2 highly 3:8, 61:18, 82:14, 88:10, 88:19, 91:25, 92:3 hijacked 19:19, 101:11, 103:3, 103:7, 107:18 hijacking 19:21, 101:14 hired 17:8, 19:19 historical 63:2

history 13:9

Hit 84:23, 86:1, 98:14, 98:16 Hoffman 56:1, 56:7 hold 48:25, 50:5, 95:20, 99:23 holder 11:1, 11:5, 11:7, 11:10, 11:25 holding 34:2, 97:20, 98:10, 99:4, 100:10, 101:17, 101:18, 101:20 **home** 70:8 honest 8:15, 57:6, 89:16 Honorable 1:21, 9:11, 36:16, 50:20 hopeful 32:14 hoping 2:20, 110:2 **Horizons** 100:16 hostage 34:2 **hosted** 16:16 hosting 16:20 hotly 62:4, 75:7 hour 41:3, 45:5, 66:25, 67:1 hours 7:9, 8:24, 13:19, 18:19, 20:19, 43:9, 43:10, 43:11, 67:2, 67:7, 93:7, 93:8, 96:12 house 49:21, 70:7 housecleaning 58:20 housekeeping 58:23 human 17:16 hundred 51:13, 86:22 hundreds 16:24 **hybrid** 76:10

< | > **I.** 48:25 **ICANN** 11:3, 11:12, 14:16, 15:24, 30:1, 35:18, 44:17, 45:11, 46:2, 46:5, 46:15, 68:19, 74:8, 79:18 idea 9:13, 14:17, 24:9, 65:11, 89:1, 89:17, 109:25, 110:5 identifiable 91:22 identified 65:21, 66:6 identify 65:24 identifying 81:9 **ignore** 55:12 Iguana 100:17 illegal 52:7 imagine 20:18, 25:1, 27:7,

32:2, 48:14 **immediate** 6:3, 29:17 immediately 96:10 important 3:21, 83:20, 96:3, 111:21 **impose** 71:23 improper 92:1 in. 92:25 inaccurate 25:7, 26:13 **inappropriate** 56:2, 60:16, 97:19 **Inc.** 1:6, 2:5, 14:4, 62:16, 100:17 incentive 33:11 include 26:7, 75:13, 100:19 included 4:13, 25:15 including 5:14, 33:18, 36:5 **income** 17:5 inconsistent 78:23 incredibly 32:3 incredulity 87:11 incur 94:18 indemnifies 22:18 independent 63:3 indicated 4:4, 56:1, 63:24, 69:19, 106:23 individual 26:8, 94:1 individually 59:7, 63:25, 68:7, 70:4, 70:5, 70:23, 77:17, 105:19 individuals 17:11 **Industries** 14:4, 62:15 ineffective 53:7 inevitable 17:13 infringes 11:2 inherent 110:8 initially 19:9, 57:5 injunction 8:1, 32:16, 38:3, 49:16, 52:18, 55:24, 64:19, 65:6, 65:16, 72:5, 78:14, 82:3, 98:24, 109:24, 110:4, 110:7, 110:12 injustice 74:17 inquiry 16:13, 16:19 instance 26:12, 61:19 instead 39:16, 68:11, 94:5 instruct 71:19, 97:12, 97:13 instructed 25:19 instruction 47:3, 84:23 insufficient 59:16

intended 20:25, 21:17 intense 26:2 intention 52:21 intentional 70:18 interest 17:24, 57:23, 71:12, 71:13, 77:21, 100:1, 110:17 interested 58:4 interests 51:20, 57:19 interfaces 16:3 interfere 97:16 interlineated 21:8 interlineation 23:22 interlineations 20:16 internal 10:2 InternationI 62:20 internet 10:2, 11:4, 16:1 interplead 85:12 intervene 57:2 intervening 55:7, 57:18 intervention 53:17 invited 65:1, 65:19 **involve** 44:19 involved 13:11, 17:12, 17:17, 96:5 involving 108:5 Iron 89:11, 90:2 **ironic** 24:18 irreparable 41:9, 41:10, 106:1 Island 56:8, 56:24, 58:4, 103:19 Islands 17:2, 17:7, 18:22, 18:24, 19:12, 19:25, 21:25, 29:2, 37:15, 102:10 issued 10:23, 31:14, 45:11 issues 3:23, 45:14, 76:14 items 67:12 itself 4:20, 8:6, 8:7

< J >
jail 31:22, 42:6, 42:7, 44:11,
49:21, 50:5, 51:24, 52:4,
95:21
James 1:37
jammed 65:5
Jeff 22:19, 35:11, 70:4,
71:11
Jeffrey 1:13, 2:6, 2:13
imacpete@lockelord.com

1:29
John 1:24, 2:9, 16:7
joint 17:8, 17:9, 17:11, 17:23
jointly 4:21
Jones 47:23, 47:24
Judgefurgeson 16:8
Judgefurgeson.com 15:9, 15:19, 16:11
judges 31:19
judgment 19:8, 19:9, 19:17, 56:19, 75:8
Judicial 38:5, 53:17, 113:11
July 37:23, 42:19
jurisdiction 13:5, 49:13, 49:14, 55:11, 110:14, 110:21

< K > keep 7:22, 38:17, 39:15, 39:19, 40:6, 40:9, 40:20, 41:18, 41:20, 44:16, 45:6, 77:21, 110:20 keeping 52:2 **kept** 106:19 **Kevin** 61:6 **Key** 20:21, 22:4, 104:24 kill 95:17 **kind** 3:10, 3:25, 12:2, 13:9, 19:4, 26:25, 32:13, 34:17, 35:24, 37:4, 56:13, 69:25, 80:12, 83:21, 92:13, 111:17 knock 58:17 knocked 49:6 knowledge 60:23, 60:25 **knows** 85:5 Krishan 2:11, 35:13, 36:12, 45:18, 53:6, 59:7, 60:13, 60:15, 60:23, 60:24, 61:14, 68:21, 68:25, 69:16, 78:6,

<L>L. 1:44, 113:3, 113:15, 113:17 language 21:21 large 17:14 Last 11:22, 12:8, 13:18, 24:20, 26:22, 47:17, 69:24,

84:5, 85:21, 94:24, 100:4,

102:4, 107:2

70:3, 70:15, 73:18, 76:9, 90:2, 93:22, 94:2, 101:19, 101:22, 112:3 late 96:11 later 42:13, 58:12 law 4:4, 6:16, 9:9, 31:17, 54:7, 56:12, 76:7, 89:15, 89:17, 95:8, 111:20, 111:22, 111:24 laws 82:9, 82:10, 82:13 lawsuit 3:20, 13:11, 18:5, 18:7, 19:4, 19:8, 19:9, 19:20, 19:24, 20:6, 20:11, 22:9, 23:22, 53:19, 56:14, 57:3, 96:5, 98:21, 98:22, 103:19 lawsuits 13:24, 17:13, 22:22, 27:15, 111:23 lawyer 11:6, 17:8, 23:5, 45:12 lawyers 3:12, 5:23, 6:17, 9:4, 24:15, 25:19, 25:20, 55:4, 57:16, 81:14, 81:15, 82:15, 88:23, 89:4, 89:6, 89:12, 96:16, 108:20, 110:3, 111:8, 111:9 lay 10:23, 71:10 **lead** 13:10 least 81:3, 83:7, 85:25 **leave** 5:15, 106:13 led 43:4 **left** 23:7, 26:21, 110:23 legal 76:21 **legals** 33:10 legislature 71:6 legitimate 98:11 **lender** 71:13 less 45:19, 80:17, 110:18 **letters** 43:24 **Liability** 22:20, 39:7, 40:15, 44:1, 46:1, 71:7 liable 74:4, 74:15, 75:6 license 103:14, 104:10 licensed 48:24, 76:25, 103:9 licensing 77:3 LIDDELL 1:25 **Lidell** 76:3 life 100:7, 111:22 **light** 36:13 limit 64:16, 86:19 **Limited** 6:10, 39:7, 66:18,

71:7, 74:2 line 73:5 lines 20:23 listed 47:22, 65:14 listen 61:23, 62:1 listened 93:23 literally 31:1 **Litigate** 52:9, 52:10 litigating 23:1, 41:22 litigation 14:1, 20:8, 22:7, 22:18, 23:6, 29:6, 29:18, 30:25, 32:5, 32:7, 32:9, 33:18, 34:10, 34:17, 43:25, 57:25, 58:5, 62:16, 68:15, 69:4, 69:10, 70:11, 72:12, 73:3, 73:17, 76:2, 77:1, 78:20, 78:24, 79:8, 83:3, 95:18, 99:10, 99:14, 99:17, 100:8, 101:2, 102:10, 108:19, 108:21 litigations 21:22, 33:17 little 14:2, 34:24, 37:4, 45:17, 49:3, 58:11, 70:22, 71:9 live 29:15, 29:20, 37:14, 37:19, 93:25 lives 25:12 **LLC** 71:4, 75:23, 75:24, 76:10, 76:11, 77:17, 79:6, 100:15, 100:16, 100:17 **LLP** 1:25 loan 35:25, 77:20 **local** 17:3 located 28:6 location 16:13 lock 5:4, 7:13 **Locke** 1:25, 2:10, 76:3, 105:15 locked 42:2 log 91:1, 91:2 long 31:8, 31:9, 64:11, 77:1, 81:16, 82:7 **longer** 83:12 look 6:23, 16:19, 20:23, 23:20, 24:5, 27:2, 28:25, 29:16, 30:11, 32:24, 50:15, 52:5, 61:5, 71:15, 73:6, 99:11, 102:13, 109:2 **looked** 62:13

looking 83:15, 109:2

looks 21:7, 21:9, 30:13, 31:8 Lord 1:25, 2:10, 105:16 lose 41:11, 46:5, 54:4 losing 46:2 Lost 37:16, 69:13, 109:21, 110:15 lot 6:16, 13:14, 16:25, 33:23, 36:10, 38:25, 50:2, 50:24, 58:8, 81:18, 95:14, 95:15, 106:18, 108:15 Lots 20:16, 44:10, 53:11 love 51:18 low 51:16 ludicrous 89:2

< M >main 32:16, 42:8, 76:12, 109:15 Maintain 34:4, 34:19, 40:4, 71:4, 76:16, 79:18, 83:16, 83:18, 111:20 maintained 11:14, 34:15, 35:2, 35:7, 79:16 maintains 14:11, 47:18 majority 11:18, 47:14 man 77:14 managers 75:25 maneuvering 13:15 Manila 14:4, 22:17, 22:18, 22:22, 23:23, 23:24, 24:2, 24:8, 24:9, 24:11, 24:22, 25:22, 33:1, 33:2, 47:22, 60:21, 62:15, 71:20, 71:21, 73:16, 100:22, 101:21, 102:5, 102:24, 103:8, 108:5 Manilla 20:3, 22:5, 33:2, 59:6 manner 82:25 **map** 68:9 **Marines** 50:1, 112:2 marshals 49:20 material 21:18, 36:15 matter 3:16, 7:13, 10:19, 29:15, 31:24, 57:19, 82:2, 96:12, 111:21 matters 2:20 maximum 41:8 mean 27:5, 27:6, 27:8, 50:19, 83:21 meaning 26:18

means 54:8, 62:9, 74:3, 92:13, 93:20, 100:23 meant 26:24 meantime 50:17 Meanwhile 37:10 mediated 20:10 mediation 20:9, 20:19, 50:21 mediations 13:17 meeting 66:25 members 75:25 memorandum 46:17, 82:21 **mercy** 31:3 **merits** 58:24 met 80:20 methodology 71:17 middle 15:4, 36:4, 38:13 million 31:21, 32:8, 33:20, 35:15, 51:17, 69:9, 72:12, 75:14, 84:2, 85:8, 99:4, 100:8, 100:10, 102:6, 102:7, 108:16 million. 51:14 millions 32:1, 76:3, 76:21 mind 5:8, 5:9, 88:15, 107:17 minimum 7:4 minute 10:4, 30:13, 34:7, 66:23, 78:10, 94:2 minutes 34:24, 71:2, 76:16, 107:16 **mirror** 50:15 miscopied 21:6 misfiled 21:14 misrepresented 49:9 missed 7:24 missing 25:17 misspelled 27:4 misspoke 70:19 misstate 70:14 mistake 7:3, 9:1, 53:1, 70:17 modification 12:3 modified 6:21, 47:6 modify 12:7, 44:6 moment 63:14, 74:1 Monday 9:7, 9:17, 61:3, 64:3, 64:7, 64:21, 93:3 monetary 99:10 monetization 32:25, 33:1,

84:20, 85:10, 90:25, 91:2,

97:20, 98:1, 98:6, 99:5,

91:5, 91:8, 91:12, 92:8, 97:8,

99:14, 99:23, 100:3, 100:19, 101:5. 103:4. 103:6 monetize 101:11, 103:16, 104:13 monetizing 20:6, 85:11, 103:13 monies 97:17, 98:11, 99:13, 99:14, 99:23, 100:14 months 50:5, 50:6 morning 7:10, 8:25, 50:15, 96:6 mortgage 70:7 motion 3:18, 4:1, 4:10, 6:4, 6:6, 6:20, 7:6, 7:11, 8:3, 8:13, 8:22, 9:6, 9:14, 9:21, 10:13, 13:3, 13:24, 29:11, 37:11, 37:12, 37:24, 49:11, 50:20, 54:17, 54:18, 56:9, 56:12, 59:16, 59:17, 61:3, 61:6, 78:1 **MOU** 7:23, 36:18, 37:12, 100:2, 100:13, 109:2 Mountain 89:11, 90:3 **movant** 60:24 move 4:20, 29:10 moved 94:13, 96:9, 96:11 moving 97:23, 109:23, 110:3 MR. RAWLS 2:13, 2:22, 3:4, 6:13, 7:8, 7:15, 8:2, 8:24, 42:14, 42:17, 42:24, 44:13, 45:10, 81:11, 82:17, 83:10, 86:4, 86:11, 86:18, 88:5, 89:21, 90:16, 91:16, 91:18, 93:1, 96:1, 97:7, 98:13, 99:8, 105:5 Munish 2:11, 35:13, 35:15, 52:25, 59:7, 60:13, 60:21, 60:23, 60:24, 62:1, 94:24, 106:1 mutual 63:23, 81:16, 99:20, 99:21 myself 22:23

< N >
N. 1:39
nail 42:3
naively 25:7
name 3:13, 11:1, 11:6,
11:10, 11:14, 12:22, 15:8,
15:10, 15:20, 16:8, 16:10,

16:15, 17:20, 23:5, 27:6, 27:8, 30:2, 41:18, 44:20, 45:13, 46:11, 46:23, 47:21, 62:9, 75:7, 77:7, 79:20, 80:18, 89:8 **name.** 45:20 narrowly 6:2 **nation** 95:18 nature 7:19 Navy 50:1, 112:2 necessary 59:15, 89:23 necessity 55:7 needed 27:24, 61:11, 64:15, 64:22, 65:2, 66:2, 66:6, 81:2, 89:9, 96:13 needs 12:23, 34:14, 44:6, 47:5, 50:17, 50:24, 53:24, 69:13, 83:19, 85:24, 89:7, 98:3, 108:5, 110:22, 110:23, 111:14, 111:20 negotiate 17:9 negotiated 19:14 negotiations 13:17, 13:18, 17:22, 24:19, 26:22 Neither 79:12 **net** 15:4 **Netsphere** 1:6, 2:5, 2:10, 2:12, 60:22, 71:24, 72:1, 72:17, 73:14, 76:25, 77:3, 77:4, 102:4, 103:8, 103:18, 104:13, 104:20, 105:23 neutral 87:21 nevertheless 80:7 **new** 26:21, 46:24, 51:7, 51:9, 56:14, 56:17, 64:23 next 48:11. 48:12. 52:13. 53:23, 65:14, 93:3, 93:10, 93:12, 93:21, 104:23, 107:2 **night** 11:22, 12:8, 36:4, 38:13 **No.** 13:7, 28:13, 40:18, 102:2, 108:22 **Nobody** 41:16, 49:17, 51:5, 55:16, 85:4, 107:12 nonappearance 95:3 noncontroversial 25:8 **none** 108:10 NORTHERN 1:2, 95:7, 113:19 noteholder 70:6, 70:8

Nothing 13:22, 25:24, 32:22, 45:1, 46:10, 51:2, 91:14, 96:8, 101:6, 103:2, 104:14, 109:16 **notice** 43:10, 48:2, 48:10, 63:21, 63:22, 64:1, 64:2, 93:24, 94:2 **notices** 64:8, 64:9 **notion** 43:21 Novapoint 100:17 **November** 14:3, 73:23, 108:12 nowhere 71:3 nuclear 34:3, 37:8, 38:13 **NUMBER** 1:6, 2:6, 23:9, 26:7, 33:10, 48:15, 60:5, 74:19, 74:22, 76:16, 80:17, 81:4, 90:6, 101:19, 113:21 numbers 26:12, 27:4, 111:18, 111:19 numerical 81:24

< 0 > o'clock 66:11, 92:22, 93:14, 104:23 **O'connor** 60:5 oath 59:10 **obey** 31:15 **obeyed** 93:22 obligation 23:4, 83:14, 83:22 **obligations** 76:6, 83:16 obviously 8:20, 57:10, 57:22, 59:16, 69:1, 75:13, 81:6, 92:13 occur 25:11 occurred 62:12, 102:11 offered 41:5 offering 45:22 **Office** 6:17, 6:19, 8:17, 9:16, 10:17, 83:3 **Officer** 75:21, 96:4 officers 75:24 offices 94:17 official 113:5 often 3:14 Okay 6:1, 10:16, 16:2, 16:6, 16:11, 20:20, 21:3, 28:22, 39:9, 40:17, 44:6, 48:9, 48:18, 54:12, 59:13, 61:9,

65:10, 71:15, 74:12, 90:17, 105:9, 105:21, 109:6 once 29:2, 52:1 One 10:14, 11:15, 24:24, 27:5, 28:24, 30:14, 33:11, 40:12, 47:18, 50:17, 56:5, 58:19, 58:23, 60:24, 61:10, 63:1, 63:6, 63:16, 63:18, 67:14, 67:15, 68:17, 69:6, 70:10, 71:2, 71:5, 74:20, 74:23, 75:22, 76:12, 76:14, 78:24, 83:4, 86:22, 90:6, 98:22, 100:3, 108:15, 111:25, 112:3 one. 76:15, 81:17, 101:19 ones 66:6, 104:7 open 5:3, 5:18, 27:24, 29:5, 29:14 operate 54:5 operated 14:21, 101:3, 110:1 operating 16:24, 90:20 operator 15:4, 15:15 operators 16:4 opinion 75:5 opponents 81:12 opportunity 96:3 opposed 93:3 oral 50:20 ordered 65:9, 89:11, 96:8, 98:4, 98:5 ordering 91:4, 98:9 orders 11:11, 11:15, 19:11, 31:7, 31:19, 32:1, 49:22, 51:4, 54:10, 89:18 **Organizations** 76:18 original 19:25, 21:6, 81:20 originally 3:17, 19:16, 20:2, 73:1, 103:3 **Otherwise** 23:1, 29:10, 71:22, 104:22 ought 6:9, 82:12, 85:15, 107:22, 111:1 ourselves 46:1, 67:13 out-of-state 95:9 outside 3:9, 43:11, 65:8 Oversea 103:12, 103:13, 103:16, 103:20, 103:23, 104:5, 104:6, 104:9 Oversea.com 103:5

oversees 11:4

oversight 9:9 owed 77:3 own 14:20, 19:24, 24:25, 25:5, 25:18, 46:23, 47:10, 62:2, 62:17, 68:17, 70:6, 75:1, 75:2, 76:24, 81:11, 87:18, 107:23 owned 11:20, 14:6, 18:16, 18:17, 20:2, 46:9, 61:25 owner 12:1, 14:14, 14:16, 16:14, 18:22, 19:13, 19:18, 30:9, 35:12, 43:25, 47:22, 48:3, 61:14, 61:15, 61:17, 61:22, 68:10, 68:16, 69:4, 69:16, 70:16, 78:18, 78:21, 78:23, 78:25 owners 19:15, 69:21, 107:18 ownership 62:8, 70:5 owns 44:18, 62:2, 62:3, 70:24

< P > **p.m.** 6:19 **PACER** 10:8, 10:9 Page 16:15, 16:20, 20:22, 21:1, 21:3, 21:7, 21:9, 21:11, 21:13, 21:14, 21:15, 21:23, 22:3, 22:4, 28:24, 29:16, 30:12, 60:6, 69:18 Pages 18:11, 18:16, 18:17, 19:7, 36:5, 106:21, 112:5, 113:7 paid 18:18, 32:8, 43:1, 69:9, 77:6, 78:17, 83:25, 84:1, 85:24, 99:13 palatable 7:17 paper 62:21 papers 4:2, 21:21, 27:1 Pappas 1:32 Paragraph 22:4, 22:5, 22:16, 23:20, 24:6, 25:21, 28:25, 29:16, 30:11, 32:24, 33:16, 74:11, 74:14, 99:13, 100:18 parallel 38:9 **pared** 91:19 parking 98:18 part 9:9, 10:2, 27:14, 37:6, 38:20, 44:13, 48:22, 63:3, 70:13, 76:17, 81:5, 87:16,

88:10, 93:5, 100:21, 105:11 parte 51:22 particular 16:12, 57:19, 74:25 partner 83:11 partners 100:4, 101:25 partnership 102:1 parts 5:10, 40:12 party 6:6, 14:11, 22:13, 44:20, 45:7, 61:5, 62:5, 88:16, 88:18, 88:19, 97:11, 108:19 pass 99:3, 104:8, 109:5 passwords 91:8, 92:8, 97:14, 104:2 past 40:8, 67:5, 99:15, 109:8 pay 30:1, 30:3, 32:13, 32:23, 33:13, 33:25, 35:17, 35:21, 35:22, 36:17, 37:2, 39:11, 39:12, 39:14, 40:13, 42:12, 43:1, 43:15, 53:24, 69:11, 69:22, 70:7, 70:11, 72:8, 72:13, 72:15, 72:18, 74:9, 75:17, 77:5, 85:24, 95:13, 97:3. 103:22 paying 30:8, 30:10, 32:20, 33:10, 35:19, 39:16, 49:18, 68:20, 68:25, 69:7, 70:9, 75:6, 103:18 paymaster 77:4 **payment** 103:20 payments 34:15 **pays** 68:11 **PC** 1:32 penalty 60:9, 104:17 pendancy 32:5, 69:10 **Pending** 34:10, 37:19, 37:25, 38:3, 39:18, 49:11 **penny** 52:6 **People** 8:16, 15:22, 17:4, 31:21, 42:4, 45:19, 53:2, 53:9, 54:2, 54:3, 54:8, 64:16, 67:18, 68:25, 69:6, 80:17, 88:4, 92:25, 93:25, 95:15, 95:23, 97:4, 98:11 per 36:2, 78:25 percent 11:19, 17:4 perfect 82:19, 86:3 perform 23:4, 23:15, 23:16, 25:22, 29:10, 49:7, 49:9,

94:25 performance 32:19, 39:18 performed 61:18, 68:13 performing 30:5, 57:8 period 33:14, 43:8, 45:5, 64:3, 86:12, 98:3 periodically 11:11 periury 60:10 **Permission** 106:11, 106:13 permissive 21:19 **permit** 63:19 person 15:4, 50:10, 68:18 personal 60:23, 60:25, 69:2, 74:22, 75:5, 75:12, 77:4, 77:5, 77:9, 78:6, 94:6, 106:1 personally 49:19, 70:23, 70:24, 75:6, 78:20, 98:4 perspective 24:22 petition 61:20 philosophies 39:2 **Phone** 1:28, 1:35, 1:41, 1:47, 55:4, 64:19, 68:22, 94:9 phonetic 98:15 physically 18:14 PI 40:1. 40:25 pick 26:19, 49:21, 51:24 picked 24:8, 38:19 picking 43:21 picture 55:20, 62:6 **piece** 29:18, 41:8, 84:6, 84:7, 84:9 pieces 4:6 pierce 71:8 piercing 76:13 pile 22:11, 22:13, 24:11, 27:21. 80:13 **pissed** 103:11 place 13:25, 34:13, 40:10, 44:3, 94:17 places 87:2, 87:3, 99:1 placing 54:16 Plaintiff 1:7, 1:24, 2:8, 52:24, 57:10, 59:2, 59:6, 74:17, 87:13, 95:8, 96:12, 105:19, 106:25 plaintiffs 2:10, 22:8, 38:22, 56:16, 59:5, 61:23, 78:4, 95:6, 95:7, 105:8 plane 94:3, 96:12 play 52:13, 106:9

playing 50:2 pleading 9:6, 56:6, 78:1 pleadings 5:10, 5:18, 5:21, 6:15, 7:22, 9:14, 37:14, 59:10, 61:6 Please 2:2, 2:3, 44:2, 99:11 plenty 38:16, 39:24, 95:4, 95:8, 102:4 **plus** 15:21, 75:14 podium 2:18 point 6:3, 6:25, 14:13, 26:4, 71:11, 73:20, 104:24, 108:15, 109:11, 110:6 point. 38:11, 98:3 Points 20:22, 100:17 portion 21:16, 68:23, 86:23 position 18:1, 18:2, 24:17, 25:20, 46:4, 61:21, 69:15, 70:24, 78:7, 78:8, 96:19 positions 78:24, 78:25 possession 31:10, 91:21 possible 44:11, 107:20 possibly 9:25, 40:24, 60:22 post-settlement 55:21 potential 11:23, 46:4, 83:11 **powers** 110:8 **prayer** 71:15 **pre** 73:18 pre-litigation 99:16 pre-underlying 73:2, 73:17, 99:16 precarious 46:4 precise 71:6 precisely 76:10 predicate 29:11, 71:10 preempted 74:10 prejudice 10:11, 10:15, 29:1, 29:12 preliminary 2:20, 8:1, 32:15, 38:2, 49:16, 52:18, 64:18, 65:6, 65:16, 72:5, 78:14, 82:2, 109:24, 110:4 prepare 21:20, 54:16, 54:24, 64:18. 68:3. 92:4 preparing 65:5 prescribed 113:11 present 2:25, 59:19 preserve 110:8, 110:9, 111:1 **President** 35:12, 75:20, 79:5 presidents 79:6

pressed 58:9 pressure 83:4 prettiest 20:17 Pretty 3:6, 4:25, 67:24 **prevail** 111:20 **printed** 87:2, 90:16 printout 26:25 **prints** 106:21 **Prior** 23:7, 28:14, 30:9, 32:20, 34:1, 34:23, 61:17, 61:24, 66:14, 80:5, 89:6, 89:11 privilege 74:16 probably 9:12, 11:19, 13:9, 14:19, 48:13, 50:3, 56:3, 66:2, 66:25, 70:19, 80:17, 95:17, 105:14, 107:6, 107:10 problem 5:1, 6:4, 10:24, 11:23, 17:21, 26:3, 30:21, 32:15, 32:17, 38:23, 38:24, 43:6, 46:18, 46:25, 47:8, 48:19, 50:8, 53:19, 53:20, 53:21, 53:23, 53:24, 55:4, 60:4, 60:11, 63:15, 64:5, 64:7, 68:5, 74:4, 75:2, 80:13, 80:22, 84:13, 86:4, 86:13, 87:18, 88:15, 91:20, 93:22 problems 4:10, 30:14, 58:11, 58:18, 80:10, 84:4, 84:7, 85:22, 85:23 procedural 13:15, 38:1 procedurally 57:9 Procedure 10:25, 11:3. 30:23, 30:25, 48:16, 56:11, 59:11 procedures 56:3 **proceed** 71:16 proceeding 4:21, 29:14, 37:11 **proceedings** 5:2, 20:4, 55:21, 113:4, 113:6 process 12:9, 12:17, 12:20, 14:23, 15:1, 19:6, 44:19, 62:8. 85:16 processes 43:24 produce 65:15, 65:23, 66:7, 66:21, 66:22, 67:16, 67:17, 75:3, 78:6, 80:2, 80:6, 82:24, 87:12, 89:3, 89:4, 89:19, 90:13, 91:5, 92:24, 101:6

produced 26:15, 26:22, 47:17, 66:17, 89:7, 93:14, 93:24, 107:15, 108:2, 108:6, 108:9 producing 65:18 production 66:15, 66:19 profitable 53:12 program 17:2, 17:15, 104:14 programmers 24:2, 83:2, 87:3, 87:13 progress 111:24 prohibited 11:16 **prohibition** 14:17, 14:19 promote 74:17 proper 34:15, 34:16, 56:3, 71:10, 74:20 properly 74:24 Property 36:19, 36:25, 42:9, 42:11, 42:21, 42:22, 45:3, 49:22, 55:15, 62:9, 62:10, 62:20, 83:16, 83:18, 83:23, 88:13, 88:14, 110:9, 110:13 proposal 12:13, 39:3 propose 26:1 proposing 46:21 prosecuted 31:16 protect 34:5, 34:9, 34:20, 36:17, 36:19, 36:25, 42:9, 42:11, 42:21, 51:10, 57:19, 83:16, 83:18, 83:22, 88:13, 109:20, 110:13, 110:14 protected 55:16, 63:14, 110:2 protective 2:23 proud 9:3 **prove** 71:10 provide 33:3, 45:16, 46:13, 68:1 provided 11:3, 24:20, 33:11, 60:13, 84:13 provides 22:12, 29:16 providing 67:25 provision 22:4, 35:18 prv 89:23 public 26:19, 79:18 publicly 79:24 pull 79:20, 87:22 punishable 44:10 purpose 13:25 purposefully 8:10

purposes 29:6, 78:11, 78:12, 78:14, 92:1
pursuant 94:2, 100:2
Put 5:13, 30:20, 31:16, 31:21, 36:9, 42:6, 44:3, 49:21, 50:4, 51:8, 51:24, 52:3, 54:17, 54:19, 70:13, 72:11, 77:14, 79:19, 81:23, 83:3, 84:5, 84:8, 85:16, 85:21, 85:23, 88:17, 88:18, 88:20, 109:16, 109:17, 110:24
puts 40:21
putting 46:3, 46:6

< Q > quagmire 48:23, 49:3 qualifier 35:8, 39:7 quash 89:12 quasi-joking 49:5 **query** 16:9 question 34:11, 34:23, 37:5, 40:4, 40:22, 42:5, 42:15, 50:23, 59:12, 90:6, 93:1 questions 79:12 quick 38:19, 83:24, 101:24, 107:14 quickly 19:18, 58:18, 67:20 **quo** 34:5, 35:2, 35:6, 40:4, 110:8 **quote** 68:16

< R > raised 10:22, 11:22, 12:5, 44:15, 45:10 Ramirez 95:5 ran 38:14 random 22:11 rate 51:19, 110:25, 111:19 rates 77:21 rather 25:5 **Rawls** 1:31, 2:13, 2:22, 6:9, 6:11, 10:21, 11:22, 12:14, 12:21, 34:6, 64:19, 80:1, 80:5, 81:10, 112:5 re-arguing 43:20 reach 20:10, 41:15 reaching 17:10

read 8:16, 68:22 readily 91:22 reading 13:24, 21:14, 21:16 ready 49:7, 49:9, 54:12, 66:21, 94:25 real 83:24, 101:23, 107:14 realize 44:8, 78:14 really 13:20, 17:5, 19:10, 20:1, 20:22, 28:14, 28:17, 37:5, 40:22, 50:9, 53:15, 57:13, 66:10 reason 27:18, 33:15, 39:3, 43:22, 47:13, 47:20, 63:4, 72:4. 76:10. 93:5 reasonable 45:2, 88:6, 89:25 reasons 71:6, 76:12 receipts 75:14 received 12:19, 32:25, 100:20, 108:7 receiver 30:18, 30:20, 51:6, 51:12, 51:18, 109:25, 110:1, 110:5, 110:21, 110:22, 111:3, 111:15, 111:17 receivership 109:17 Recognition 74:16 recognizes 76:7 record 8:12, 10:12, 11:14, 14:11, 47:19, 62:14, 62:18, 73:19, 79:17, 79:18, 90:7 recorded 11:25, 12:22, 62:13 records 11:17, 62:17, 63:2, 63:6, 71:5, 76:17, 77:23, 78:7, 92:13, 105:20, 105:22, 106:2 rectify 9:8 red 39:11, 39:20, 77:13, 77:14, 78:3 referred 14:25, 20:3 referring 75:23 refiling 10:11 refusal 41:3, 75:11 refuse 23:14, 78:6 refused 29:9, 77:1, 98:18 refusing 23:16, 84:22 regard 97:7, 106:15, 107:21 regarding 45:4 **Regardless** 31:7, 39:9, 54:9 register 15:9, 15:20, 17:17, 17:19, 39:5, 45:21, 73:10,

80:18, 83:22 registered 16:11, 17:15, 20:2, 23:25, 26:6, 26:16, 26:18, 29:23, 46:24, 80:19 registering 17:18 registers 17:16, 47:23 registrant 35:20, 44:17, 68:11, 68:12, 68:24, 69:20, 70:18, 81:21, 100:5 registrants 35:17, 43:1, 70:9 registrars 70:18 registration 38:17, 39:12, 43:16, 69:22, 70:11, 73:2, 107:19, 109:18 registries 16:4, 39:21 registry 15:15, 15:16, 16:4, 26:14, 26:17, 26:18, 84:6, 84:10, 85:17, 110:24 regulatory 15:25 reimbursed 94:20 related 22:22, 68:7, 72:5, 90:5 relates 81:9, 82:5, 99:6 relative 33:21 relatively 64:23 release 21:20, 41:18 releases 29:17 releasing 40:6 relevant 28:18, 29:19, 65:12, 65:15, 65:18, 65:23, 67:13, 72:4, 75:5, 75:9, 75:10, 94:7 relief 28:9, 72:1, 82:2, 109:23 relying 92:19 remain 27:24, 29:5 remedy 53:21 **Remember** 18:9, 45:13, 47:17, 53:18, 61:12, 72:4, 78:16, 101:1, 104:24, 112:1 reminded 112:4 removals 20:4 **remove** 9:14 renew 30:1, 83:22, 86:5 renewal 29:22, 30:4, 33:13, 35:18, 35:25, 36:1, 37:2, 40:7, 43:16, 70:9, 75:17, 78:17, 107:19 renewals 34:16, 35:21, 72:13, 72:18 reopen 108:20

rep 60:21 Reported 1:44 **REPORTER** 70:22, 93:7, 94:7, 94:15, 113:5, 113:18 reports 92:14, 92:21 represent 6:12, 27:1, 31:12, 57:13, 65:21, 83:1 representation 49:8, 72:6, 75:16, 75:22, 76:15, 77:12, 78:2, 81:19, 92:16 representations 35:16, 55:5, 80:3, 102:23 representative 64:1 representatives 2:12, 18:18, 19:12 represented 27:9, 35:14, 42:24, 68:24, 69:5, 69:22, 70:1, 72:7, 76:1, 79:4, 79:7, 79:8, 80:1, 80:5, 82:25, 83:7, 86:23, 92:11 representing 6:18, 98:16 represents 16:5 reproach 9:3 reputable 98:11 request 4:15, 6:5, 11:16, 48:3, 63:23, 64:6, 66:1, 68:4 requested 75:12, 109:24 requests 63:21, 64:2, 64:9, 64:10, 64:11, 64:13, 65:8, 68:6, 69:2 require 3:9, 30:19, 95:23, 104:22 required 3:24, 11:12, 27:2, 79:17, 79:20 requirement 60:16 requires 99:9 residents 95:9 resolution 10:25, 11:2 resolve 18:6, 50:4, 51:21, 51:22, 51:23, 55:16, 95:24, 96:19, 96:20, 109:14, 110:19 resolved 30:21, 31:24, 32:15, 37:20, 38:7, 50:11, 52:21, 55:6, 66:8 resources 74:9 respect 3:24, 10:22, 38:5, 41:1, 47:13, 49:12, 55:8, 55:19, 56:10, 56:20, 59:2, 61:16, 61:23, 67:23, 70:22, 75:9, 75:22, 76:1, 82:8, 98:8,

98:14, 100:25, 106:18, 108:7 respective 3:11, 25:2 respond 66:1, 75:19 responded 63:21, 64:2, 67:21 response 65:19 responsibilities 33:21, 33:22 responsibility 32:21, 33:23 responsible 22:25, 54:22 responsive 67:15 resting 107:12 restraining 7:25, 38:8, 47:11, 47:12 result 11:9, 12:19, 17:13, 19:13, 32:8, 46:6, 94:12, 105:23 resulted 13:19 retreat 70:1 revenue 71:24 revenues 16:24, 18:20, 101:5, 105:25, 108:8 revolved 20:1 **RFP** 105:14, 105:17 rid 92:15 rifle 64:13, 64:15 rightful 51:20 rights 11:7, 104:10 **RIM** 100:15 risk 46:4, 46:7, 88:9 **Rm** 1:45 Ronquillo 1:32 room 42:3, 49:6, 67:2 **Ross** 1:26 **route** 16:19 **Royal** 1:21 **Rule** 32:6, 33:5, 54:7, 59:17, 59:25, 87:17, 111:20, 111:21, 111:24 **Rules** 11:12, 14:16, 59:4, 59:11, 79:19, 106:24 ruling 44:14, 96:2 run 37:18, 48:6 running 35:20, 37:7, 79:3 rushed 37:9, 38:12

< \$ > s/cassidi 113:15 safe 82:12 Sapp 76:4 satisfy 42:18 Saturday 7:10 save 46:15, 111:14 saw 111:8 saving 19:15, 33:25, 35:6, 40:5, 43:16, 46:22, 47:24, 49:8, 68:17, 69:2, 78:17, 79:9, 81:23, 107:5, 108:9 says 10:6, 16:11, 20:24, 22:5, 22:17, 23:22, 24:6, 28:25, 31:11, 32:24, 33:7, 35:18, 59:25, 65:19, 68:10, 69:11, 72:17, 74:23, 75:20, 76:8, 92:23, 99:12, 100:14, 100:18 schedules 93:21 scheduling 28:15 **scope** 65:9, 65:24, 86:19 **screen** 16:21 screw 49:23, 49:24, 52:3, 52:10 seal 3:18, 4:1, 4:2, 4:15, 4:21, 4:24, 5:1, 5:2, 5:5, 5:6, 5:11, 5:14, 5:17, 5:21, 6:24, 7:23, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:10, 8:17, 9:7, 10:15, 13:5, 13:8, 54:18, 54:19, 102:13, 104:20 sealed 4:3, 7:5 sealing 4:6, 4:16, 5:9, 5:10, 5:24, 10:11, 13:21 **Search** 100:16, 102:3 seated 2:3 **Second** 3:16, 20:12, 21:7, 21:9, 33:15, 38:18, 78:5, 90:11, 98:23, 103:15 secret 7:14, 13:4, 87:19 secrets 3:10, 3:22, 4:24 **secure** 30:15, 31:4, 31:23 seeing 58:4 seek 24:6 seeking 56:6 **seem** 7:12, 13:2 seemed 45:2 seems 46:10, 80:21, 110:14, 110:17 seen 26:25, 95:1 **seize** 49:21 selected 43:2 self-help 18:4, 53:19, 53:22,

62:12, 73:23, 101:2, 103:10,

110:20 sign 3:1, 18:25, 55:1, 58:2, speaker 71:2 selling 102:8 72:24 specially 73:8 signed 2:24, 8:3, 73:9, 82:12 Seminars 71:3 **species** 43:25 send 18:12, 18:23, 53:9, specific 8:14, 29:17, 34:14, significant 26:20 54:24, 65:13, 65:19, 69:1, signing 6:14 64:21 79:21, 88:18, 88:25, 90:2, similar 9:7 **specifically** 64:17, 65:2, 92:24 Simple 46:10, 62:10, 62:11, 68:6, 80:1, 90:12 sending 88:1, 88:3, 88:15, 86:5, 100:16 **spelled** 3:13, 26:23, 27:6, 93:18, 97:4 single 105:19 27:7 sends 30:3, 47:24 singular 23:23 spending 53:11, 67:7, 95:16 sense 69:1, 87:23, 87:25, sir 14:8, 15:18, 21:2 spent 66:2, 76:3 102:15 **sit** 42:6, 66:12, 66:13, 66:14, split 22:7, 22:11, 23:20, 24:1, 24:3, 24:10, 24:18, sensible 88:12 95:24 **site** 17:3 25:11, 25:22, 26:1, 27:14, sensitive 3:22, 4:8, 7:19 sent 26:2, 26:3, 26:9, 68:4, sited 19:21 27:20, 30:7, 32:17, 32:18, 32:20, 33:2, 33:6, 33:8, 98:25, 103:4 sitting 94:5 separate 42:4, 74:16, 76:7 situation 44:21, 83:5, 97:24 33:10, 33:11, 33:22, 34:1, separated 25:11 six 50:5, 51:11 38:21, 44:24, 48:1, 81:6, **series** 107:3 89:9, 100:23, 111:16, 111:18 sixteen 26:10 serious 50:7, 51:25 **sixth** 37:17 squatting 44:1 **serve** 15:2 **skim** 110:21, 110:22 stacks 27:1 served 53:9, 64:9, 64:13 small 14:20, 26:7, 80:16, **staff** 87:3 server 16:16, 16:19 81:4, 86:23 **stake** 54:3, 56:23 servers 16:12 **Smith** 6:22 stall 88:22 stalling 96:15 serving 100:5 **sold** 102:5 set 55:8, 62:19, 71:17 solution 86:3 **stand** 36:9 Solutions 100:16 standard 3:6, 76:13 **sets** 6:17 settled 21:22, 23:9 **solve** 84:5, 84:13, 85:23 start 54:8, 64:3, 93:20, 107:6 settling 23:1 Somebody 39:9, 42:12, started 23:14, 24:15, 101:2 seven 22:21, 33:17 45:23, 46:11, 53:22, 53:24, starting 66:14 seventh 37:17, 55:8 62:9, 62:19, 68:2, 73:9, statement 69:13 several 36:15, 65:25 83:19, 95:13, 96:22 statements 52:6, 79:11, **shape** 95:12, 95:19 somehow 18:21, 25:6 79:13 **shared** 71:20 someone 12:16, 18:17 **STATES** 1:1, 113:12, 113:18 shooting 72:14 sometime 7:9, 16:22 **Status** 1:20, 34:5, 35:2, 35:6, **short** 42:15 somewhat 38:9 40:4. 110:8 **shot** 64:13, 64:15 **Somewhere** 32:12, 79:24, statutory 33:19 **Shouldn't** 45:14, 82:13, 92:3 96:18 **stay** 49:15 **Show** 12:22, 36:5, 36:11, **soon** 7:16, 68:2, 96:9 stayed 67:2 39:24, 47:7, 47:8, 51:2, 61:4, sophisticated 17:18 stenotypy 113:5 step 43:12 77:22, 100:13, 109:12 **sorry** 21:5, 40:2, 70:17, 73:4, showed 47:3 steps 52:1, 91:23 109:8 shown 113:6 **sort** 4:9, 4:22, 13:16, 27:5, **stop** 10:4, 30:13, 31:5, 38:15 stopped 30:5, 32:19 **shows** 60:14 28:17, 55:20, 63:2, 66:4, 90:8 **shut** 51:3 **sorts** 31:22 **story** 31:5, 31:9, 53:4, 80:7, side 9:10, 30:7, 41:16, 50:18, sound 96:16 88:24 53:3, 69:20, 79:12, 107:25, sounded 49:5, 70:20 straight 20:19, 58:13, 72:14 sounds 36:3, 48:23, 54:12 109:1 **straw** 77:14 sides 7:18, 44:4, 50:2, 54:11, **sources** 64:24 **Street** 1:33, 1:45, 51:24 **strike** 9:6, 9:14, 10:13, 61:3

space 18:18, 83:3

66:19

struck 10:11 stuff 18:23, 36:6, 52:7, 87:19, 90:7, 90:20, 94:13, 109:21, 111:12 **stupid** 98:12 subject 3:20, 23:22, 46:2, 81:9, 82:7 subjecting 46:1 submission 27:22, 105:3 **submit** 71:18 submitted 24:12, 59:15 **subpoena** 53:6, 53:8, 89:12 subpoenaed 89:11 **Subsequent** 4:3, 33:24 subsequently 56:9 substantive 13:22, 28:14 successful 17:10 sue 98:20 sufficient 59:15 suggest 85:9 suggesting 61:12 suggestion 39:15 suggests 32:23, 88:2 **suit** 110:9 Suite 1:26, 1:33, 1:39 **summary** 56:14 **support** 109:24 supposed 12:3, 14:15, 14:18, 19:11, 19:16, 23:23, 27:20, 32:19, 32:23, 33:12, 66:12, 66:13, 68:21, 69:6, 77:6, 84:16, 85:13, 93:6 **surely** 83:13 surprised 60:3 Surprisingly 25:19 surrounds 4:18 **sword** 70:3 system 9:25, 10:3, 31:14, 49:2

<T>
table 95:12, 95:19
tactic 88:23
tailored 6:3
talked 58:10, 67:11, 70:21
tasked 30:10, 33:9
tax 17:4, 17:7
technical 45:3
technically 12:1

telephone 63:17, 64:12 telephonic 96:6 tells 2:22 temporary 7:25, 55:24 ten 24:9, 66:11, 67:12, 104:23, 110:21 term 83:6 terms 8:9, 8:19, 9:24, 21:18, 59:24, 66:15, 71:22, 77:8 test 52:8 **tested** 69:14 testify 60:22 testimony 53:3 **TEXAS** 1:2, 1:27, 1:34, 1:46, 22:1, 28:7, 29:1, 33:18, 56:12, 62:20, 76:7, 76:10, 76:17, 83:11, 95:7, 106:24, 113:19 **theirs** 87:20 them. 45:23 themselves 53:21 theory 18:21 **They've** 81:16, 81:17, 99:3, 100:20 thief 36:4, 38:12 **Third** 10:19, 44:19, 45:7, 45:17, 62:5, 88:15, 88:18, 88:19, 90:18, 103:15 third-party 23:6, 24:24, 26:8, 48:3, 79:21, 81:4, 84:20, 89:8, 90:9, 104:13 thirty 34:24 though 21:19, 25:13, 95:8 thousand 14:21, 51:13 thousands 16:25, 94:3 Three 2:22, 6:17, 13:10, 14:21, 19:25, 23:19, 37:25, 41:22, 51:13, 55:21, 55:23, 59:4, 63:16, 63:20, 63:22, 64:1, 64:2, 64:16, 67:2, 67:5, 76:2, 80:15, 90:4, 92:22, 93:14, 93:16, 93:24, 96:6, 107:10, 111:8, 111:9 three-day 63:22 throwing 31:1 Thursday 67:20 tickets 94:3 **tied** 39:1 **timely** 25:9 **TIPA** 62:20

title 11:14, 11:25, 12:23, 14:11, 47:19, 62:13, 62:14, 73:19, 79:17, 90:7 titleholder 62:18 today 7:9, 7:17, 17:19, 26:19, 29:19, 41:1, 58:12, 88:7, 93:16, 101:4, 109:8, 111:8 together 17:6, 41:25, 66:3, 67:11, 77:14, 108:14 **Tom** 47:23 took 18:1, 18:2, 18:19, 24:17, 25:20, 33:16, 33:23, 61:21, 66:25, 73:23, 113:5 top 33:7, 110:22 total 2:22, 26:6, 48:23 touch 57:15 track 82:19 trade 3:10, 3:22, 4:23, 13:4, 87:19 trademark 10:25, 11:2, 11:5, 11:6, 11:7, 11:11, 12:1, 17:13, 17:19, 17:21, 22:17, 22:22, 23:5, 23:6, 23:9, 33:17, 39:2, 43:25, 44:20, 45:14 trademarks 39:1 traffic 18:15 trail 62:21, 63:1 transaction 19:14 transcribed 113:6 transcript 4:3, 68:23, 69:19, 90:13, 113:8, 113:10 transcripts 5:22 transfer 11:10, 24:7, 24:13, 29:3, 33:1, 39:17, 43:15. 45:23, 47:24, 58:3, 71:20, 75:13 transferred 28:1, 29:7, 45:25, 81:6 travel 94:16, 94:18 treasurer 95:16 trial 51:10 trick 25:6 tried 96:10 trigger 12:16 triggered 12:18 **triple** 46:14 **TRO** 3:17, 4:2, 8:4, 12:6, 13:1, 31:14, 37:6, 38:2,

38:12, 43:4, 47:5, 55:21, 55:23, 56:2, 59:2, 59:6, 59:9, 60:1, 60:7, 60:22, 60:24, 61:1, 61:8, 63:15, 63:18, 69:19, 72:4, 105:18 true 15:1, 60:20, 72:11, 74:6, 88:11, 89:5, 99:8, 99:13, 113:8 true-up 99:9 trust 25:2, 25:3, 25:4, 71:23, 85:17, 85:22, 85:24, 86:3 trustee 83:15, 83:17 **trusts** 35:13 truth 36:10 try 6:2, 39:22, 60:16, 71:8, 96:9, 108:20, 109:13 trying 6:19, 17:8, 27:14, 35:1, 35:23, 53:13, 53:16, 66:3, 77:13, 77:15, 77:18, 99:18, 100:11, 104:15 **Tuesday** 9:17, 65:25, 67:9, 93:14, 93:17, 104:23 turn 22:3, 22:16, 64:16, 75:11, 89:10, 89:20, 104:17 turned 24:22, 26:5 turning 68:4 turns 21:23 twelve 50:5, 86:22 twenty 48:12 Twenty-five 41:7 twenty-four 18:19 twenty-three 13:19, 20:19 twice 37:15 **Two** 14:21, 17:11, 17:24, 23:12, 24:24, 37:25, 40:12, 50:17, 51:13, 55:25, 67:2, 67:16, 73:18, 78:23, 98:17, 106:17, 107:10, 107:16 two-fold 33:10 two-level 3:7 **TX** 1:40 **type** 16:8 **typed** 21:7 typewritten 21:16, 22:3

17:9, 22:25, 25:4, 25:10, 25:15, 26:15, 68:16, 75:11 unable 6:23, 66:5, 75:17, 80:6 unacceptable 5:12, 7:15 unaffiliated 80:17 **unaware** 6:24, 6:25 undercut 36:15 underlying 13:7, 13:11, 21:22, 22:7, 29:6, 29:14, 32:5, 32:7, 32:9, 39:19, 55:23, 57:25, 61:19, 61:20, 62:13, 62:16, 68:9, 68:15, 68:17, 69:4, 69:10, 70:10, 72:12, 74:1, 77:1, 78:19, 78:24, 79:8, 84:3, 100:8, 101:1, 102:9, 108:19 understand 6:1, 12:8, 31:22, 35:1, 35:3, 36:14, 36:16, 38:6, 45:2, 45:12, 48:16, 49:25, 50:9, 52:1, 62:7, 64:5, 69:24, 70:25, 78:15, 96:1, 96:4, 99:22, 100:6, 101:24, 103:18, 105:20 understandably 103:11 understanding 12:3, 45:15, 46:17, 54:4, 57:1, 86:6, 97:9 understands 54:6 **Understood** 64:14, 92:2 unequivocally 36:6 unfortunately 62:25 **Uniform** 10:24, 11:2, 71:7 **UNITED** 1:1, 113:12, 113:18 University 33:18 unlawful 52:7, 71:25 unless 52:20, 83:19 unopposed 10:10 until 6:25, 30:21, 31:24, 33:10, 34:16, 34:21, 51:2, 93:10, 93:12, 95:21 untoward 47:9 unwieldy 83:6 urging 26:2 **uses** 103:6 using 25:18, 71:17, 77:8, 91:9, 91:11, 91:14 **USSI** 102:6 usurious 77:21 **USVI** 100:15

utilized 10:25

< V > **V-e-r-i-s-i-g-n** 15:13 vacation 7:8 vague 8:8, 8:13 valuable 27:8, 32:3, 51:15, 109:15, 110:15 value 32:2, 111:1, 111:2, 111:14 various 20:4, 64:24, 66:3, 91:8 vast 11:18, 47:14 veil 71:8, 76:13 veracity 69:13 verbal 9:13 verge 78:3, 105:24 verifiable 12:20 verification 59:5, 59:8, 59:24 verified 59:20, 59:22, 60:7, 60:19, 61:5 verify 46:14, 59:10 Verisign 15:10, 15:14, 15:22, 16:10, 24:7, 27:19, 27:23, 27:25, 29:3, 30:3, 39:20, 40:20, 58:3, 68:18, 71:20, 74:8 versus 2:5, 75:23, 95:10 videographer 93:8, 94:8, 94:15 videographers 95:2 view 31:22, 56:3, 68:24, 81:8, 96:23, 109:15 views 17:12 violate 13:4, 31:13, 32:11, 49:17, 63:9, 63:11 violates 11:7, 49:17 violating 82:8, 82:13 violation 12:2, 12:11, 12:25 Virgin 13:13, 17:2, 17:7, 18:22, 18:24, 19:12, 19:25, 21:24, 29:2, 37:15, 56:8, 56:24, 58:4, 102:10, 103:19 vitiate 74:3 vitiated 73:21 Vitullo 6:14, 6:22, 7:7 voluntarily 65:15

vs 1:9

< W > **W.** 1:24 wait 51:10, 109:18, 110:9 waited 25:23 waiting 36:11, 93:7, 93:8 wanted 8:12, 58:24, 106:8, 111:11 wants 12:14, 16:7, 31:6, 41:16, 41:17, 44:16, 55:5, 55:6, 60:12, 60:14, 94:11, 97:1, 102:20, 103:23, 105:6, 107:15 warehouse 14:18 warning 38:16 wasted 94:8, 94:20 ways 42:4, 108:6 web 16:15, 16:20, 18:11, 18:15, 18:16, 18:17, 19:6 Wednesday 66:2, 93:3, 93:10, 93:12, 93:21, 107:6 **wee** 7:9 weed 81:3 week 48:11, 48:12, 52:13, 53:23, 64:3, 67:22, 70:15, 79:21. 88:25 weekday 43:9 weekend 42:3, 42:7, 43:11, 52:13, 52:22 **weekends** 107:13 weeks 23:12 weighing 33:21 Weinstein 1:38 Welcome 2:3 West 106:24 Whatever 9:8, 9:10, 19:4, 46:14, 52:8, 53:25, 54:10. 61:3, 71:9, 78:22, 88:17, 89:24, 96:23, 97:17, 99:6, 104:2, 104:8, 105:3, 109:20, 110:23 wherewithal 31:25 whether 11:1, 11:6, 17:25, 41:4, 57:2, 74:7, 80:4, 89:17, 94:6, 102:10, 102:23, 103:23 whim 51:22 whiz 39:14 who-is 11:13, 12:11, 14:11, 47:18, 63:4, 81:1, 81:2, 82:17, 89:6, 89:22, 90:1, 90:6, 92:5, 106:18

whoever 11:25, 107:2 whole 4:6, 4:25, 5:4, 15:17, 40:22, 41:10, 61:25, 62:4, 68:17, 102:5 whomever 111:14 wife 77:16, 77:19, 77:24 willing 3:1, 9:10, 41:13, 45:8, 49:7, 49:9, 65:23, 67:17, 94:25 **window** 16:9 winning 53:4 wire 75:13, 86:2 **Wish** 49:1 withdraw 106:11 withdrawn 17:23 Within 22:5, 24:9, 30:6, 33:14, 43:10, 64:25, 65:24, 89:14 without 4:15, 4:24, 6:5, 10:11, 10:15, 36:6, 53:16, 55:7, 87:3, 98:20, 110:16 withstand 31:25 **witness** 60:12 witnesses 59:19, 60:17 words 5:3, 12:21, 34:5, 95:17 Work 5:7, 5:20, 5:22, 6:2, 6:15, 6:16, 6:20, 12:7, 16:21, 27:20, 41:20, 41:25, 44:4, 47:6, 53:16, 53:25, 54:23, 55:6, 55:15, 66:3 worked 8:24, 9:2, 17:8 working 3:13, 9:4, 19:23, 43:18, 67:3, 95:3, 96:17, 98:16, 109:10, 110:3, 111:10 works 9:24, 10:17, 15:8, 30:1, 51:25 world 15:17, 20:18 worried 53:6 worry 42:13, 98:12 worth 43:5, 43:17 worthless 43:2, 92:12 writing 20:23 written 61:6

< X >

XYZ 27:9, 43:3

< Y > year 24:20, 26:23, 29:24, 47:17, 108:17 years 37:25, 51:11, 73:18, 76:2, 76:3, 101:19, 101:22, 110:21, 111:3, 111:15, 111:17 Yesterday 4:9, 6:5, 6:18, 8:24, 9:20, 10:20, 55:3, 64:12, 68:22, 80:9, 86:21, 93:7, 94:4, 94:8, 94:20 yourself 111:10

< Z > zero. 79:10 zip 79:10